



# Characteristics of fouling development in shell-and-tube heat exchanger: Effects of velocity and installation location

Chao Shen<sup>a,b</sup>, Chris Cirone<sup>a</sup>, Liangcheng Yang<sup>a</sup>, Yiqiang Jiang<sup>b</sup>, Xinlei Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Heat Pump and Air Conditioning Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150090, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 31 January 2013

Received in revised form 10 January 2014

Accepted 15 May 2014

Available online 11 June 2014

### Keywords:

Pig-farm wastewater

Fouling

Heat transfer coefficient

Particle size distribution

Fouling resistance

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of wastewater velocity and installation location of a shell-and-tube heat exchanger on particle fouling deposited within the heat exchanger. Three long-term fouling tests with the heat exchanger installed at the shoot-outlet of a pump with varied wastewater flow rates (low, medium, and high), and one test with the heat exchanger installed at the suction-inlet of a pump at a constant (medium) flow rate were conducted. Variation of the heat transfer coefficient and fouling resistance was measured for each test and a sample of the accumulated foulant was collected at the end of each test to determine its particle size distribution. The particle size distribution of the foulant collected from each test case was analyzed and compared to the size distribution of particles in the wastewater. Results suggested that the diameters of particles deposited on the tube surfaces were mainly in the range of 1.5–88  $\mu\text{m}$ . The average particle diameter of fouling was 40.8  $\mu\text{m}$  at a velocity of 0.31 m/s (low), 24.4  $\mu\text{m}$  at a velocity of 0.46 m/s (medium), and 18.6  $\mu\text{m}$  at a velocity of 0.69 m/s (high). Asymptotic fouling resistances were  $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $0.59 \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $0.22 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2 \text{ K/W}$  respectively for the low, medium and high velocities. In addition, negative fouling resistances were observed at the beginning of fouling development with low and medium wastewater velocities. Results also showed that both the asymptotic fouling resistances and the average particle diameter of fouling obtained with the heat exchanger installed at the suction-inlet of pump were larger than that with heat exchanger installed at the shoot-outlet. On average, 71% (by mass) of fouling consisted of ash ingredient.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A heat exchanger exposed to wastewater is likely to form fouling, which is a biofilm that covers the heat exchanger surfaces. Fouling deposit is a major problem in heat exchanger operation as it increases both thermal resistance and pressure drop, thus affecting the initial capital investment as well as operating costs [1]. The cost of fouling and corrosion in the US industry was \$3–10 billion in 1985 [2]. This problem therefore calls for research on characterization of fouling deposition and development of appropriate fouling mitigation methods.

Mechanisms of fouling development are widely investigated. Previous studies mainly focused on several factors including operation time, geometric structure [3], surface material of heat transfer tubes, hydrodynamic flow conditions [4], and fluid

temperature [5]. It was noted that tubes bundled with un-equal cylinders achieved a significant (30%) reduction of particle deposition rate [6]. Enhanced tubes with cone roughness had a higher asymptotic fouling resistance than plain tubes [7]. Increasing the start number and the helix angle of enhanced tubes with internal helical ridges could increase the potential of fouling [8]. It also has been demonstrated that titanium tubes are more prone to be fouled than brass tubes [9]. In a low velocity range (<0.5 m/s), the deposition process is mainly controlled by mass transfer [10]. As velocity increases, the asymptotic limit of fouling resistance decreases accordingly [9].

In general, there are five types of fouling mechanisms: precipitation, particulate, chemical reaction, biological, and corrosion [11]. Natural fouling usually combines two or more fouling mechanisms, which gives rise to the complexity of the research. To understand the phenomenon of fouling, researchers always focused on one specific fouling mechanism, such as particle fouling. Webb and Kim [12] reported particulate fouling deposited on three internally-ribbed tubes and a plain tube. Freeman [13] investigated the effects of particulate fouling on augmented

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 217 3334446; fax: +1 217 2440323.

E-mail addresses: [chaoshen@illinois.edu](mailto:chaoshen@illinois.edu) (C. Shen), [ccirone2@illinois.edu](mailto:ccirone2@illinois.edu) (C. Cirone), [Yang126@illinois.edu](mailto:Yang126@illinois.edu) (L. Yang), [jyq7245@sina.com](mailto:jyq7245@sina.com) (Y. Jiang), [xwang2@illinois.edu](mailto:xwang2@illinois.edu) (X. Wang).

## Nomenclatures

$A_o$	total heat transfer area, $m^2$	$T_{wwo}$	wastewater temperatures at the outlet of the heat exchanger, K
$C_b$	bulk particle concentration, $kg/m^3$	$T_{cwi}$	circulating water temperatures at the inlet of the heat exchanger, K
$C_1$	positive constant	$T_{cwo}$	circulating water temperatures at the outlet of the heat exchanger, K
$C_2$	positive constant	$T$	absolute temperature, K
$c_p$	specific heat of water at constant pressure, $J/(kg\ K)$	$t$	operation time, s
$D$	Brownian diffusivity, $m^2/s$	$t_r^+$	dimensionless relaxation time
$d_o$	outer and inner diameter of tube, m	$U$	total heat transfer coefficient, $W/(m^2\ K)$
$d_i$	inner diameter of tube, m	$U(0)$	heat transfer coefficient of clean heat exchanger, $W/(m^2\ K)$
$d_x$	outer diameter of fouling layer, m. $d_x = d_o + 2\delta_f$	$U(t)$	heat transfer coefficient of heat exchanger at time $t$ , $W/(m^2\ K)$
$d_p$	diameter of the particle, m	$u$	fluid velocity (wastewater), m/s
$F_T$	variation coefficient of temperature difference, 0.96–0.98	$u^*$	friction velocity, $(= \sqrt{\tau_s \rho_p})$ , m/s
$h_{cw}$	convective heat transfer coefficient of the circulating water, $W/(m^2\ K)$	$\dot{V}$	flowrate of circulating water, $m^3/s$
$h_{ww}$	convective heat transfer coefficient of wastewater, $W/(m^2\ K)$	$V_r$	radial velocity of particle, m/s
$K$	constant	$V_{fl}$	fluid velocity normal to the surface, m/s
$K_B$	Boltzmann constant ( $1.38E-23\ J/K$ )	$V_B$	Brownian velocity, m/s
$K_D$	particle deposition coefficient, m/s		
$K_m$	mass transfer coefficient, m/s		
$k_f$	thermal conductivity of deposition, $W/(m\ K)$		
$m$	mass of particle, kg		
$Nu$	Nusselt number		
$P$	sticking probability		
$Pr$	Prandtl number		
$R$	total thermal resistance, $m^2\ K/W$		
$R_f^*$	asymptotic fouling resistance, $m^2\ K/W$		
$R_f$	real-time fouling resistance, $m^2\ K/W$		
$R_l$	overall thermal resistance of heat transfer per unit length, $m\ K/W$		
$R_{f,min}$	minimum fouling resistance, $m^2\ K/W$		
$Re$	Reynolds number		
$r$	distance from the particle to center of tube, m		
$Sc$	Schmidt number		
$T_{wwi}$	wastewater temperatures at the inlet of the heat exchanger, K		

## Greek symbols

$a$	radius of the tube, m
$\beta$	constant
$\delta_f$	thickness of fouling, m
$\theta$	angle of location of the particle in polar coordinate system, Degree
$\lambda_t$	conductivity factor of the tube, $W/(m\ K)$
$\lambda_f$	conductivity factor of fouling, $W/(m\ K)$
$\mu$	absolute viscosity, $N\ s/m^2$
$\nu$	kinematic viscosity, $m^2/s$
$\xi$	deposit strength factor, $N\ s/m^2$
$\rho_f$	density of deposition, $kg/m^3$
$\rho_p$	density of particle, $kg/m^3$
$\rho$	density of wastewater, $kg/m^3$
$\tau_s$	surface shear stress, $N/m^2$

surfaces in double-pipe heat exchangers, and showed that the asymptotic fouling resistance decreased with the increase of Reynolds number and the decrease of particle concentration. Following that, Chamra and Webb [14] investigated particulate fouling in heat exchangers where three different-size particles existed in a flowing water stream; their results showed that the asymptotic fouling resistance increased with particle concentration.

Most studies were carried out based on artificial wastewater with a fixed particle size. Since the development of fouling depends on fluid parameters and operation conditions, fouling research using real wastewater is needed. Understanding the development of fouling, especially by real wastewater, is very important for the modeling of fouling and the design of fouling mitigation strategies. However, at present, there is limited knowledge and data about fouling from actual wastewater sources.

Another area of interest while looking at the development of fouling in a heat exchanger subject to wastewater is the supporting system design. A water pump is a necessary device to circulate water through a heat exchanger. The turbulent behavior of fluid at the suction-inlet and shoot-outlet of a water pump is different, which may affect fouling development. There is no data available about the effects of the pump installation location relative to a heat exchanger, thus, there is a need to characterize this phenomenon.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of wastewater velocity and installation location of a heat exchanger

relative to a wastewater pump on the fouling deposition onto the surface of bundled tubes in a shell-and-tube heat exchanger. The variation of fouling resistance and particle size distributions measured at different operation conditions are presented with detailed analysis.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Materials

Wastewater used in this experiment was sampled from a sump containing wastewater discharged from a pig farm. Wastewater

**Table 1**  
Character of wastewater.

Parameters	Value
Total dry matter concentration (mg/mL)	3.04
Volatile dry matter concentration (mg/mL)	2.67
Suspended dry matter concentration (mg/mL)	1.65
Volatile suspended matter concentration (mg/mL)	1.47
Suspended ash matter concentration (mg/mL)	0.18
PH	7.9
Ammoniacal nitrogen (as $NH_3^+$ ) (mg/mL)	0.71
COD (mg/mL)	3.44
Phosphate (mg/mL)	0.056

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/657805>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/657805>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)