



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Energy Chemistry

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jechem](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jechem)<http://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-energy-chemistry/>

# Reaction of nitrous oxide with methane to synthesis gas: A thermodynamic and catalytic study

Naseer A. Khan<sup>a,b</sup>, Eric M. Kennedy<sup>a</sup>, Bogdan Z. Dlugogorski<sup>c</sup>, Adesoji A. Adesina<sup>d</sup>, Michael Stockenhuber<sup>a,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Priority Research Centre for Energy (PRCFE), The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, UET, Peshawar, KPK, Pakistan<sup>c</sup> School of Engineering and Information Technology, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia<sup>d</sup> ATODATECH LLC, Brentwood, CA 94513, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 8 August 2016

Revised 11 September 2016

Accepted 14 September 2016

Available online xxx

## Keywords:

Gibbs free energy minimization

N<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>4</sub>

Synthesis gas

## ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study is to explore the coherence of thermodynamic equilibrium predictions with the actual catalytic reaction of CH<sub>4</sub> with N<sub>2</sub>O, particularly at higher CH<sub>4</sub> conversions. For this purpose, key process variables, such as temperature (300 °C–550 °C) and a molar feed ratio (N<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>4</sub> = 1, 3, and 5), were altered to establish the conditions for maximized H<sub>2</sub> yield. The experimental study was conducted over the Co-ZSM-5 catalyst in a fixed bed tubular reactor and then compared with the thermodynamic equilibrium compositions, where the equilibrium composition was calculated via total Gibbs free energy minimization method.

The results suggest that molar feed ratio plays an important role in the overall reaction products distribution. Generally for N<sub>2</sub>O conversions, and irrespective of N<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>4</sub> feed ratio, the thermodynamic predictions coincide with experimental data obtained at approximately 475 °C–550 °C, indicating that the reactions are kinetically limited at lower range of temperatures. For example, theoretical calculations show that the H<sub>2</sub> yield is zero in presence of excess N<sub>2</sub>O (N<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>4</sub> = 5). However over a Co-ZSM-5 catalyst, and with a same molar feed ratio (N<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>4</sub>) of 5, the H<sub>2</sub> yield is initially 10% at 425 °C, while above 450 °C it drops to zero. Furthermore, H<sub>2</sub> yield steadily increases with temperature and with the level of CH<sub>4</sub> conversion for reactions limited by N<sub>2</sub>O concentration in a reactant feed. The maximum attainable (from thermodynamic calculations and at a feed ratio of N<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>4</sub> = 3) H<sub>2</sub> yield at 550 °C is 38%, whereas at same temperature and over Co-ZSM-5, the experimentally observed yield is about 19%.

Carbon deposition on Co-ZSM-5 at lower temperatures and CH<sub>4</sub> conversion (less than 50%) was also observed. At higher temperatures and levels of CH<sub>4</sub> conversion (above 90%), the deposited carbon is suggested to react with N<sub>2</sub>O to form CO<sub>2</sub>.

© 2016 Science Press and Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. and Science Press. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

N<sub>2</sub>O in the troposphere is a comparatively non-reactive molecule, however, its presence in the stratosphere layer (12–50 km, from the surface of earth) can actively absorb infrared radiation, and is more potent as compared to most common greenhouse gases, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> [1,2]. For reference, N<sub>2</sub>O global warming potential (GWP) is 15 and 310 times that of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively [3]. The atmospheric concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O in pre-industrial age was roughly constant at about 270–288 ppbv [4]. However, present-day human activities, such as use of fertilizers, chemical

productions, fuel combustion (both stationary and mobile), and sewerage treatment are releasing more than 7 million ton of N<sub>2</sub>O per year into environment [5]. The photolysis of N<sub>2</sub>O leads to the production of stratospheric NO<sub>x</sub>, and subsequently results in the chemical destruction of O<sub>3</sub> (ozone) molecule [6–8].

The catalytic reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O with CH<sub>4</sub> is an extensively studied reaction [3,4,9]. CH<sub>4</sub> is a major component of natural gas and is commercially used for synthesis gas production [10]. On the other hand, concerns over feedstock supply have maintained interest in processes that are aimed at the production of synthetic fuels. One approach to produce synthetic fuels is via synthesis gas production. Large quantities of natural gas are potentially available for production of synthetic fuels, but the selective catalytic conversion of natural gas (which is primarily CH<sub>4</sub>) remains elusive. The conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> into valuable chemicals is also a major driver of

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [michael.stockenhuber@newcastle.edu.au](mailto:michael.stockenhuber@newcastle.edu.au) (M. Stockenhuber).

significant research programs [11,12]. While  $N_2O$  supply is limited compared to natural gas, still significant amounts of  $N_2O$  are found as a by-product of adipic acid manufacturing, ammonium nitrate, and nitric acid production.  $N_2O$  emission as in the mentioned manufacturing processes is of sufficient concentration to be used as an oxidant.

As a whole,  $CH_3OH$ ,  $CH_2O$ ,  $C_2H_6$ ,  $C_2H_4$ , and  $H_2$  are valuable chemicals that can be formed from  $CH_4$  [13]. A number of research groups are focussing their research on the development of catalysts for direct (bypassing synthesis gas production) conversion of  $CH_4$  to fuels and chemicals [14]. On the other hand, there are various approaches to indirect conversion methods, in which  $CH_4$  is first converted into synthesis gas which is then transformed into various useful chemicals [15].

Synthesis gas ( $CO+H_2$ ) is used for the production of valuable chemicals and fuels [16,17]. There are three well established technologies, catalytic partial oxidation, steam reforming and auto-thermal reforming, for the conversion of natural gas to synthesis gas [18]. Among these technologies, catalytic partial oxidation and auto-thermal reforming of  $CH_4$  are regarded as more energy efficient technologies [19–23]. The catalytic partial oxidation of  $CH_4$  or other hydrocarbons is a reaction operated under oxygen deficient conditions [24], while auto-thermal reforming of  $CH_4$  is a combination of combustion/partial oxidation and steam reforming reactions [25]. In auto thermal reforming, the energy required for the reaction of  $CH_4$  and steam is supplied by  $CH_4$  combustion (exothermic reaction) [26].

In the 1980s, the Lunsford and Somorjai research groups (amongst others) studied the reaction of  $CH_4$  with  $N_2O$  to form  $CH_3OH$  and  $CH_2O$  over supported  $MoO_3$  and  $V_2O_5$  oxide catalysts. The catalytic selectivity (to  $CH_3OH$  and  $CH_2O$ ) was highest at low reactant conversion, and thus these processes were constrained to very low product yields [27–30]. In general, the mechanistic paths for selective or complete oxidation of  $CH_4$  are dependent on the state of reactive oxygen species formed on the catalytic sites [31]. Iwamoto et al. (1983) investigated the reaction of benzene with  $N_2O$  for phenol formation and subsequently developed a pilot scale production facility [32,33].

Thus far, a limited number of research papers have reported the study of the reaction of  $CH_4$  with  $N_2O$  forming synthesis gas [23,34]. Recently the zeolite, Co-ZSM-5 was found to be an active catalyst for this reaction [35]. The reaction ( $CH_4$  with  $N_2O$ ) over H-ZSM-5 did not produce  $H_2$ , signifying the importance of cobalt at exchange sites for synthesis gas formation [35]. Additionally, the experiments conducted by Panov and collaborators and other research groups also suggest that, the acidic sites on H-ZSM-5 play no role in  $N_2O$  activation, in fact the presence of small concentration of iron (few hundred ppm) causes  $N_2O$  activation [36,37]. To our knowledge, a thermodynamic analysis of the reaction of  $CH_4$  with  $N_2O$  has not been published. The current paper outlines the results of a thermodynamic and catalytic study of  $N_2O$  reaction with  $CH_4$ . This study is focussed on presenting a comparison of the thermodynamic predictions and the reaction observed experimentally, i.e., the effectiveness of the Co-ZSM-5 catalyst. The effect of temperature (300–550 °C) and molar feed ratio ( $N_2O/CH_4 = 1, 3,$  and  $5$ ) for improving  $H_2$  yield was systematically examined.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Thermodynamic analysis of the reaction

A non-stoichiometric approach was used for the calculation of equilibrium compositions of the reacting system [38–40]. The Gibbs free energy minimization method determines the species composition such that the simulation minimizes the total free energy of the reaction system, subject to elemental abundance. At

fixed conditions of temperature and pressure, the reactants having a higher Gibbs free energy than the products will adjust the net reaction in the forward direction. The reaction species are at equilibrium, when the differential of Gibbs free energy at given conditions of temperature and pressure is zero [41].

$$(dG^t)_{T,p} = 0 \quad (1)$$

The total Gibbs free energy is the sum of chemical potential of each reacting species.

$$G^t = \sum_{i=0}^N n_i \mu_i \quad (2)$$

The Gibbs free energy of each species is shown in Eq. (3) [42].

$$\mu_i = \Delta G_i^\circ + RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_i}{f_i^\circ} \quad (3)$$

where the symbols  $G_i^\circ$ ,  $\hat{f}_i$ , and  $f_i^\circ$  represent standard-state Gibbs free energy, partial fugacity and standard state fugacity of species  $i$ , respectively. The total Gibbs free energy (Eq. (4)) is minimized at any specified temperature and pressure, and constraint by elemental abundances, for finding reacting species at equilibrium conditions [41].

$$G^t = \sum_{i=0}^N n_i \Delta G_i^\circ + \sum_{i=0}^N n_i RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_i}{f_i^\circ} \quad (4)$$

The thermodynamic reaction study of  $CH_4$  with  $N_2O$  was conducted using commercial software (COSILAB version 2004) [43]. This software has an inbuilt thermodynamic database to calculate the equilibrium compositions at predetermined temperatures and pressures. The species considered in simulation calculations are  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $NO$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $CO$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $C_2H_4$ ,  $C_2H_2$ ,  $CH_3OH$ , and (solid) carbon.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. Reactor studies

The catalytic conversion of  $CH_4$  with  $N_2O$  was studied over Co-ZSM-5 in a fixed bed tubular reactor. A wet deposition method, as described in our previous study, was used for the preparation of Co-ZSM-5 catalyst [35]. The reaction of the feed ( $N_2O/CH_4$ , 95% helium diluent) was examined at three different reactant feed ratios (1:1, 3:1, and 5:1) over this catalyst. The oxidation reaction is exothermic and the gas temperature can differ from the catalyst surface temperature significantly [44,45]. In the present reaction study, a highly diluted feed stream composed of 95% of helium in reactant feed ( $N_2O/CH_4$ ), with the helium effectively obviated the formation of hot spots over the catalyst bed [45].

Catalyst mass used in a tubular reactor was 0.250 g, having a fixed space time velocity of  $0.92 \text{ (m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}\text{)}$ , and was sieved between  $250 \mu\text{m}$  and  $450 \mu\text{m}$ . The temperature range was between  $300 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and  $550 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . Analysis of the product gas composition was carried out following 25 min of reaction at each studied temperature (attaining kinetic steady state). Analysis and quantification of the reaction products was carried out using a micro GC (Varian 490-GC). The catalytic performance of catalyst was measured on the basis of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  conversions [46]. The  $H_2$  yield was calculated using Eq. (5).

$$H_2 \text{ yield (\%)} = \frac{(H_2/2)}{(CH_4)_{in}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6530341>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6530341>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)