[Microporous and Mesoporous Materials 209 \(2015\) 135–140](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2014.08.038)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/13871811)

Microporous and Mesoporous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/micromeso

CrossMark

Modelling the potential of adsorbed hydrogen for use in aviation

Jessica E. Sharpe ^{a,b}, Nuno Bimbo ^b, Valeska P. Ting ^b, Bruno Rechain ^c, Emmanuel Joubert ^c, Timothy J. Mays^{b,*}

^a Doctoral Training Centre in Sustainable Chemical Technologies, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK b Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK ^c Airbus Group Innovations, 92150 Suresnes, France

article info

Article history: Received 6 May 2014 Accepted 19 August 2014 Available online 27 August 2014

Keywords: Hydrogen adsorption Porous solids Design curves

ABSTRACT

A novel method for modelling the amount of hydrogen in high-pressure tanks containing varying quantities of adsorbent has been extended to allow calculation of the energy density and the specific energy of the storage system. An example calculation, using TE7 activated carbon beads as an adsorbent, has been conducted over a range of temperatures and compared to alternative energy storage methods, including conventional high-pressure methods. The results indicate that adsorption of hydrogen yields a higher energy density than direct compression up to a certain pressure, which is dependent on the temperature. A preliminary comparison shows adsorbed hydrogen to be superior to battery storage technologies for both energy density and specific energy stored, although further calculations are required to expand the system boundaries used. Adsorbed hydrogen in a range of materials resulted in much lower energy density and specific energy than standard jet fuels such as kerosene, proving that advancement in the materials is required, especially intrinsic hydrogen storage capacity, before adsorption becomes a competitive energy storage technology for aviation.

- 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen shows great potential as an energy store as it can be produced sustainably, it has the highest energy per unit mass of any chemical fuel, it is abundant in water and biomass, and only water is produced as a by-product when releasing the stored energy. However, hydrogen has a very low energy density per unit volume which is problematic when using it as an energy vector. To make hydrogen commercially viable the volumetric density (i.e. its mass per unit volume) needs to be vastly increased, particularly for applications where low mass and low volumes are required, such as in aviation. Physisorption of molecular hydrogen $(H₂)$ in nanoporous materials is one promising method of doing this and may improve on conventional storage methods, such as liquid H_2 at low temperature (<-33 K) or high pressure gas (up to 70 MPa). Adsorptive storage is beneficial over chemisorption (storing hydrogen chemically bonded to other elements) in that it does not require large energy inputs to recover the stored hydrogen

⇑ Corresponding author. Address: Room 3.01, 9W Building, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK. Tel.: +44 (0) 1225 386 528; fax: +44 (0) 1225 385 710.

E-mail address: T.J.Mays@bath.ac.uk (T.J. Mays).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2014.08.038> 1387-1811/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. from the adsorbent, due to the relatively weak interaction between the adsorbent and hydrogen. However, because of these weak interactions, low temperatures are required in order to store large quantities of hydrogen.

Aviation is one industry within which emissions must be rapidly reduced. Using conventional jet fuel such as kerosene results in the production of 2–3 % of all global carbon emissions [\[1\]](#page--1-0), as well as releasing short lived gases directly into the upper atmosphere, which results in an increase in the radiative forcing values of these gases and so causing large impacts on global warming [\[2–4\]](#page--1-0).

Hydrogen has the potential to be a cleaner, safer fuel, whilst improving performance, lowering direct operating costs, and having a more favourable availability and economic impact compared to current jet fuels [\[5,6\].](#page--1-0) There have been various hydrogen prototype planes such as the Tupolev Tu-155 [\[7\]](#page--1-0), the Antares DLR-H2 [\[8\],](#page--1-0) the Boeing phantom eye [\[9\]](#page--1-0) and the ENFICA-FC Rapid 200-FC [\[10\]](#page--1-0), all of which have utilised the current conventional hydrogen storage methods of compression or liquefaction.

Physisorption of hydrogen has not been used in aircraft to date due to the immaturity of the technology. The potential issue with the use of physisorption of hydrogen over direct compression is the additional requirement of the adsorbent in the tank, as aircraft require very low weight technology [\[11\].](#page--1-0)

In order for the benefits of adsorptive storage of hydrogen to be quantified, there is a need for a method for calculating the amount of energy stored via hydrogen per unit volume and per unit mass of the system. This equation has been derived, from which the comparison between compressed hydrogen and physisorbed hydrogen can be made, and additionally can be loosely compared to other potential aircraft propulsion systems including kerosene, lithiumion batteries and lead-acid batteries.

2. Materials and methods

All materials and methods used in this work are equivalent to those in our previous work [\[12\]](#page--1-0).

3. Theory and calculation

3.1. The new model for a tank filled with an adsorbent

We have previously derived a method for comparing the amount of hydrogen stored in a set volume when using varying quantities of adsorbent, which can be depicted as a design curve [\[13\]](#page--1-0). These equations have been altered to account for a density variation within the pores of nanoporous materials, as described in our previous work $[12]$, which we believe to be a more accurate representation of the hydrogen in the pores. The development of this model presented here includes a factor to account for the hydrogen in the intergranular space, as observed in Fig. 1, where V_C represents the volume of the container, V_B is the volume of the bulk hydrogen, V_D is the displaced volume, V_T is the total volume of the adsorbent, and V_F is the volume of the tank containing the adsorbent (V_T plus intergranular volume). The bulk hydrogen contribution can be separated into the following volumes: V_{BI} is

Fig. 1. Representation of the nomenclature used to calculate the amount of hydrogen in a tank containing adsorbent.

the volume of the bulk hydrogen in the interstitial sites between the adsorbent, V_{BC} is the volume of the bulk hydrogen in the section of the container containing no adsorbent and V_{BP} is the volume of the bulk hydrogen in the pores of the adsorbent. The skeletal volume of the adsorbent including the closed pores is V_s , the open pore volume is $V_{\rm P}$, and the volume of the adsorbate is $V_{\rm A}$, f is the fill factor indicating the ratio of the volume of the tank containing the adsorbent to the total volume of the tank, x is the packing factor of the adsorbent, indicating the ratio of the total volume of the adsorbent to the total volume of the adsorbent plus intergranular space, Θ_A is the fractional filling of the pore i.e. the ratio of the adsorbate volume to the pore volume, and v_P is the pore volume per unit mass of the adsorbent, m_S , after degassing.

Using this nomenclature, the following derivation for the total amount of hydrogen within a tank containing adsorbent is achieved,

$$
m_{\rm H} = \rho_{\rm B} V_{\rm B} + \rho_{\rm A} V_{\rm A} \tag{1}
$$

where m_H is the mass of hydrogen, ρ_B is the density of bulk hydrogen and ρ_A is the density of the adsorbate:

$$
m_{\rm H} = \rho_{\rm B} V_{\rm BC} + \rho_{\rm B} V_{\rm BI} + \rho_{\rm B} V_{\rm BP} + \rho_{\rm A} V_{\rm A} \tag{1a}
$$

$$
m_{H} = \rho_{B}(V_{C} - V_{F}) + \rho_{B}(V_{F} - V_{T}) + \rho_{B}(V_{P} - V_{A}) + \rho_{A}V_{A}
$$
 (1b)

$$
m_{\rm H} = \rho_{\rm B} V_{\rm C} (1 - f) + \rho_{\rm B} (fV_{\rm C} - x fV_{\rm C}) + \rho_{\rm B} (V_{\rm P} - V_{\rm A}) + \rho_{\rm A} V_{\rm A}
$$
 (1c)

$$
m_H = \rho_B V_C (1 - fx) + \rho_B v_P m_S (1 - \Theta_A) + \rho_A v_P m_S \Theta_A \qquad (1d)
$$

where v_p is the specific pore volume. The mass of the adsorbent can be varied and so the following substitution is required

$$
m_{\rm S} = \rho_{\rm S} V_{\rm S} \tag{2}
$$

where ρ_s is the skeletal density

$$
m_S = \rho_S (V_T - V_P) \tag{2a}
$$

$$
m_{\rm S} = \rho_{\rm S} (V_{\rm T} - v_{\rm P} m_{\rm S}) \tag{2b}
$$

Rearranging Eq. (2b) gives

$$
m_{\rm S} = \frac{V_{\rm T} \rho_{\rm S}}{1 + v_{\rm P} \rho_{\rm S}}\tag{2c}
$$

Substituting Eq. $(2c)$ into Eq. $(1d)$ gives

$$
m_{\rm H} = \rho_{\rm B} V_{\rm C} (1 - f x) + \rho_{\rm B} v_{\rm P} \frac{V_{\rm T} \rho_{\rm S}}{1 + v_{\rm P} \rho_{\rm S}} (1 - \Theta_{\rm A}) + \rho_{\rm A} v_{\rm P} \frac{V_{\rm T} \rho_{\rm S}}{1 + v_{\rm P} \rho_{\rm S}} \Theta_{\rm A}
$$
\n(3)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6533095>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6533095>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)