Applied Geography 90 (2018) 224–228

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Geography

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apgeog

Establishment of a wildfire forecasting system based on coupled weather–Wildfire modeling

Chang-Bok Rim[a], Kum-Chol Om[a,b], Guoyu Ren[b,c,*], Su-Song Kim[a], Hyok-Chol Kim[a], Kang-Chol O.[d]

[a] Department of Meteorology, Faculty of Global Environmental Science, Kim Il Sung University, Daesong District, Pyongyang, North Korea
[b] Department of Atmospheric Science, School of Environmental Studies, China University of Geosciences, 388 Lumo Road, Wuhan, 430074, China
[c] Laboratory for Climate Studies, National Climate Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, 100081, China
[d] Department of Meteorology, Faculty of Agricultural Science, Wonsan Agriculture University, Wonsan, Kangwon Province, North Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Wildland fire
WRF
Atmosphere-fire model
Monitoring
DPRK

ABSTRACT

The Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF) includes a wildland fire-behavior module, WRF-Fire, which simulates wildland fire interactions with the atmosphere. Combining the WRF model with the coupled weather–wildland fire model allows simulations of wildland fire propagation. In this paper, we have chosen the method that performs simulation of wildfire spread progress coupled with prepared weather data as soon as a wildfire is found. In simulation of the weather field data, a one-way nest model is used with a grid resolution of 1 km. The high-resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data (0.002° × 0.002°) and fuel distribution maps based on forest type data were used. We demonstrated the potential for establishing a real-time wildland fire forecasting system using WRF-Fire model based on the existing conditions and computing resources for weather condition at fire monitoring stations, which can be applied in monitoring and forecasting of the wildland fire disasters in the area of the country.

1. Introduction

Accurate forecasts of wildfire propagation are vitally important for wildfire management, including the emergent response to the wildfire through setting wildfire warning system and evacuation buffers (Larsen, Dennison, Cova, & Jones, 2011). Wildfire forecast models typically evaluate the possibility of fire reaction with various fuels (vegetation) on a landscape and analyze fire interactions with atmospheric and climate factors to guide firefighting operations (Anderson, 1982).

A number of wildfire forecasting and fire simulation studies have been developed based on work in different areas of the world (Balia, Serra, & Modugno, 2011; Coen, 2005; Dobrinkova, Jordanov, & Mandel, 2010; Finney, 1998; Peace & Mills, 2012; Peace, Mattner, & Mills, 2011). Many of these studies have evaluated the effectiveness of the wildfire module (SFIRE) in the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF) (NCAR, 2012). SFIRE is a non-static, compressible model consisting of a continuity equation, thermodynamic equation, and water vapor equation, which anticipate wind speed, temperature, water vapor, cloud water, rain, and ice water, etc. in a three-dimensional grid. SFIRE uses an Arakawa C-grid in the horizontal direction and a dynamic vertical coordinate with topography in the vertical direction. This model has multiple nested functions, enabling to establish various finer grids within coarse grid spacing. Thus, it can well simulate how a three-dimensional atmospheric flow structure varying in time influences a wildfire.

Wildland fire modeling can simulate the development, spread, and suppression of a wildfire, and also describe the rate of wildfire spread as well as the heat released in the burning of fuel in two dimensions. Wildland fire modeling deals with three physical processes: the rate of spread of the wildfire perimeter (boundary between burning fuel and unburned fuel), the release of heat in a wildfire area, and scale extension to convert released heat into the atmospheric model. Because physical processes occur on much smaller scales than is captured by the grid and time step of the atmospheric model, semi-empirical formulas are used for parameterization of the sub-grid scale in wildfire simulations (Mandel, Beezley, & Kochanski, 2011b). The wildfire perimeter advances for each time step as unburned fuel ignites and more fuel is consumed in areas that have already ignited. Atmospheric models are relatively coarse, with high-resolution only for the actual wildfire area. Release of heat is determined as a function of time of ignition, fuel properties, and atmospheric conditions.

Using WRF-Fire, coupled weather–wildland fire modeling with

---

* Corresponding author. Department of Atmospheric Science, School of Environmental Studies, China University of Geosciences, 388 Lumo Road, Wuhan, 430074, China.
E-mail address: guoyoo@cma.gov.cn (G. Ren).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2017.12.011
Received 26 March 2017; Received in revised form 11 December 2017; Accepted 11 December 2017
0143-6228/

WRF, and the wildfire behavior module for predicting wildfire, the influences of a wildfire on the atmosphere and the influence of atmospheric conditions on the wildfire can be simulated. In WRF-Fire, characteristics of the atmosphere and wildfire modules are exchanged in each time step. In the atmosphere module, the influence of the wind field on the wildfire rate of spread is calculated (Coen et al., 2013). Clark, Coen, and Latham (2003) showed a detailed interpretation of the WRF-Fire model through various experiments. They diagrammatically examined the propagation direction and the rate of fire spread, focusing specifically both on the uncoupled model, which did not consider the ambient wind effect. The results demonstrated that uncoupling was possible in a relatively simple fire simulation. They also concluded that more meteorological fire simulations can be realized using a coupled atmosphere-fire model. Kochanski et al. (2010) simulated fire plumes using a coupled atmosphere–fire model based on the ARW atmospheric core and the Rothermel fire model (Rothermel, 1972). Their results showed that the background flow characteristics were not well simulated at higher elevations where flows were away from the surface and did not affect the fire. Beezley, Kochanski, Kondratenko, Mandel, and Sousedik (2010) found that simulated results showed more rapid propagation and fire spread than actual observations in fire spread, and more detailed fuels models and moisture component data were needed to overcome these errors. Clark et al. (1996a,b) provided a more detailed description of the coupled atmosphere–fire model. Coen (2005) also reported an experiment for simulating fire using the Big Elk Fire as an example.

Clark et al. (1996a,b) used the coupled atmosphere–fire model to conduct a more in-depth study of fire spread simulations. Their results showed that if the wind speed was relatively low, the coupling between the atmosphere and the fire was strengthened and the rate of fire spread increased with wind speed; however, if the wind speed was high, the coupling between atmosphere and fire was rather weakened. This was especially true when the wind speed was more than 10 m/s. In contrast, the coupling between the fire and its induced motion weakened and decoupling between the fire and atmosphere occurred. Another study found that turbulence in the atmospheric boundary layer, which is highly affected by the ground surface, plays an important role in fire spread (Sun, Krueger, Jenkins, Zulauf, & Charney, 2009). A simulation of the effect of ambient wind on the fire spread speed by Beer (1991) showed that the fire propagation speed was more than 50% faster in wind conditions of 2–6 m/s when atmospheric conditions were unstable. Other results have shown that the background wind profile is very important for simulating fire propagation, which is dependent on low pressure associated with the development of vortices (Kochanski, Jenkins, Mandel, & Beezley, 2012b).

Near-surface wind is input from the atmosphere module to the wildfire module, which determines spread rate and direction as well as fuel conditions and topographic gradient. A simulated wildfire consumes fuels, including living and dead plants, and releases heat and water vapor to the air. Wind blown into the flame is changed under wildfire conditions. The wildfire module calculates fuel consumed and energy released by the wildfire and transfers this information to the atmospheric variable. On the lowest layer of the atmospheric model, energy released during the wildfire is transferred to the sensible and latent heat variables of the atmospheric model equations. Simpson, Sharples, Evans, and McCabe (2013, 2014) used the WRF-Fire model to investigate vorticity-driven lateral fire spread (VLS) and reported that both high spatial resolution for simulating fire spread and the two-way coupled atmosphere-fire model are important components for modeling VLS using the atmosphere–fire model.

There have been a series of studies aimed at numerically simulating the development of fire under specific conditions using the WRF-SFIRE model, which consists of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model coupled with the fire-spread model (SFIRE) (Kochanski, Beezley, Mandel, & Kim, 2012a, 2012b; Mandel, Beezley, & Kochanski, 2011a; Mandel et al., 2014a,b; Vejmelka, Kochanski , & Mandel, 2013). Vejmelka et al. (2013) suggested a method for obtaining a moisture map of various flammable substances by assimilating data on the state of the atmosphere from remote automatic weather stations (RAWS) into WRF-SFIRE. Kochanski et al. (2012a) described a newly added WRF-Chem coupling model for fire simulation, and this model reveals that fire behavior can be treated as the dynamic flow of various gas combustion materials.

Mandel et al. (2014a) provided a more detailed study of the WRF-SFIRE model. They showed that the coupling between the fire model and atmospheric chemistry was effective in improving simulations of fire and air quality, and also emphasized the possibility of using the model in other studies of atmospheric chemistry. Another study described a new assimilation method for active detection of fire based on the modification of fire arrival time using a Bayesian inverse problem technique (Mandel et al., 2014a,b).

Our study evaluated the potential for application of WRF-fire over an area in the DPRK based on prior theoretical research and numerous simulations for managing fire.

2. Atmospheric model settings and implementation

Wildfires are affected by complex and multi-scale weather and climate processes (Fox et al., 2015; Mandel et al., 2011b). Wildfire spread simulation requires meteorological fields with high-resolution. However, the higher the resolution is, the more time it requires. The purpose of wildfire forecasts is to provide rapid assistance to fire managers by predicting the direction of fire evolution. However, this requires too much computational time to use the optional run. Therefore, it is important to set the nesting domain and scale of resolution.

2.1. Nesting domains and resolution in the atmospheric model

WRF supports a multi-grid nesting configuration, and the standard coarse-to-fine grid ratio is 3. The coupled atmosphere–fire model is run in only the finest mesh. Four nested domains are required to scale the simulation down from atmospheric initialization (25 km) to the fire grid resolution (about 1 km) (Table 1). In this case, it required a great deal of time to generate a 24-h forecast. Thus, we used a one–way nesting run configuration. In one-way nesting, the parent-to-child grid ratio is 5. The construction of nested grid domains was as follows.

Model run outputs were obtained by running WRF in every analysis time step for the two domains shown in Fig. 1. The fire spread simulation was started from the time the fire was discovered in a satellite image. Mandel et al. (2014a) proposed a method of assimilating satellite data into wildfire simulations to provide fire updates using satellite image data.

We ran the WRF-SFIRE model with a grid resolution of 1 km and the domain determined in a given grid dimension with fire position as the center. The fire grid refinement was 10. A two-dimensional fire propagation model was run in finer mesh with grid spacing of 100 m subdivided by 10 times based on the 1 km-resolution atmosphere–fire model.

2.2. Calculating meteorological data using one-way nesting

First, geogrid and metgrid were run for two domains as two-way

Table 1
The resolution of atmospheric model for fire spread simulation.

Domain	Grid spacing (km)	x-grid dimension	y-grid dimension	Vertical dimension
d01	25	80	80	35
d02	5	141	141	35
d03	1	40	40	69