

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: A deep belief network based fault diagnosis model for complex chemical processes

Authors: Zhanpeng Zhang, Jinsong Zhao

PII: S0098-1354(17)30105-9  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.compchemeng.2017.02.041>  
Reference: CACE 5744

To appear in: *Computers and Chemical Engineering*

Received date: 21-10-2016  
Revised date: 21-2-2017  
Accepted date: 22-2-2017



Please cite this article as: Zhang, Zhanpeng., & Zhao, Jinsong., A deep belief network based fault diagnosis model for complex chemical processes. *Computers and Chemical Engineering* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2017.02.041>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## A deep belief network based fault diagnosis model for complex chemical processes

Zhanpeng Zhang, Jinsong Zhao\*

State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: jinsongzhao@tsinghua.edu.cn (J. Zhao).

**Abstract:** Data-driven methods have been regarded as desirable methods for fault detection and diagnosis (FDD) of practical chemical processes. However, with the big data era coming, how to effectively extract and present fault features is one of the keys to successful industrial applications of FDD technologies. In this paper, an extensible deep belief network (DBN) based fault diagnosis model is proposed. Individual fault features in both spatial and temporal domains are extracted by DBN sub-networks, aided by the mutual information technology. A global two-layer back-propagation network is trained and used for fault classification. In the final part of this paper, the bench marked Tennessee Eastman process is utilized to illustrate the performance of the DBN based fault diagnosis model.

**Keywords:** fault diagnosis; deep belief network; feature extraction; early warning; alarm management.

### 1. Introduction

Modern chemical processes are highly automatic due to the contribution of advanced process control systems. However, accidents still happen and have caused tremendous casualties, environmental and economic losses. For example, the BP Texas City refinery explosion accident and the PetroChina's Jilin chemical plant explosion accident in 2005 (Shu, et al., 2016). Even though human factors are usually involved in chemical accidents, abnormal situation management (ASM) still heavily relies on human operators to handle a large amount of alarms. Therefore, how to detect abnormal situations early enough and help operators make timely and reliable decisions is vital for preventing accidents. As a central component of ASM, process fault detection and diagnosis (FDD) has drawn increased attention from academia and industry over the last three decades.

The FDD methods can be classified into three parts: quantitative model based, qualitative model based and process history based methods (Venkatasubramanian, et al., 2003a, b, c). Among these methods, the quantitative process history based methods or data-driven methods possess more potential to be applied in the chemical processes. One set of data-driven methods are statistical methods such as principal component analysis (PCA) (Kresta, et al., 1991; Russell, et al., 2000; Cho, et al., 2005; Ge, et al., 2009; Fan & Wang, 2014; Rato, et al., 2016), partial least squares (PLS) (Piovoso & Kosanovich, 1994), independent component analysis (ICA) (Kano, et al., 2003; Jong-Min Lee, et al., 2007), fisher discriminant analysis (FDA) (Chiang, et al., 2000), subspace aided approach (SAP) (Ding, et al., 2009) and correspondence analysis CA (Detroja, et al., 2007). Yin et. al. performs an interesting comparison study with the above methods and their derivatives in the benchmark Tennessee Eastman (TE) process (Downs & Vogel, 1993). The comparisons show that different FDD methods correspond to distinct fault diagnosis rates and the average fault diagnosis rate of all 21 faults based on TE data sets is about 73.8% to 84.4% (Yin, et al., 2012). A framework of Bayesian diagnosis is proposed by Huang (2008). Based on Gaussian mixture model and optimal principal components, a Bayesian diagnosis system is developed for multimode processes (Jiang, et

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6595104>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6595104>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)