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#### Abstract

Background: Strong artificial selection and/or natural bottle necks may limit genetic variation in domesticated species. Lupinus luteus, an orphan temperate crop, has suffered diversity reductions during its bitter/sweet alkaloid domestication history, limiting breeding efforts and making molecular marker development a difficult task. The main goal of this research was to generate new polymorphic insertion-deletion (InDel) markers to aid yellow lupin genetics and breeding. By combining genomic reduction libraries and next generation sequencing, several polymorphic InDel markers were developed for L. luteus L. Results: A total of 118 InDel in silico polymorphic markers were identified. Eighteen InDel primer sets were evaluated in a diverse $L$. luteus core collection, where amplified between 2-3 alleles per locus. Observed heterozygosity (HO; 0.0648 to 0.5564 ) and polymorphic information content (PIC; 0.06 to 0.48 ) estimations revealed a moderate level of genetic variation across $L$. luteus accessions. In addition, ten and nine InDel loci amplified successfully Lupinus hispanicus Boiss \& Reut, and Lupinus mutabilis Sweet, respectively, two L. luteus close relatives. PCA analysis identified two $L$. luteus clusters, most likely explained by the domestication species history. Conclusion: The development of InDel markers will facilitate the study of genetic diversity across L. luteus populations, as well as among closely related species.
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## 1. Introduction

The genus Lupinus comprises more than 200 annual and perennial herbaceous species growing in a wide range of climatic and soil conditions [1]. Lupins have been described as functional food, given the association between their consumption and reduced risk of hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes, and hypertension [2]. Lupinus luteus, an old world cultivated lupin, shows higher protein seed content [3] and twice the amount of seed cysteine and methionine than most lupin species [4]. In addition, evaluations of its functional and physicochemical properties have suggested yellow lupin proteins could improve texture and nutritional quality when incorporated in food products [5].

Although some molecular tools have been developed to aid yellow lupin's genetics $[6,7,8]$, an apparent low level of microsatellite polymorphisms [6,7] have suggested the need of diversifying and

[^0]increasing marker availability for this species. During lupin domestication, a reduced number of naturally occurring mutants were used as progenitors to develop low alkaloid/no pod shattering varieties. This strategy, although successful, reduced the amount of genetic variation contained within lupin breeding populations [9,10]. Reduction of diversity not only limits the generation of better adapted varieties, but also the presence of polymorphic sites in modern breeding lines [11]. Insertions and deletions (InDels) are the second most common type of polymorphisms across species [12], and are distributed throughout the entire genome [12,13]. InDels may result from mechanisms such as transposable elements, slippage in simple sequence replication, and unequal crossover [14]. Due to their high-density occurrence, cost-effectiveness, and ease genotyping, InDels have been increasingly recognized as an important source of molecular markers [12]. InDel markers have been a valuable complement to SNPs and SSRs in Phaseolus vulgaris L. and Glycine max (L) Merr. [15,16], and haplotype differences in presence/absence variation may explain heterosis and the extraordinary phenotypic diversity in maize [17]. In this study, we present a novel set of 18 validated polymorphic L. luteus InDel markers generated by combining
genomic reduction libraries and next generation sequencing. We also evaluated their ability to cross amplify Lupinus hispanicus and Lupinus mutabilis, two close relative lupine species.

## 2. Materials and methods

DNA from two L. luteus accessions, Core 18 and Core 227 (Table S1), was extracted from young leaves using CTAB buffer [18]. DNAs were further purified and quantified using DNeasy mini spin columns (Qiagen) and a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies), respectively. Genomic reduction was accomplished using a previously described protocol [19]. Briefly, 450 ng of total genomic DNA, of each DNA sample, was separately double-digested using 3 U of the restriction enzymes EcoRI and Bfal (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). DNA fragments were then ligated with 5 '-TEG biotinylated/ 3'-phosphorylated EcoRI adapters and 3'-phosphorylated BfaI adapters [20]. Small DNA fragments were excluded from the samples using Chroma Spin-400 columns (ClonTech, Mountain View, CA). DNA fragments containing the biotin labeled EcoRI adapters were isolated using M-280 streptavidin beads (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and resuspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TE [20]. A sample specific PCR amplifications was conducted using $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of streptavidin-cleaned DNA fragments and primers containing complementary EcoRI and BfaI adaptor + restriction DNA and unique $5^{\prime}$ barcode sequences [20]. Amplifications were carried out in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PCR reactions using 1X Advantage HF 2 PCR Master Mix (ClonTech, Mountain View, CA) and $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer. Thermocycling profiles and amplified DNA visualization were conducted following standard conditions [19,20]. DNA concentrations for each PCR reaction were measured fluorometrically using a

Quant-iT picogreen dye (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and pooled in equimolar amounts. DNA from a pooled PCR samples was separated electrophoretically in a $1.5 \%$ Metaphor agarose gel (Cambrex BioScience, East Rutherford, NJ), and visualized using ethidium bromide staining. A single 500-650 bp gel slice was removed and DNA fragments extracted using a Qiaquick column (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). A single micro-bead sequencing run was conducted using a Roche-454 GS FLX and Titanium reagents (Branford, CT) at the Brigham Young University DNASC (Provo, UT). DNA reads were trimmed and separated into MID barcode pools representing the two L. luteus genotypes using the process-tagged sequences function in CLCBio Workbench v. 4.0 (Katrinebjerg). InDels were identified by combining both L. luteus sequencing pools into a single de novo assemblage. Contigs were built using the Roche Newbler assembler v. 2.3, with a minimum overlap length and identity of 50 bp and $95 \%$, respectively. The minimum contig length was $\geq 200 \mathrm{bp}$. Custom perl scripts were used to identify putative InDels within contigs when the coverage depth at the InDel was $\geq 10$ and the minor allele frequencies were at least $20 \%$ of the reads. InDels explaining 1-bp difference and those located within homopolymer repeats were discarded. Flanking primer pairs were designed for InDel containing contigs using Primer3 implemented in Geneious® 6.1.8 [21] with expected amplicon lengths between 150-500 bp and an optimal annealing temperature (Ta) of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.).

Eighteen primer pairs flanking InDels of at least 3 bp (Table 1) were randomly selected to genotype 164 L. luteus accessions (Table S1) belonging to a seed core collection previously reported [6,7]. The L. luteus accessions were from several origins (Poland, Russia,

Table 1
Characteristics and genetic properties of 18 newly developed InDel markers for Lupinus luteus L.

| Primer | Sequence | Allele size (bp) | $\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{C})$ | A | PIC | Ho | GenBank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GR1_INDEL02120 | F: TCTGGAGGAAATAAAAAACTGTAGG | 159-162-163 | 60 | 3 | 0.22 | 0.2343 | KX778774 KX778775 KX778776 |
|  | R: GCAACGATAATATCCATAACCGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR1_INDEL15589 | F: GTGTACTAAACTCAAGCCAATWTATGC | 140-144 | 58 | 2 | 0.27 | 0.3287 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778777 } \\ & \text { KX778778 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: GATAACAAAATTTGTATGGCATTGAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR1_INDEL21885 | F: GATTGTCGTGGATCAGAAGC | 181-192 | 57 | 2 | 0.09 | 0.0933 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778779 } \\ & \text { KX778780 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: ATAAACCAATGAATAAATGTTGAAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL06804 | F: TCCAGACAGAATTTTTGTAACTTCAAAGCA | 320-323 | 60 | 2 | 0.06 | 0.0648 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778785 } \\ & \text { KX778786 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: CCACGAAGGAAGCCACTTGAATCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL08379 | F: TGGCATACCTGAAATTATTATCAAGCTTTT | 524-537 | 60 | 2 | 0.08 | 0.0873 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778789 } \\ & \text { KX778790 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: TGGCCTGACCGAGGCTTGGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL10199 | F: TGGCTGGTTTGAAAGTCTATTTAAAGGCAA | 428-437 | 60 | 2 | 0.48 | 0.5564 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778793 } \\ & \text { KX778794 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R CACCTTGAGACTTCCTTGTTCCTTACTTAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL10592 | F: TGGGAGCACATTTACGTTTCCA | 414-421 | 60 | 2 | 0.05 | 0.0534 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778795 } \\ & \text { KX778796 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R ACTGTTTTATTCATAGTTGCTTAGAAAGAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL11357 | F: GGACAGAGTTATTTGGGTGGATGGGGA | 250-262 | 60 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778797 } \\ & \text { KX778798 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: TGGCATCAAATGGAAGACCATATAGCCCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL13347 | F:CATGTCCGAGCCGGGAACATCCA | 206-212-222 | 60 | 3 | 0.20 | 0.2215 | KX778801 |
|  | R:CGTAAAGGACAAGAGGAAGTTTCCTACTGA |  |  |  |  |  | KX778802 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778803 } \\ & \text { KX778804 } \\ & \text { KX778805 } \end{aligned}$ |
| GR2_INDEL14402 | F: TCTCATTCTTTGACCAATAAACCAAGACAC | 317-333 | 60 | 2 | 0.35 | 0.4458 |  |
|  | R:TGGAGTTATCAACAACAAGAATAGACACTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL14515 | F:CGTCGAGCCATAAAGCAAACAAGTGA | 277-283 | 60 | 2 | 0.26 | 0.3141 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778806 } \\ & \text { KX778807 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: TGTCTCATCGGAATTGGACAAGGTATTAAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL15354 | F:GCTTCACTTTGACGTCGCCAGGG | 206-217 | 60 | 2 | 0.35 | 0.4589 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778810 } \\ & \text { KX778811 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R:CCTTGAAGTCGTGGTAAACATTCAAGGAGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL03758 | F:GCCCCACTGGATCCGAGAAAGACC | 297-306 | 60 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.4854 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778783 } \\ & \text { KX778784 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R:TCCAAGTTGGCTAAAGCCATTGTATCCTTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL07358 | F:CCCAACTGCTTTTAACTGATCTTGGCGGG | 596-612 | 60 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.4969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778787 } \\ & \text { KX778788 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R: TCGGCTCTCCACATTGCAGCCA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL01779 | F: CCACCCAAGACAGATCCATCATACA | 286-309 | 60 | 2 | 0.26 | 0.3104 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778781 } \\ & \text { KX778782 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R:TGCATCACATGTGCAGCTTGGCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL09538 | F: GGCAGGCCACACAAACAGGAGG | 261-276 | 60 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778791 } \\ & \text { KX778792 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R:AAGAGGATAGAAGTGTCATTACAAGTTGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL12780 | F: GTCAGACATACTCCAATGAGTTCAGGT | 301-289 | 60 | 2 | 0.34 | 0.4308 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778799 } \\ & \text { KX778800 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R:TCTTCTCATTTAATCACATACACCATTTTG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR2_INDEL15167 | F: TCACATCGCTTACCTCATTGTTCCGGG | 292-288 | 60 | 2 | 0.32 | 0.3866 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KX778808 } \\ & \text { KX778809 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | R:CCGTACTGGACGGTCTGAGCAGTCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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