G Model ECE 163 1-10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Education for Chemical Engineers xxx (2018) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Education for Chemical Engineers

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ece

A virtual lab as a complement to traditional hands-on labs: Characterization of an alkaline electrolyzer for hydrogen production

³ Q1 J.C. Domínguez^{a,*}, R. Miranda^a, E.J. González^b, M. Oliet^a, M.V. Alonso^a

4 Q2 ^a Departamento de Ingeniería Química y Materiales, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Avda. Complutense s/n, 28040 5 Madrid, Spain

^b Departamento de Ingeniería Química Industrial y Medio Ambiente, E.T.S. de Ingenieros Industriales, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, C/ José Gutierrez Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

96 ARTICLE INFO

10 Article history:

Received 22 December 2017

- 13 Received in revised form 16 March 2018
- 14 Accepted 19 March 2018
- 15 Available online xxx

ABSTRACT

Laboratories are commonly included as part of university courses as a way to relate theoretical lectures with experimental processes. In this sense, an excellent tool widely employed in academic teaching is the use of simulation software as a link between theory and traditional hands-on practice. This work is focused on the use of a virtual lab, i.e., a simulation, to study the electrolysis of water for hydrogen production, as a complement to traditional hands-on labs to help students comprehend the basics of this industrial process. At Complutense University of Madrid, students perform this laboratory as part of an engineering course included in the program of the chemical engineering bachelor's degree during their junior year. First, in this work, the hands-on lab is described as one of the cases proposed. Three more cases involving the virtual lab are also included as part of the laboratory experience. The last proposed case is focused on the theoretical background that students should have acquired during the hands-on and virtual lab sessions: three questions have to be addressed by the students. This work also includes a section where the opinions of students, their feedback after performing the labs, and the opinions of their professors (the authors) are incorporated to finally report some ideas for future work and conclusions about the ongoing teaching experience. A peculiarity of the use of virtual labs is that, in this case, they are performed after the hands-on labs, and therefore, they do not aim to prepare students for the laboratory by presenting the necessary theory for the experiment. The motivation of this virtual lab is to provide students with knowledge of the physical/chemical phenomena that govern the electrolysis process through the use of a theoretical model in order to reduce the limitations of the hands-on labs, such as the operating conditions, i.e., time, temperature, and number of cells, and to provide them with values for the parameters of a real Q4 system that can help with a critical discussion of the measured results.

© 2018 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

17 **1. Introduction**

Currently, Information and Communications Technology (ICT) 18 facilitates the development of new learning processes, particu-19 larly for university instruction. The use of these tools as part of 20 the laboratories that are included in many of the offered courses 21 as a method of improving teaching is becoming quite common 22 (Gautam et al., 2016). In the field of chemical engineering, there are 23 many teaching experiences in which simulations are used as part 24 of new teaching training (Calvo and Prieto, 2016; Golman, 2016; 25 Komulainen et al., 2012; Ruiz-Ramos et al., 2017) in the develop-26 ment of virtual laboratories (Domingues et al., 2010; Iborra et al., 27

Q3 * Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jucdomin@ucm.es (J.C. Domínguez).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2018.03.002

1749-7728/© 2018 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

2014; Rasteiro et al., 2009). Although simulations are a fundamental tool for the future chemical engineer (Dahm et al., 2002), there is still some skepticism as to the extent of the usefulness of these tools, in particular, their use as a replacement of the traditional hands-on laboratory experiences (Hawkins and Phelps, 2013). For this reason, virtual labs are usually implemented prior to hands-on labs. The effectiveness and relevance of this pre-laboratory training have been tested for its use as a tool that enhances the laboratory experience of the students (Gautam et al., 2016) whenever the difficulty and the goals have been stated previously (Hawkins and Phelps, 2013).

The motivation of this study arose when checking the deficiencies and difficulties that students showed in understanding the process of the electrolysis of water while performing a traditional hands-on lab. The solution to this problem was found in the opportunities offered by the new ICT, specifically the development of

39

40

41

42

43

29

30

31

32

33

34

Please cite this article in press as: Domínguez, J.C., et al., A virtual lab as a complement to traditional hands-on labs: Characterization of an alkaline electrolyzer for hydrogen production. Educ. Chem. Eng. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2018.03.002

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J.C. Domínguez et al. / Education for Chemical Engineers xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

Nomenclature

$\begin{array}{ll} A\left(m^{2}\right) & \text{Area of the electrode} \\ C_{t}\left(JK^{-1}\right) & \text{Overall thermal capacity of the electrolyzer} \\ C_{pw}\left(Jg^{-1}K^{-1}\right) & \text{Specific heat of water} \\ \text{HHV}\left(Jmol^{-1}\right) & \text{Higher heating value} \\ \text{HPCE}\left(kWhNm^{-3}\right) & \text{Hydrogen production energy consumption} \\ \end{array}$
HPE (%) Hydrogen production efficiency
I(A) Current
J (mA cm ⁻²) Current density
m_w (g s ⁻¹) Water flow rate
n _c Number of cells of the electrolyzer
Q_{H_2} (Nm ³ h ⁻¹) Volumetric flow-rate of hydrogen
Q_{gen} (W) Generated heat transfer rate
Q _{loss} (W) Loss heat transfer rate
Q_{cool} (W) Cooling heat transfer rate $R_{cell}(\Omega)$ Resistance of a cell
R_t (KW ⁻¹) Overall thermal resistance of the electrolyzer
$R_{total}(\Omega)$ Resistance of the electrolyzer T(K) Temperature
$T_a(K)$ Ambient temperature
$T_{cw,o}$ (K) Outlet temperature of the cooling water
$T_{cw,i}$ (K) Inlet temperature of the cooling water
t (h) Time
U (V) Voltage
U ₀ (V) Minimum voltage required
U _{over.cell} (V) Overvoltage per cell
U _{overvoltage} (V) Overvoltage of the system
U _{rev} (V) Reversible cell voltage
$O_{\text{tn}}(V)$ Thermoneutral cell voltage
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
η_{far} (%) Faraday efficiency

virtual labs. Typically, these virtual labs have been programmed as 44 pre-laboratory training that could offer a viable solution to usual 45 challenges, providing the students with a background of the theory behind the hands-on experience and some practical information 47 mostly about the procedure of the labs, providing them with a holis-48 tic picture. However, since the difficulties faced by the students 49 were detected mainly in the treatment and discussion of the exper-50 imental results and not during the performance of the hands-on lab 51 experience which, for this experiment, is even simpler than for the 52 rest of the experiments carried out during this course, a schedule 53 different from the conventional one was chosen. Following the con-54 clusions of Chini et al. (2012), who recommended the ad hoc design 55 of the sequence of hand-on/virtual labs depending on the concept to 56 be learn, the virtual lab was scheduled after the traditional hands-57 on lab. Therefore, the usual advantages of the pre-laboratory virtual 58 experience mentioned before were offered in this case as a solution 59 to the difficulties already encountered by the students and not as a 60 preparative training. Some of the advantages of virtual labs that can 61 be better exploited using this sequence (hands-on/virtual) are: the 62 virtual lab provides errors-free data to the students that could help 63 the students in the detections of measurement errors obtained in 64 the hands-on lab (Pyatt and Sims, 2012); the students can modify 65 variables, time scale, for instance, that could help in the interpreta-66 tion and discussion of phenomena occurred during the experience 67 (Trundle and Bell, 2010); due to the less setup time required for the virtual lab (Zacharia et al., 2008), students can perform more experiments/simulations and they gather more information to obtain a holistic picture of the practice. Finally, students can be critic with the setup of the hands-on labs, carried out in the previous session, and even propose improvements/changes based on their findings during the virtual lab (De Jong et al., 2010). Previous experiences on this schedule revealed better results for young students; the students obtained higher scores under this sequence than when the virtual laboratory was performed previously to the physical lab (Chini et al., 2012).

This work summarizes our experience in the implementation of a post-hands-on virtual lab. The main objective of this experience was to study the utility of a virtual lab carried out after the traditional hands-on labs for teaching the water electrolysis process. In this way, the specific goals of the post-hands-on virtual lab were not stated in the usual way that is done for pre-laboratory training experiences. Specifically, these objectives were as follows: 1) deepening the knowledge acquired during the hands-on laboratory session since the students could study and analyze the process of water electrolysis in more detail, performing simulations under operating conditions unavailable in our traditional laboratories; 2) utilizing "real" results (at least within the actual ranges of operational variables and parameters, given the good predictions of the selected research model) to improve the discussion of the experimental results obtained previously; 3) comprehending a theoretical model that describes the process; and 4) improving the use of scientific literature. In this work, the theoretical model used is that proposed by Ulleberg (2003). This model was chosen because it was developed for advanced alkaline electrolyzers (similar operating conditions to the conditions used during the hands-on labs) based on a combination of thermodynamics, heat transfer theory, and empirical electrochemical relationships, and the model can be employed under steady and transient system simulations.

2. Laboratory methods: hands-on and virtual labs

The laboratory experience presented in this work is carried out in two sessions: one in a testing laboratory (hands-on lab) and the other on a computer (virtual lab). The sequence followed in the experience is as follows: the experimental determination of the different parameters of the alkaline electrolyzer in the laboratory, followed by the virtual lab the next day. The materials and methods used in each of the two work sessions are described below.

2.1. Hands-on lab: equipment and procedure

The electrolysis of water is carried out in an alkaline electrolyzer, as shown in Fig. 1, consisting of 6 cells connected in series that can be used independently, i.e., the electrolyzer can use from 1 to 6 cells. The experimental installation includes a voltmeter and an ammeter to control the electric current (I) and voltage (U) applied to the system. In addition, the volumetric flow rate of oxygen is measured by a flow meter. The hydrogen produced is discharged into the atmosphere due to the high risk of explosion if accumulated in the lab, especially taking into account that some other lab experiences are carried out simultaneously. However, the hydrogen flow rates are calculated from the oxygen flow rates by the stoichiometry (twice the molar flow rate of oxygen).

The main goals of the hands-on lab are the characterization of the efficiency of the alkaline electrolyzer under different operating conditions (electric current and voltage), which are quite similar to those used industrially, and a comparison of its behavior working with a different number of connected cells (for instance, half capacity, 3 cells, and full capacity, 6 cells). The procedure followed **Q6** during the lab experiment is described in Section 2.1.1.

Traditionally, alkaline water electrolyzers employ an aqueous potassium hydroxide solution with a concentration in the range of

71

72

73

74

101

> 107 108 109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116 117 118

119 120



124 125 126

123

131

Please cite this article in press as: Domínguez, J.C., et al., A virtual lab as a complement to traditional hands-on labs: Characterization of an alkaline electrolyzer for hydrogen production. Educ. Chem. Eng. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2018.03.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6600534

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6600534

Daneshyari.com