

Experimental research of highly viscous fluid cooling in cross-flow to a tube bundle

Srbislav B. Genić^{a,*}, Branislav M. Jaćimović^a, Bojan Janjić^b

^a Department of Process Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro

^b LDPE plant “HIP-PetroHemija”, Spoljnostarčevacka 82, 26000 Pančevo, Serbia and Montenegro

Received 14 September 2005; received in revised form 17 July 2006

Available online 30 November 2006

Abstract

The paper deals with the results obtained during experimental research of the heat transfer performances of cross-flow heat exchanger with highly viscous fuel oil flowing normal to the tube bundle with $Re < 1$ and $Pr \sim 2000$. Experimental research was performed on the oil cooler that consist of 50 in-line, with 10 mm outside tube diameter, 100 mm tube length, with both longitudinal and transversal tube pitch of 19.5 mm. Comparison of experimental results with equations from literature sources showed that dispersion of measured and calculated values is significant. In order to obtain more accurate equation statistical analysis was performed and dimensionless equation in the form

$$Nu_D = 3.17 \cdot Re_{Dc}^{0.1} \cdot Pr^{1/3} \cdot \left(\frac{Pr}{Pr_w} \right)^{0.25}$$

is obtained. Similar form of equation can be obtained when Reynolds–Colburn analogy is applied on previous experimental research of pressure drop.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Tube bundle; Cross-flow; Heat transfer; Highly viscous fluid; Laminar flow

1. Introduction

Shell-and-tube heat exchangers and double-pipe heat exchangers are commonly used for heating or cooling of highly viscous fluids, such as fuel oils. Cross-flow heat exchangers can also be used for same purposes, with viscous fluid flowing normal to a tube bundle. The problem of cooling of highly viscous fluid flowing outside tube bundle is treated in few open literature sources, especially in case of low Reynolds and high Prandtl numbers.

In order to further investigate the problem we have built experimental setup with two cross-flow heat exchangers, presented in Fig. 1. The main elements of experimental setup are: storage tank (pos. 1), pump (3), cross-flow heat

exchanger – heater (4), cross-flow heat exchanger – cooler (5) and atmospheric steam boiler (7). Process fluid (water and highly viscous heating oil) was heated by saturated steam at atmospheric pressure in heat exchanger 4, and than cooled by cold tap water in heat exchanger 5. Electric boiler (6 kW) was used for steam production. Steam condensed in exchanger 4 and condensate returned to boiler, passing through reservoir (6) with steam trap (11). Additional cooling of process fluid was done in the storage tank by pipe coil in order to achieve more stationary conditions. Test heat exchanger was cooler. Flow rates were controlled by valves (2, 8, 9 and 10). Process fluid flow rate was varied from 0.036 kg/s to 0.847 kg/s. Cold water flow rate was in order 0.59–0.84 kg/s providing laminar and transition flow in tubes.

Both heater and cooler consist of 50 vertical bare cooper tubes, with $D/d = 10/8$ mm tube diameter. The tube

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +381 11 3302 360; fax: +381 11 3370 364.
E-mail address: sgenic@mas.bg.ac.yu (S.B. Genić).

Nomenclature

a, a_1, a_2	parameters	z_{av}	average value of parameter z for the complete set of the experimental data $z_{av} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_i}{n}$
c_p	specific heat capacity at constant pressure J/(kg K)	<i>Greek symbols</i>	
c_r	correction factor for the number of tube rows	α	heat transfer coefficient (W/(m ² K))
d	inner diameter of tube (m)	$\Delta_{av} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - z_{av})^2}{n}}$	
D	outer diameter of tube (m)	Δm_1	uncertainty of mass flow rate measurement (kg/s)
f_A	arrangement factor	Δt	uncertainty of temperature measurement, characteristic temperature difference (°C)
k	overall heat transfer coefficient (W/(m ² K))	Δ_{st}	unsteadiness of working regime
L	characteristic length (m)	ε	correction factor for mean temperature difference
LMTD	logarithmic mean temperature difference (°C)	λ	thermal conductivity (W/(m K))
\dot{m}	mass flow rate (kg/s)	μ	dynamic viscosity (Pa s)
MTD	real mean temperature difference (°C)	ν	kinematic viscosity (m ² /s)
n	number of experimental runs	ρ	density (kg/m ³)
N	number of tube rows	ζ	friction factor (loss coefficient) in Darcy–Weisbach form of pressure drop equation
NTU	number of transfer units	<i>Subscripts and superscripts</i>	
Nu_D	Nusselt number calculated using characteristic length D	1	hot
Nu_L	Nusselt number calculated using characteristic length L	2	cold
P	heat efficiency parameter $P = \frac{t_{20} - t_{21}}{t_{11} - t_{21}}$	av	average
Pr	Prandtl number $Pr = \frac{c_p \mu}{\lambda}$	c	channel
\dot{Q}, W	heat duty	i	inlet/inside
R	ratio of heat equivalents $R = \frac{t_{11} - t_{10}}{t_{20} - t_{21}}$	l	longitudinal
Re_D	Reynolds number calculated using characteristic length D and velocity in minimal cross-section	lam	laminar
Re_{Dc}	Reynolds number calculated using characteristic length D and velocity in empty channel	m	mean
Re_L	Reynolds number calculated using characteristic length L and velocity in minimal cross-section	max	maximal
s	tube pitch (m)	min	minimal
S	heat transfer surface calculated for outside tube diameter (m ²)	o	outlet/outside
t	temperature (°C)	t	transversal
w	fluid velocity (m/s)	tur	turbulent
z_i	measured value of parameter z in the i th experimental run	w	wall
z_i^c	correlated value of parameter z in the i th experimental run		

arrangement is in-line with $s_1 = s_t = 19.5$ mm longitudinal and transversal pitch, placed in 100×100 mm channel. Heat exchangers contain $N = 10$ tube rows each with 5 tubes in a row. Viscous fluid used in experiment was heavy fuel oil with the following properties in temperature range from 25 °C to 100 °C

$$\rho = 976 - 0.677 \cdot t, \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad (1)$$

$$c_p = 1644 + 3.84 \cdot t, \text{ J/(kg K)} \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda = 0.075 \text{ W/(m K)} \quad (3)$$

$$\nu = 1.71 \times 10^{-6} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{223.6}{t}\right), \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \quad (4)$$

where t is fluid temperature in °C.

All temperatures were measured with calibrated laboratory thermometers with 0.1 °C accuracy. Temperatures of process fluid were kept in range 24–62 °C corresponding to Prandtl numbers from 4.7 (minimal value for water) to 2315 (maximal value for oil). Fluid flow rates of process fluid and cold water were measured by volume–time measurement method.

2. The calculation of experimentally obtained heat transfer coefficient

Three heat duties can be calculated from each set of test data for cooler:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/661077>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/661077>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)