ARTICLE IN PR

Electronic Journal of Biotechnology xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Electronic Journal of Biotechnology



Review 1

5

10

13

31

32

33

2829

33

05

Natural carriers in bioremediation: A review 2

Anna Dzionek, Danuta Wojcieszyńska, Urszula Guzik * 04

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Silesia in Katowice, Jagiellońska 28, 40-032 Katowice, Poland Δ

6 ARTICLE INFO

Received 5 April 2016

Accepted 4 July 2016

Available online xxxx

Article history:

Keywords:

Bioremediation

Immobilization

Natural carriers

ABSTRACT

Bioremediation of contaminated groundwater or soil is currently the cheapest and the least harmful method of 16 removing xenobiotics from the environment. Immobilization of microorganisms capable of degrading specific 17 contaminants significantly promotes bioremediation processes, reduces their costs, and also allows for the 18 multiple use of biocatalysts. Among the developed methods of immobilization, adsorption on the surface is the 19 most common method in bioremediation, due to the simplicity of the procedure and its non-toxicity. The 20 choice of carrier is an essential element for successful bioremediation. It is also important to consider the type 21 of process (in situ or ex situ), type of pollution, and properties of immobilized microorganisms. For these 22 reasons, the article summarizes recent scientific reports about the use of natural carriers in bioremediation, 23 including efficiency, the impact of the carrier on microorganisms and contamination, and the nature of the 24 conducted research. 2526

© 2016 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. 27 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4. 0/).

36

38	Contents																
40	1. Introduction					 			 . 0								
41	2. Bioremediation meth	ods				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
42	3. Immobilization meth	ods				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 			 . 0
43	3.1. Adsorption .					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
44	3.2. Binding on a s	urface				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 			 . 0
45	3.3. Entrapment in	porous matrix .				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
46	3.4. Encapsulation					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 			 . 0
47	4. Support materials .					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
48	5. Immobilization in bio	remediation				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
49	5.1. Plant fibres .					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
50	5.2. Sugarcane bag	asse				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
51	5.3. Sawdust					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
52	5.4. Corncob					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
53	5.5. Expanded per	ite				 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
54	5.6. Tezontle					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
55	5.7. Other carriers					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
56	5.8. Pros and cons	of natural and sy	nthetic	carrier	s.	 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
57	6. Conclusions					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0
58	Financial support					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 			 . 0
59	References					 	 	 	 	 	 		 	 		• •	 . 0

60

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: urszula.guzik@us.edu.pl (U. Guzik). Peer review under responsibility of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso.

1. Introduction

The twentieth century went down in history as a period of 62 extremely dynamic civilizational and technological development. 63 Industrialization, wars, and intensive use of large-scale heavy metals 64 and synthetic xenobiotics led to many environmental problems [1,2]. 65

61

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2016.07.003

0717-3458/© 2016 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: Dzionek A, et al, Natural carriers in bioremediation: A review, (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2016.07.003

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

66 The contamination of the environment by petroleum products, 67 pharmaceutical compounds, chloro- and nitrophenols and their derivatives, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, organic dyes, pesticides 68 69 and heavy metals is a serious problem [3,4,5,6,7,8,9]. These pollutants enter the environment by different ways. For example, one of the 70 major consequences of the armed conflict between Iraq and Kuwait 71 72was the release into the environment millions of barrels of crude oil. 73After the war ended, scientists began numerous studies aimed at the 74removal of oil from the contaminated environment. Other sources of 75crude oil in ecosystems are accidental oil spills. One of the biggest marine disasters took place in Mexico in 2010, and it resulted in the 76spewing out of about 2.8 million barrels of crude oil from the British 77 Petroleum (BP) oil rig Deepwater Horizon into the sea [10,11]. 78

79 Pesticides are other serious pollutants present in soils. USEPA reported that in 2007, global consumption of pesticides for 80 agricultural purposes was 2.36 million tonnes [12]. These compounds, 81 used in bulk for long periods of time in a limited area, lead to serious 82 83 disorders in indigenous microflora and humans, because pesticides are also toxic to non-target organisms [12,13,14]. Moreover, many 84 metabolites of pesticide biodegradation are also toxic and constitute 85 priority pollutants. For example, the major metabolites of parathion 86 87 and 2,4-dichloropenoxy acetic acid biodegradation are *p*-nitrophenol 88 and 2,4-dichlorophenol, respectively [9,15,16,17,18].

It has been reported that many microorganisms are able to biodegrade different pollutants [4,5,7,8,19,20]. However, the biodegradation rate depends on the physiological state of the microorganisms, which are sensitive to variable environmental factors. It is known that immobilization improves microorganisms' resistance to unfavourable environmental impacts [6,8].

The main purpose of this review is to present and discuss the latest reports about the natural carriers in the processes of bioremediation by immobilized cells. In the article immobilization methods for bioremediation are also presented.

99 2. Bioremediation methods

100 In 1930 Tausz and Donath [21] presented the idea of using microorganism to clean soil contaminated with petroleum derivatives, 101 giving rise to biodegradation processes. Today, bioremediation is a 102commonly used method to restore the natural and useful values of 103 contaminated sites by microorganism able to degrade, transform, or 104 105 chelate various toxic compounds [22]. Microorganisms can break down organic pollutants by using them as a source of carbon and 106 107 energy, or by cometabolism. Heavy metals cannot be degraded or 108 destroyed biologically and undergo transformation from one oxidative state or organic complex to another. It changes their water solubility 109110 and decreases their toxicity [22,23].

Bioremediation is eco-friendly, non-invasive, cheaper than 111 conventional methods, and it is a permanent solution that can end 112 with degradation or transformation of environmental contaminants 113 into harmless or less toxic forms [23,24,25,26]. Soil bioremediation 114 115can be carried out at the place of contamination (in situ), or in a 116 specially prepared place (ex situ). In situ technology is used when there is no possibility to transfer polluted soil, for example when 117contamination affects an extensive area [26,27,28]. 118

There are three basic methods of *in situ* bioremediation with microorganisms: natural attenuation, biostimulation, and bioaugmentation [24,29,30].

Natural attenuation is connected with the degradation activities
of indigenous microorganisms. This method avoids damaging the
habitat, allows ecosystem revert to its original condition and enables
detoxification of toxic compounds [24,31].

Removal of contaminations by the natural attenuation takes a long time because degrading microorganisms in soil represent only about 10% of the total population. The increase of bioremediation efficiency *in situ* may be realized in the bioaugmentation process, in which the specific degraders are introduced into the soil [30,31]. This method is 130 applied when the indigenous microflora are unable to break down 131 pollutants, or when the population of microorganisms capable of 132 degrading contaminants is not sufficiently large. To make the process 133 of bioaugmentation successful, microorganisms introduced into the 134 polluted environment as a free or immobilized inoculum should be 135 able to degrade specific contamination and survive in a foreign and 136 unfriendly habitat, be genetically stable and viable, and move through 137 the pores in the soil. Microorganisms can be previously isolated from 138 the contaminated soil and propagated, or their functional ability can 139 be enhanced in the laboratory. Nonindigenous strains or genetically 140 modified microorganisms (GMM) can also be incorporated into the 141 remediated soil [31,32,33,34]. However, the result of bioaugmentation 142 depends on the interaction between exogenous and indigenous 143 population of microorganisms because of the competition, mainly for Q6 nutrients [31]. 145

To accelerate *in situ* bioremediation processes, biostimulation is used 146 in order to modify the physical and chemical parameters of the soil. For 147 this purpose, compounds such as nutrients (*e.g.* biogas slurry, manure, 148 spent mushroom compost, rice straw and corncob) or electron 149 acceptors (phosphorus, nitrogen, oxygen, carbon) are introduced into 150 the soil [29,30,32,35]. 151

Because *in situ* processes are out of hand it is difficult to predict 152 the effect of remediation of contaminated sites [28]. *Ex situ* methods 153 allow more efficient removal of pollutants, by controlling the 154 physico-chemical parameters, resulting in a shortening of the total 155 time of reclamation. These advantages outweigh *ex situ* methods' 156 disadvantages such as additional cost and risk connected with the 157 possibility of dispersion of the contamination during transport. During 158 the *ex situ* processes contaminated media is excavated or extracted **Q7** and moved to the process location. Liquids can be clean in constructed 160 wetlands while semi-solid or solid wastes in slurry bioreactors. Solid 161 contaminations are biodegraded through land farming, composting 162 and biopiles [26,28,36,37].

Constructed wetlands are used with success in the treatment of 164 wastewater derived from domestic, industrial or agricultural sources 165 [38]. They require the competition of microbes (bioremediation) and 166 plant (phytoremediation). Microorganisms degrade or sorb organic 167 substance present in the water undergoing treatment. Plants are used 168 to remove, transfer or stabilize contaminants through metabolism, 169 accumulation, phytoextraction or immobilization at interface of roots 170 and soil [37]. Bioremediation processes in slurry bioreactors can be 171 performed under aerobic or anaerobic conditions [28]. These systems 172 utilize naturally occurring microorganisms or strains possessing 173 specific metabolic capabilities to transform toxic compounds [27]. 174 Slurry bioreactors are one of the best applied technologies used in the 175 bioremediation of contaminated soils because they undergo under 176 controlled operating conditions. It allows for the enhancement of 177 microorganisms activity [27,39,40]. 178

Landfarming is one of the most widely used soil bioremediation 179 technologies. In this technology, excavated contaminated soils are 180 spread out in a thin layer on the ground surface. Aerobic microbial 181 activity within the soil is stimulated through the aeration and addition 182 of minerals, nutrients and moisture [41,42]. Landfarming is a relatively 183 simple technology however it is inexpensive and effective for easily 184 biodegradable contaminants only at low concentration [28,37,41,42,43]. 185 Composting is a controlled biological process that treats of agricultural 186 and municipal solid wastes and sewage sludge using microorganisms 187 under thermophilic and aerobic conditions [28,37]. Through 188 composting, it is possible to reduce the volume of residues in landfills. 189 Biodegradation of solid contaminants takes place mainly as a result of 190 oxidation and hydrolysis. The optimum temperature for growth of 191 microorganisms engaged in composting is in the range of 40 to 70°C. 192 The risk of contamination by pathogens is small, because most of them 193 are inactivated at 70°C. A key factor during composting is microbial 194 accessibility to the pollutants and the characteristics of the amending 195

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6619001

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6619001

Daneshyari.com