



Numerical and experimental investigation on the effects of diameter and length on high mass flux subcooled flow boiling in horizontal microtubes



Abdolali Khalili Sadaghiani, Ali Koşar*

Mechatronics Engineering Program, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Tuzla, Istanbul 34956, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 March 2015

Received in revised form 3 September 2015

Accepted 3 September 2015

Available online 30 September 2015

Keywords:

Microscale flow boiling

Subcooled flow boiling

High mass flux boiling

Numerical simulations

Boiling heat transfer

ABSTRACT

High mass flux subcooled flow boiling was investigated both numerically and experimentally in horizontal microtubes. Microtubes with inner diameters of ~ 600 and ~ 900 μm , and outer diameters of ~ 900 and ~ 1100 μm , and heated lengths of 6 and 12 cm were tested in order to investigate the effects of diameter and heated length on subcooled flow boiling at high mass and heat fluxes. In the experimental part, microtubes made of stainless steel were used, and deionized water was as the working fluid. In the numerical part, the two-phase Eulerian method was adopted using the finite volume approach. Numerical results showed a good agreement with experimental results. Heat transfer coefficients were higher in the microtubes with smaller diameters, while longer microtubes resulted in higher heat transfer coefficient. The results indicated that smaller pressure drops were achieved for shorter microchannels along with higher heat fluxes. Local heat transfer coefficients were presented along the microtube to provide an understanding on local flow boiling characteristics. As the vapor quality and void fraction increased, higher heat transfer coefficients were obtained. With the increase in mass flux, an enhancement in boiling heat transfer was observed implying convective heat transfer effects on flow boiling along with nucleate boiling. Furthermore, heat transfer coefficient increased with decreasing inlet subcooling.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Micro and nano scale heat transfer has attracted much interest of the heat transfer community because of its potential in its use in various engineering fields such as rapid solidification [1], microfluidic applications [2], bio MEMS (Microelectromechanical Systems) [3], thermal management [4], nano optics [5], and pool boiling [6]. With recent requirements of heat exchangers, continuous improvements in heat removal from micro scale cooling systems have been taking place. Boiling in microchannels is considered as an effective method to obtain high heat removal rates. State of art technologies [7], high mass flux flow boiling [8], numerical approaches [9], and heat transfer enhancements with surface modifications [10–12] were recently included in the literature. Low critical heat flux and flow instabilities at particularly low mass fluxes and system pressures restrict thermal performance of such systems involving phase change in micro scale. Since scaling laws are not applicable to two-phase flow and flow boiling, there exists a lack of data and information about flow boiling under subcooled boiling and high flow rate conditions in micro scale.

During the last decade, fundamental differences between micro and macro scale boiling phenomena have been reported in some studies, which include analysis of experimental data [13], Critical Heat Flux (CHF) correlations [14], two-phase pressure drop modeling [15], flow patterns [16], and flow boiling heat transfer [17]. Recent investigations were focused on developing new models and correlations for micro scale flow boiling. Small scale dimensions limit experimental studies in obtaining local heat transfer and flow characteristics in micro scale. Mechanistic modeling is considered as a powerful tool to assess local thermal and hydrodynamic characteristics and can be utilized for design and optimization of micro devices [18–20].

High mass flux boiling is getting more and more popular, where instabilities become suppressed, and higher critical heat fluxes would be achieved. Increasing flow rate changes boiling mechanism from saturated boiling to subcooled low quality boiling inside micro systems. Experimental studies on heat transfer characteristics of low quality flow boiling are already present in the literature [21–30]. Due to the importance of high mass and heat flux flow boiling studies in micro scale, the availability of reliable results and models related to local heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop are vital for researchers and engineers.

One of the first experimental investigations in subcooled flow boiling was conducted by Pierre and Bankoff [21]. They measured

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 216 4839621.

E-mail address: kosara@sabanciuniv.edu (A. Koşar).

Nomenclature

A	area (m^2), constant number (-)	λ	bulk viscosity ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)
d, D	diameter (m)	μ	shear viscosity ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)
C	constant number (-), dimensionless coefficient	v	specific volume ($\text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1}$)
c_p	specific heat capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)	ρ	density (kg m^{-3})
f	friction factor (-), frequency (s^{-1}), variable (units vary)	σ	surface tension (N m^{-1})
F	body force (N m^{-3}), surface factor (-)	Ω	portion of wall that is that is covered by vapor (-)
G	mass flux ($\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), term in the turbulent kinetic energy equation ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-3}$)	∇	Nabla (vector differential operator)
h	heat transfer coefficient ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$), specific enthalpy (J kg^{-1})	<i>Subscripts</i>	
H	enthalpy (J)	b	bubble
h_{fg}	latent heat of vaporization (kJ kg^{-1})	c	cross sectional
k	thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$), turbulence kinetic energy (J kg^{-1})	D	drag coefficient
K	projected area of bubbles (m^2)	E	evaporation heat flux
L	length (m), latent heat per unit mass (J kg^{-1})	eff	effective
\dot{m}	mass flow rate (kg s^{-1}), transferred mass per unit volume ($\text{kg m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$)	f	fluid
M_t	number of data points	FC	forced convection
MAE	mean absolute error	g	gas
N_a	active nucleation site density (-)	h	heated
Nu	Nusselt number (-)	i	inner, inlet, interfacial
Pr	Prandtl number (-)	k	related to turbulence kinetic
P	pressure (Pa), electrical power (W)	l	liquid, lift coefficient, liquid convective heat flux
Q, q	heat transfer rate (W), q th phase	$lift$	lift force
q'	volumetric heat generation (W m^{-3})	LO	entire flow as liquid
\dot{Q}, \dot{q}	heat flux (W m^{-2})	$loss$	loss
r	radius (m)	ls	liquid side
R	interaction force (N m^{-3})	m	mean, mixture
Re	Reynolds number (-)	o	outer, outlet
S	source term (units vary), bubble induced	ONB	onset of nucleate boiling
St	Stanton number (-)	p	wall-adjacent cell
T	temperature (K)	pq	between phase p and q
t	time (s)	Q	quenching heat flux
u, v, w	velocity components (m s^{-1})	sp	single phase
U	experimental parameter	sat, s	saturation, inner surface
V	volume (m^3)	SB	subcooled
\vec{V}	velocity vector (m s^{-1}), interface velocity (m s^{-1})	t	turbulence
x	location along the microtube (m), vapor quality (-)	tc	fraction that is in contact with the liquid
y	Variable (units vary), distance (m)	td	turbulence dissipation
<i>Greek</i>		tp	two-phase
α	volume fraction (-)	v	vapor
β	angle ($^\circ$), contact angle ($^\circ$)	vs	vapor side
Δ	difference (e.g. ΔP is pressure drop)	vm	virtual mass
ε	turbulence dissipation rate ($\text{J Kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$), surface porosity	vw	vapor and close to wall
κ_a	Von Karman constant (-)	vl	wall lubrication
τ	shear tensor (Pa)	w	wall
		x	location, vapor quality
		ε	related to turbulence dissipation
		v	related to bubble shear

void fraction at different cross sections in a vertical rectangular channel. Their experiments showed no evidence of void peak near the walls. This is important because implementing the wall lubrication force for adiabatic two-phase flow resulted in the void fraction peak near the wall boundaries. This was proven to be advantageous for air/water two-phase flows as well as for water flow boiling [31–33].

Bartel and Lee et al. [25,27] studied radial flow characteristics in vertical tubes. They observed that the liquid velocity profiles generally deviated from the profiles of single-phase flow due to the non-uniform void fraction and vapor velocity distributions. Lie and Lin [34] experimentally investigated channel size effects on subcooled flow boiling heat transfer of refrigerant R-134a in a horizontal narrow annular duct. They found that subcooled flow

boiling heat transfer coefficient increased with a reduction in the gap size, but decreased with an increase in the inlet liquid subcooling for subcooled boiling of R-134a. Wang and Cheng [30] investigated subcooled flow boiling and microbubble emission boiling (MEB) phenomena of deionized water in a partially heated Pyrex glass microchannel. Their results indicated that a vapor bubble in contact with a highly subcooled liquid could break up into many microbubbles due to condensation and instability of bubble interface between vapor and subcooled water.

Martín-Callizo et al. [35] investigated subcooled flow boiling heat transfer of refrigerant R-134a in vertical cylindrical micro- and mini-tubes. They concluded that an increase in mass flux lead to early subcooled boiling, which resulted in an increase in heat transfer coefficient, whereas an increase in mass flux only resulted

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/656585>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/656585>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)