

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Inconsistency in opinions of forensic odontologists when considering bite mark evidence

Authors: Gowri Vijay Reesu BDS., MSc. Forensic Odontology Nathan Lee Brown BDS, MFDS RCPS, PhD, MSc., DFMS, DHID



PII: S0379-0738(16)30253-5  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.06.002>  
Reference: FSI 8494

To appear in: *FSI*

Received date: 30-12-2015  
Revised date: 16-5-2016  
Accepted date: 3-6-2016

Please cite this article as: G.V. Reesu, N.L. Brown, Inconsistency in opinions of forensic odontologists when considering bite mark evidence, *Forensic Science International* (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.06.002>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Highlights:**

1. The study here compares opinions without comparison to a dentition.
2. Inconsistency in opinions between odontologists, and also an inconsistency in opinion for individual members over time.
3. Opinions on bite mark evidence should be treated with caution.

Accepted Manuscript

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6551593>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6551593>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)