### International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 99 (2016) 53-64

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhmt

# Numerical analysis on pressure distribution for sonic steam jet condensed into subcooled water



IEAT and M

Lun Zhou<sup>a,1</sup>, Jiping Liu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Daotong Chong<sup>b,2</sup>, Junjie Yan<sup>b,3</sup>

<sup>a</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of Thermal Fluid Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, PR China
<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, PR China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 December 2015 Received in revised form 18 March 2016 Accepted 19 March 2016

Keywords: Condensation Pressure distribution Thermal hydraulic Two phase flow Stable steam jet

# ABSTRACT

When steam with high velocity is injected into quiescent subcooled water through a sonic nozzle, the phenomenon that the total pressure along central axis at the tail of steam plume can be larger than the total pressure of steam inlet was observed in some experiments, but the reason is not clear till now because of the restriction of experimental method. It is very hard to obtain the static pressure, the velocity of water and steam, as well as the dynamic pressure of steam and water. To reveal the reason, threedimensional steady simulation was carried out to study stable steam jet in subcooled water. A thermal equilibrium phase change model was inserted into Fluent as a user defined function (UDF) to model the DCC process. After the simulation model being validated, the effect of steam mass flux and water temperature on the shape of steam plume was studied, and the thermal hydraulic behavior along axial direction was investigated. Then the phenomenon that the total pressure at the tail of steam plume can be larger than that of steam inlet was also observed in the simulation result. It was found that the axial locations where the total pressure and the dynamic pressure of water reached the peak were the same, and the locations were all located at the tail of steam plume. The inference that the dynamic pressure of water resulted in the total pressure at the tail of steam plume larger than that of steam inlet was made. The inference was still valid under different steam pressures and water temperatures. Then the reason why the dynamic pressure of water was the largest at the tail of steam plume was also investigated.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Because of high efficiency of heat and mass transfer, direct contact condensation (DCC) of steam in subcooled water is used in many industrial occasions, such as direct contact feed water heaters, steam jet pumps, injectors as well as nuclear reactor emergency core cooling systems, e.g., the pressure suppression system of Boiling Water Reactors (BWRs) and the rapid depressurization system of current Pressurized Water Reactors (PWRs), etc. [1]. It has attracted many researchers' attention in the past three decades.

Stable steam jet is one of common condensation patterns during the process of DCC, and it is the objective of this manuscript. For stable steam jet, its steam plume and parameter of flow field don't change over time, approximately, and much effort has been devoted to the study of stable steam jet. Kerney et al. [2] and Weimer et al. [3] studied both experimentally and theoretically the behavior of sonic steam jet in quiescent subcooled water, and developed correlations for predicting the penetration length of steam plume. Various flow regime maps were proposed by Chan et al. [4] and Petrovic et al. [5]. Chun et al. [6] observed three types of steam plume shapes for stable sonic steam jet, i.e., conical, ellipsoidal and divergent shapes, and reported that the shape of steam plume depended on steam mass flux and water subcooling. They also proposed empirical correlations for predicting the penetration length of steam plume and the average heat transfer coefficient. Conical and ellipsoidal shapes of stable steam plumes were also reported by other researchers, such as Kostyuk et al. [7], Del Tin et al. [8] and Kim et al. [9]. Wu et al. [10] experimentally investigated the condensation of supersonic steam jet submerged in quiescent subcooled water and reported that the steam plume shape was controlled by the steam exit pressure and water temperature, and six steam plume shapes were observed. Kim et al. [11] investigated the heat transfer occurring around a stable steam plume in subcooled water, and proposed three different models,

 <sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 29 82665742; fax: +86 29 82665741.
 *E-mail addresses*: zl3112009010@stu.xjtu.edu.cn (L. Zhou), liujp@mail.xjtu.edu.
 cn (J. Liu), dtchong@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (D. Chong), yanjj@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (J. Yan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tel.: +86 29 82665742; fax: +86 29 82665741.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tel.: +86 29 82665359; fax: +86 29 82665741.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tel./fax: +86 29 82665741.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.03.070 0017-9310/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### Nomenclature

$A_{fg}$	interfacial area per unit volume, /m	$Q_f$	total heat flux from the interface to water phase, W/m <sup>2</sup>
$d_g$	steam bubble diameter, m	$\dot{Q_g}$	total heat flux from steam phase to the interface, W/m <sup>2</sup>
$d_0$	bubble diameter at subcooling $\theta_0$ , m	$q_f$	sensible heat flux from interface to water phase, $W/m^2$
$d_1$	bubble diameter at subcooling $\theta_1$ , m	$\tilde{T}_{in}$	temperature of steam at the inlet of steam nozzle, °C
H <sub>fs</sub>	saturation enthalpy of water phase, J/kg	$T_s$	temperature of steam along axial direction, °C
$\dot{H_{gs}}$	saturation enthalpy of steam phase, J/kg	$T_f$	water temperature in a cell, °C
h <sub>f</sub>	heat transfer coefficient of water phase, W/m <sup>2</sup> K	$T_{sa}$	saturation temperature, °C
$\dot{m}_{fg}$	rate of mass transfer, kg/s	$T_{w}$	water temperature in tank, °C
Nu <sub>f</sub>	Nusselt number of water phase	$V_{g}$	velocity of steam, m/s
Pin	pressure at the inlet of steam nozzle, kPa	$V_w$	velocity of water, m/s
$P_s$	static pressure, kPa	Χ	distance along x axis from nozzle inlet, m
$P_t$	total pressure, kPa	Хе	distance along x axis from nozzle exit, m
$P_b$	ambient pressure of water tank, kPa	Void-w	void fraction of water phase
$P_{v}$	dynamic pressure of steam, kPa	$P_{peak}$	peak of dynamic pressure of water, kPa
$P'_{n}$	dynamic pressure of steam concerning the void fraction	1	
0	of steam, kPa	Greek letters	
$P_w$	dynamic pressure of water, kPa	0	density of steam. kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$P'_w$	dynamic pressure of water concerning the void fraction	ρ γ	void fraction of steam phase
	of water, kPa	θ	degree of water subcooling. °C
Pr	Prandtl number		

i.e., the interfacial transport model based on turbulent intensity, the surface renewal model and the shear stress model to calculate heat transfer coefficient.

Flow field parameter during the process of stable steam jet in subcooled water has been measured by some researchers. The axial and radial temperature distributions were studied by Kim et al. [9] experimentally. In his experiment, when the steam mass flux was relatively low, the axial temperature decayed to the ambient water temperature, however, when steam mass flux was relatively high, the axial temperature decreased first, then undergone a peak, and decayed to the ambient water temperature finally. The distribution characteristic of total pressure along the axial direction was measured by Wu et al. [12] with a Pitot tube probe. They found that the total pressure at some axial locations can be larger than the total pressure of the steam inlet, and the maximum of total pressure nearly located at the tail of steam plume. Similar total pressure distribution was observed in Del Tin's experiment [8].

Although a large number of theoretical and experimental studies have been conducted, the DCC process is rather complex which refers to massive phase change, highly turbulent, compressible and the existence of expansion and compression wave. Consequently, there are some problems not well understood till now. The detailed information about the flow and heat transfer characteristic in the field, especially the region of steam plume, can give us a better understanding about the process of DCC. However, the details, such as steam void fraction, velocity, pressure and so on, are very hard to obtain from experiment owing to the restriction of measurement method. Fortunately, in addition to experimental method, Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) simulation is also a useful way to investigate the phenomenon of DCC with the development of computer science.

Gulawani et al. [13] first carried out three-dimensional CFD simulations to study the DCC phenomenon using a thermal phase change model with commercial code CFX. Parameters such as the penetration length of steam plume, radial and axial temperature distributions as well as heat transfer coefficient were studied. Shah et al. [14–16] investigated the supersonic steam jet in subcooled water and steam jet pumps using commercial CFD software Fluent 6.3, and the simulation results were in fairly good agreement with experimental data. Patel et al. [17] carried out CFD simulations of

DCC at a very low steam mass flux using NEPTUNE\_CFD and OpenFOAM. Two DCC models based on the surface renewal and the surface divergence theories were used to model the interfacial heat transfer between steam and water. The results of surface renewal model showed larger condensation rate than that of actual DCC process. The simulation results of surface divergence model were relatively accurate. In order to investigate the chugging flow during DCC in BWR suppression pools, Tanskanen et al. [18] performed CFD simulation by NEPTUNE\_CFD software with two-dimensional method. Hughes–Duffey model was adopted in the simulation to calculate the heat transfer coefficient between steam and water. Li et al. [19] conducted the simulation of chugging flow with VOF multiphase model and LES turbulence model with unsteady method, and compared the simulation results with experimental work by Chan and Lee [4].

By summarizing the research previous, although the phenomenon that the total pressure at the tail of steam plume is larger than that of the steam inlet has been observed by many researchers [8,12] for some years, the reason is still not clear, whether it is from the aspect of experiment method or simulation way. As for experimental work, the details in steam plume and two phase region are hard to obtain. When it comes to numerical simulation, little numerical work has been devoted to the issue. However, the mechanism is very important to the understanding of flow and heat transfer characteristic of DCC process, as well as the design of related DCC equipment, thus it is particularly worthy of academic investigation.

To reveal the reason why the total pressure at the tail of steam plume can be larger than that of steam inlet of stable steam jet, numerical studies were carried out to investigate sonic steam jet in this paper. At first, simulation results were compared with published experimental results to testify the validity of simulation. Then the effect of steam pressure and water temperature on the shape of steam plume was studied. Moreover, the thermal hydraulic behavior along the axial direction was investigated, and the phenomenon that the total pressure at the tail of steam plume was larger than the total pressure of steam inlet was also observed in simulation result. Then the inference that it was the dynamic pressure of water that results in the total pressure at the tail of steam plume larger than that of steam inlet was made by analyzing Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/656432

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/656432

Daneshyari.com