International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 86 (2015) 319-333

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhmt

Effective diffusivity in partially-saturated carbon-fiber gas diffusion layers: Effect of through-plane saturation distribution



HEAT and M

Pablo A. García-Salaberri^a, Gisuk Hwang^b, Marcos Vera^a, Adam Z. Weber^c, Jeff T. Gostick^{d,*}

^a Dept. de Ingeniería Térmica y de Fluidos, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganés 28911, Spain

^b Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Wichita State University, Wichita, KS, USA

^c Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

^d Dept. of Chemical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 November 2014 Received in revised form 25 February 2015 Accepted 25 February 2015 Available online 21 March 2015

Keywords: Effective diffusivity Gas diffusion layer Water saturation Finite size X-ray tomography Lattice Boltzmann method

ABSTRACT

The effective diffusivity of gaseous species in partially-saturated finite-size porous media is a valuable parameter for mathematical modeling of many processes, but it is difficult to measure experimentally. In this work, the effective diffusivity of carbon-fiber gas diffusion layers (GDLs) used in polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) was determined by performing lattice Boltzmann (LB) simulations on X-ray tomographic reconstructions of invading water configurations. Calculations on dry GDLs were in close agreement with previous experimental data; the effective diffusivity was reduced by the addition of PTFE due to the loss of pore volume and the higher tortuosity of transport paths. The effect of water saturation was significantly larger. It was found that the resistance of water to gas transport was extremely dependent on the saturation distribution through the porous medium, particularly the peak saturation, and not just the average saturation as is typically considered in the literature. Through-plane diffusion was dramatically limited in materials with high-peak local saturations, even at low average saturation levels. No significant limitations were observed for diffusion in the material plane. The computed results demonstrate the strong sensitivity of finite-size porous media to local conditions, highlighting the difficulties of applying volume-averaged continuum-scale modeling techniques to micro-scale materials.

1. Introduction

Polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) are a leading candidate to replace internal combustion engines for automotive applications, as they are the only presently available technology that can offer equivalent power density, range and refueling times in a sustainable way. The electrochemical conversion of reactants in PEFCs leads to virtually zero emissions of pollutants (water and heat are the only products generated in the cell), and provides 2–3 times higher efficiency as compared to high-temperature combustion devices [1]. However, engineering factors such as high cost and limited durability remain significant barriers to large-scale commercialization. To reduce cost, it is imperative that the mass of platinum (Pt) catalyst per vehicle be reduced significantly; this can be achieved by lowering the loading of Pt per unit active cell area, or reducing the active area (or both). The latter approach, however, requires an increase of the current density (A/m²) of

the cells, to maintain the output power (W) of the stack. Therefore, thorough understanding of the transport of reactants and products to/from the catalyst sites in PEFCs is needed [2–5].

One key element of a fuel-cell electrode is the gas diffusion layer (GDL), which is a thin highly porous layer composed of carbon fibers. The GDL must fulfill several functions such as providing structural stability to the cell, and transport pathways for gaseous reactants and liquid water through its pore volume and electronic current and heat through its solid structure. A deep knowledge of the effects of liquid water on the gas diffusivity of GDLs is essential to achieving an increased PEFC performance [2–5].

The standard approach for modeling the effective diffusivity in porous materials is to modify the bulk diffusion coefficient, D_{bulk} , to get an effective diffusivity, D^{eff} , through the porous domain [6],

$$D^{\rm eff} = D_{\rm bulk} \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm V}}{\tau} \tag{1}$$

where ε_V is the void volume fraction of the porous medium, and τ is the so-called tortuosity, which accounts for the indirect route that gas molecules must follow to traverse the 'tortuous' pore space. In porous media whose pore structure is partially filled with liquid

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 514 398 4301; fax: +1 514 398 6678. *E-mail address:* jeff.gostick@mcgill.ca (J.T. Gostick). *URL:* http://pmeal.com/ (J.T. Gostick).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.02.073 0017-9310/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature

Symbols		ε _V	void volume fraction
A	CDL cross-sectional area [LB units]-[m ²]	$\lambda(s)$	saturation-dependent function; see Fig. 10
C	mass concentration [IB units]-[mol/m ³]	ρ	density [kg/m ³]
c	lattice velocity [IB units]-[m/s]	τ	tortuosity/dimensionless relaxation time
c	lattice 'speed of sound' [LB units] [m/s]	$\phi_{\rm V}$	solid volume fraction
Сs D	mass diffusivity [IR units] $[m^2/s]$	Ψ	parameter defined in Eqs. (8) and (9)
d d	diameter [m]	·	
u d	nore diameter [m]	Subscripts	
up f	pore didificate [III]	συσ	volume-averaged quantity in the whole sample
$\int f(\mathbf{r})$	particle distribution function [LD diffusivity function: see Eq. (2)	hulk	bulk conditions
J (8)	requitational accoloration $[m/s^2]$		computational domain
g g(c)	glavitational acceleration [III/S]	crit	critical local transport conditions: see Figs 9 and 12
g(s)	height [m]	D/C	dilation/contraction
n T	neight [hi]	D/C F	fiber
1	grayscale intensity	I' ET	full thickness
J	FICKIAN diffusion flux [LB units]-[moi/m ⁻ s]	rı a	run unchiess
Li	GDL sample length in t-direction [LB units]-[m]	в ID	gas pilase
n	best-fit power-law exponent	IP in	inlet/inper
р	pressure [Pa]	:	direction of interest on under study
p_{c}	capillary pressure [Pa]	J	direction of interest of under study
R	radius [m]	1	liquid phase
S	liquid saturation	out	outlet
$S_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}_i)$	<i>yz</i> -averaged liquid saturation at slice $x = x_i$		total
$\bar{s}_z(z_i)$	xy-averaged liquid saturation at slice $z = z_i$	IP	through-plane direction
t	time [LB units]-[s]	th	threshold
Δt	time step [LB units]-[s]	W	water
V	volume [LB units]-[m ³]		
X	in-plane coordinate [LB units]-[m]	Superscripts	
<i>x</i> _i	node position [LB units]-[m]	char	characteristic
Δx	spatial increment [LB units]-[m]	eff	effective
у	secondary in-plane coordinate [LB units]-[m]	eq	equilibrium
Ζ	through-plane coordinate [LB units]-[m]	flat	flat saturation distribution
		dry	dry conditions
Greek letters		lin	linear saturation distribution
α	index	max	maximum
δ_7	thickness window length of the GDL in the LBM sim-	min	minimum
2	ulations [m]: see Fig. 7	wet	wet or partially-saturated conditions
3	porosity	*	dimensionless or normalized value
$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{i}})$	xy-averaged porosity at slice $z = z_i$		
2 (· 1)			

water, gas diffusion is further blocked since liquid offers essentially no gas flux. The configuration, distribution, and extent of water within the pore space have major impacts on the diffusion rates, above and beyond the pore-structure effects [7]. To differentiate the impacts of the pore/throat structure of the dry material from those of the invading liquid, Eq. (1) can be decomposed into two independent functions, one accounting for the effect of the unsaturated medium, $f(\varepsilon)$, and another for the relative effect of liquid in the pore space, g(s):

$$\frac{D^{\text{eff,wet}}}{D_{\text{bulk}}} = \frac{D^{\text{eff,dry}}}{D_{\text{bulk}}} \frac{D^{\text{eff,wet}}}{D^{\text{eff,dry}}} = f(\varepsilon)g(s)$$
(2)

where ε is the porosity, *s* is the liquid saturation (defined as the ratio of liquid volume to pore volume), and $D^{\text{eff,dry}}$ and $D^{\text{eff,wet}}$ are the effective diffusivities of the porous medium under dry, and partially-saturated (or wet) conditions, respectively. A review of previous studies attempting to determine $f(\varepsilon)$ and g(s) for fuel-cell GDL materials is presented below.

Multiple experimental [8–19], numerical [20–22] and semianalytical [23–28] works on the dry effective diffusivity function, $f(\varepsilon)$, for carbon-paper GDLs can be found in the literature. These investigations provide fundamental information about the effects of compression, the fabrics of the substrate, the addition of wet-proofing agents, and the incorporation of micro-porous layers (MPLs) on the effective gas diffusivity of GDLs. Good agreement is observed among different authors. All of them show that the effective diffusivity of many carbon-fiber papers is anisotropic, with higher values in the in-plane direction due to preferential alignment of the GDL fiber structure in the material plane [8]. Moreover, it has been generally found that the effective diffusivity is notably lower than predicted by most established and widely used models, such as Bruggeman's effective medium theory [29], or the random fiber model by Tomadakis and Sotirchos [30]. The deviations from these idealized models are explained by the complex structure formed by fibers, binder, and PTFE in carbon-paper materials. For instance, the sintering processes of carbon-fiber GDLs typically results in a webbed region at fiber intersection points that offers little porosity but dramatically increases tortuosity.

In contrast, very few experimental measurements on the relative effective diffusivity, g(s), of GDLs have been reported in the literature [10,31,32]. This is due to the difficulty of measuring species concentration and flux in GDLs with thicknesses between 100 and 400 µm, while simultaneously controlling the amount of water. For instance, Utaka et al. [31] used custom GDLs, which were 10–20 times thicker than those actually used in fuel cells, in order to achieve a detectable concentration gradient. Hence, a

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/656741

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/656741

Daneshyari.com