



Simulation of supercritical fuel injection with condensation



Lu Qiu*, Rolf D. Reitz

Engine Research Center, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1500 Engineering Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 July 2014

Received in revised form 29 August 2014

Accepted 29 August 2014

Available online 26 September 2014

Keywords:

Supercritical injection

Phase equilibrium

Phase stability

Compressible two-phase flows

Equation of state

Isoenergetic–isochoric flash

ABSTRACT

Supercritical injection and the accompanying potential condensation processes were numerically investigated with a consistent treatment for both the fluid dynamics and thermodynamics with a realistic equation of state model. Qualitative and quantitative improvement was seen on the predicted injectant density with real gas simulations when compared to available experimental data, emphasizing the importance of thermodynamic property non-ideality at supercritical conditions. Recently developed phase stability and equilibrium solvers utilizing fundamental thermodynamics principles were also applied to capture phase transitions. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive simulation against experimental data of supercritical injection with phase separation. Furthermore, the simulation results were found to agree with the experimental results in three aspects related to the phase change. The first observation is that condensation is predicted to occur if and only if the temperature difference between the injectant and ambient is large enough to promote strong heat transfer interactions. The simulations also show that condensation becomes intensified when the chamber temperature is further reduced. Second, such condensation is only possible for supercritical-to-subcritical but not for supercritical-to-supercritical injections. Third, a condensed liquid phase is found to form at the jet boundary where the energy and mixing interactions between the “hot” injectant and the “cold” surrounding gas are strong. The intensive local heat exchange finally sends the mixture into the two-phase region by crossing the dew point line with condensation.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Liquid fuel injection is a common process that occurs in a number of energy conversion devices, such as gas turbines, diesel engines and gasoline direct-injection (GDI) engines. Understanding the complex physical processes (e.g., liquid jet breakup, atomization, turbulent mixing, evaporation, etc.) is critical to better utilize the fossil fuels because the fuel–air mixing plays a critical role in the subsequent gas phase combustion processes. A number of classical physical breakup and atomization models have been widely accepted and used in spray simulations. For instance, the Kelvin–Helmholtz Rayleigh–Taylor breakup model [1–3], which identifies the fastest growing wave (with highest surface instability) on a droplet surface and considers it to be responsible for the subsequent breakup, has been widely used in state-of-the-art multidimensional diesel spray simulations [4–10]. Current diesel engines are generally equipped with high pressure injectors, and to enhance the fuel/air mixing processes, the injection pressure has been increased from around

1000 bar to as high as 2000–3000 bar in recent years. Under such high pressure conditions, the injected fuel is far above its thermodynamic critical pressure so it is in a highly compressed liquid state.

Recent large eddy simulation (LES) modeling shows that, under high injection pressure conditions, an *n*-heptane fuel jet may be approximated as a dense fluid, for which the traditional atomization and breakup processes do not exist [11]. Dahms and Oefelein [12] applied a linear gradient theory to quantify at what operating pressures the phase transition processes can be analyzed using classical two-phase atomization theories, or quite differently, based on diffusion-dominated mixing. Some potential benefits of injecting supercritical diesel fuels in engines have also been experimentally proved. Anitescu et al. [13] found that the jet spreading angle was enlarged and the observable jet core length was reduced, which is direct evidence that fuel/air mixing was significantly enhanced when dieseline (a mixture of diesel and gasoline) was injected in a supercritical state. It was also argued that such distinctive behavior could further reduce the fuel impingement and wall film formation in direct injection engines [13]. This is especially beneficial to advanced low temperature combustion (LTC) strategies for which early fuel injection is generally a prerequisite to improve the homogeneity of the fuel air mixture. For instance, in

* Corresponding author at: Room 1011, Engineering Research Building, 1500 Engineering Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA. Tel.: +1 662 617 9132.

E-mail addresses: lqiu4@wisc.edu (L. Qiu), reitz@engr.wisc.edu (R.D. Reitz).

Nomenclature

a	energy parameter in Eq. (A1)	δ_{ij}	binary interaction parameter between component i and j
a_i	energy parameter for component i in Eq. (A5)	κ	parameter in Eq. (A3)
a_{ij}	energy parameter between component i and j in Eq. (A5)	λ	vapor phase volume fraction
b_i	volume parameter for component i in Eq. (A5)	τ	characteristic time scale to reach equilibrium
b	volume parameter in Eq. (A1)	μ	dynamic viscosity coefficient
C_1	constant used in Eq. (B2)	ξ	constant used in Eq. (B1)
C_2	constant used in Eq. (B2)	ρ	density
C_p	isobaric heat capacity	σ	surface tension
C_s	isentropic sound speed	φ	fugacity coefficient
C_v	isochoric heat capacity	ω	acentric factor
f	fugacity		
f_{obj}	the objective function in Eq. (4)	Subscripts	
F	heat flux term	c	critical property
h	specific enthalpy	L	liquid phase
J	viscous stress tensor	V	vapor phase
k	turbulent kinetic energy		
k_B	Boltzmann constant used in Eq. (B1)	Superscripts	
K	heat conduction coefficient	0	ideal gas
m	molecular mass used in Eq. (B1)	d	departure value
Ma	the Mach number	liq	liquid phase
n_i	mole number of component i	$spec$	specified value
n_{ij}	mole number of component i in phase j	s	saturation state of a pure species
n	mole number	T	transpose
N_c	number of components		
N_p	number of phases	Abbreviations	
P	pressure	ASI	after start of injection
Re	the Reynolds number	C_6F_{14}	perfluorohexane
R_u	universal gas constant, 8.314 J/mol-K	$C_6F_{12}O$	fluoroketone
S	entropy	CO_2	carbon dioxide
T	temperature	CFD	computational fluid dynamics
u	specific internal energy	DNS	direct numerical simulation
U	internal energy	EOS	equation of state
v	specific molar volume	GDI	gasoline direct-injection
v^{liq}	molecular volume of the liquid phase	H_2O	water
\vec{V}	velocity vector	LES	large eddy simulation
x^{liq}	mole fraction of the liquid phase	LTC	low temperature combustion
x_i	mole fraction of component i	MW	molar weight
Z	compressibility factor	N_2	nitrogen
		PLIF	planar laser induced fluorescence
Greek letters		PR	Peng–Robinson
α	parameter in Eq. (A2)	RANS	Reynolds-average Navier–Stokes
\mathcal{D}	mass diffusion coefficient	RCCI	reactivity controlled compression ignition
ε	turbulent dissipation rate	TKE	turbulent kinetic energy
γ	specific heat ratio	UVn	isoenergetic–isochoric flash
		VLE	vapor–liquid equilibrium

dual-fuel reactivity controlled compression ignition (RCCI) combustion, the first injection is as early as 67 crank angles before top dead center [14], which can introduce a significant amount of fuel impingement on the cylinder walls and in the piston-liner region.

Fluid behavior under supercritical conditions has been widely investigated and has been shown to be drastically different from that under subcritical conditions. Newman and Brzustowski [15] reported the first experimental work on supercritical carbon dioxide (CO_2) as the injectant and nitrogen (N_2) as the ambient, for which the pressure was above the critical pressure of CO_2 . Experiments show that the higher the ambient gas density at high temperature, the finer the spray (e.g., reduced droplet size and number density), similar to the situation for subcritical environments. In the following, otherwise specified, supercritical or subcritical is used with reference to the critical properties of the injectant. In a supercritical temperature environment, liquid droplets can reach

their critical temperature during the heating process, resulting in a significant reduction of surface tension.

Analysis at supercritical temperatures using turbulent submerged single-phase jet theory (e.g., velocity and temperature similarities) in Ref. [15] showed that the predicted jet flow behavior (e.g., variation of the axial mean velocity, location of jet boundaries) resembled jets at supercritical chamber temperatures. When the local evaporating rate was low due to high concentrations of the injectant, and when the injection velocity was high, the authors concluded that the “spray” could be characterized as “single-phase” turbulent gas jet. A thorough review is beyond the scope of the present paper, but can be found in a recent review article by Chehroudi [16], which includes many well-illustrating experimental images. The major conclusion regarding a single jet issuing into a quiescent chamber is that: when the chamber pressure exceeds the critical pressure of the injectant, classical liquid jet breakup and droplet formation are suppressed or not observed.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/657475>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/657475>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)