**ARTICLE IN PRESS** 

### Fuel xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yszhang@ncepu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.11.014 0016-2361/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Please cite this article in press as: Zhang Y et al. Occurrence of uranium in Chinese coals and its emissions from coal-fired power plants. Fuel (2015), http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.11.014

7 November 2015

2

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

#### Y. Zhang et al. / Fuel xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

## 67 1. Introduction

68 Coal is China's major energy source. Coal is used as the most 69 important form of electricity generation in China. According to 70 China statistics, about 3.526 billion tons of coal were consumed 71 in China in 2012, from which, 1.785 billion tons were used for 72 power generation [1]. However, as the concern for environmental 73 protection increases in China, the occurrence, distribution and 74 impact of trace elements in coal needs to be studied [2]. At the 75 beginning of 2014, there was an unconfirmed rumor in China indi-76 cating that the haze in different parts of China was caused by ura-77 nium, which was released from coal combustion from coal-fired 78 power plants, a so-called "nuclear haze" that sparked widespread 79 concern in the society [3]. Uranium is one of the natural radioactive 80 elements and its concentration in coal has an important impact on 81 coal mining and utilization [4]. Yang surveyed more than 1500 82 types of Chinese coal samples, concluded that the mean value of 83 uranium in Chinese coals is 2.31 mg/kg [5]. Huang et al. [6] 84 reported the mean value of uranium in Chinese coals at 3 mg/kg, which is near the global average. Research results from the U.S. 85 86 Geological Survey indicate that the uranium content in most of 87 American coals is less than 4 mg/kg and, furthermore, that ura-88 nium concentrates in solid combustion wastes after coal combus-89 tion in power plants [7].

Studies have been reported in the literature on analysis of material samples from coal-fired power plants, in terms of uranium content in coal, fly ash, and bottom ash [7–13]. For example, in Parami' study [9], the range of uranium concentrations in fly ash ranges from 1.1 to 21.7 mg/kg for Philippine's coal-fired thermal power plants. In another study by Flues [10], in Brazil, the range of uranium concentrations in the fly ash ranges from 117 to 1190 mg/kg.

97 However, those analyses do not account for direct measure-98 ments for uranium content in the flue gas. There is a need to doc-99 ument uranium flue gas emission from coal-fired units, since that 100 compared with studies of other trace elements in coal, only very 101 limited data are available. This makes very difficult to confirm 102 how much uranium is released to air from coal-fired power plants 103 in China. Moreover, reports on the release characteristics of ura-104 nium from coal combustion and the occurrence and distribution of uranium from the different effluents in coal-fired power plants 105 106 is also limited.

107 To fill this void, this paper reports measurements performed by 108 Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) on ura-109 nium concentration in a variety of Chinese coal samples from eigh-110 teen coal mines in the Inner Mongolia, Xinjiang, Ningxia and 111 Yunnan Provinces. The paper also reports the release characteris-112 tics of uranium from coal combustion in a tube furnace and uranium mass balance characteristics of coal combustion in a drop 113 tube furnace. A round of field measurements was performed of 114 coal, fly ash, bottom ash, limestone, gypsum and flue gas samples 115 116 of a 200 MW coal-fired power plant. Material samples were col-117 lected, measured and analyzed, and the distribution and mass bal-118 ance characteristics of uranium is discussed.

## 119 **2.** Analytical methods – sample collection and preparation

The coal samples analyzed in this study were collected from dif ferent coal mines. These samples were prepared by grinding and
screening to an 80-mesh screen size.

123 The sampling coal-fired power plant is equipped with low  $NO_x$ 124 burner, a hybrid selective catalytic reduction (SCR) + selective non-125 catalytic reduction (SNCR) denitrification system, an electrostatic 126 precipitator (ESP) for particulate matter (PM) control and wet flue 127 gas desulfurization (WFGD) equipment. Samples were collected 128 from the flue gas at the stack. Solid samples include samples collected from the feed coal, bottom ash, fly ash, limestone and 129 desulfurization gypsum. The sampling locations were located at 130 the coal conveyor belt, the slag scraper, bottom hoppers of the 131 ESP, the entrance to the desulphurization tower and the gypsum 132 discharge belt. Flue gas samples were collected from the flue after 133 the desulphurization unit using the U.S. Environmental Protection 134 Agency (EPA)-Method 29, with an Apex analyzer (Apex, USA), 135 which is applicable to the determination of metal emissions from 136 stationary sources, and it was also used for gas sampling of the 137 drop tube furnace in the laboratory. 138

Measurement methods of uranium includes spectrophotomet-139 ric method, neutron activation analysis, atomic absorption spec-140 trometry, X-ray fluorescence analysis, ICP-AES (Inductively 141 Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry), ICP-MS, among 142 other [14,15]. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry 143 (ICP-MS) is a means for simultaneous multi-element analysis with 144 characteristics of high sensitivity, low detection limit and high 145 detection speed, It's suitable for the analysis of uranium of complex 146 samples. The detection limit of the ICP-MS (NEXION 300D, USA) for 147 U-238 is 0.01 ng/g. The ICP-MS was used in this study to analyze 148 the concentration of uranium in the samples. For this technique, 149 digestion is necessary for solid sample analysis. In order to digest 150 the samples completely, the coal samples were ashed in the muffle 151 furnace. Then, solid samples were processed into liquid samples 152 after digestion for the analysis by the ICP-MS. All these procedures 153 could contribute to loss of uranium in the samples and induced 154 errors. Additionally, readings from the ICP-MS technique could 155 have been affected by fixation by other elements in the sample. 156

After sampling, coal and other solid samples were prepared by grinding and screening to an 80-mesh screen size. Then the solid samples were digested in a microwave digestion system (Speed-Wave MWS-4, German) with a combination of high pure acids HNO<sub>3</sub> and HF and measured by ICP-MS. A uranium standard solution (SPEXertificate PLU2-2Y, USA) was used to derive calibration curves.

## 3. Uranium content in different coal mine samples

The data obtained from the uranium measurements of mined165coal samples is shown in Fig. 2. Coals from eighteen mines in China166were used. Those mines are located in the Inner Mongolia,167Xinjiang, Ningxia and Yunnan Provinces. It can be determined from168Fig. 1 the range of uranium content from 1 to 3 mg/kg for the169majority of the coals. The uranium content of coal P from Inner170Mongolia is 5.8 mg/kg. The highest uranium content of171



Please cite this article in press as: Zhang Y et al. Occurrence of uranium in Chinese coals and its emissions from coal-fired power plants. Fuel (2015), http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.11.014 164

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6634388

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6634388

Daneshyari.com