**ARTICLE IN PRESS** 

Fuel xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fuel



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

## <sup>3</sup> Performance evaluation of methane separation from coal mine gas

- <sup>4</sup> by gas hydrate formation in a stirred reactor and in a fixed bed
- 5 of silica sand

### <sup>8</sup> Q1 Dong-Liang Zhong <sup>a,b</sup>, Yi-Yu Lu <sup>a,\*</sup>, Dong-Jun Sun <sup>b</sup>, Wei-Long Zhao <sup>b</sup>, Zheng Li <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Coal Mine Disaster Dynamics and Control, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China
<sup>b</sup> College of Power Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China

#### 11 12 1 4

6 7

HIGHLIGHTS

• CH<sub>4</sub> separation from the coal mine gas was carried out by gas hydrate formation.

- Stirred reactor performed better than the silica sand bed for CH<sub>4</sub> recovery.
- CH<sub>4</sub> recovery was greatly promoted by adding SDS in THF solutions.

#### 19

#### ARTICLE INFO

4	
22	Article history:
23	Received 19 September 2014
24	Received in revised form 20 November 2014
25	Accepted 25 November 2014
26	Available online xxxx
27	Keywords:
28	Gas hydrates
29	Coal mine gas
30	Methane recovery
31	Gas separation
32	SDS
33	

#### ABSTRACT

Coal mine methane (CMM) gas is a sort of unconventional natural gas that can be used as a clean supplementary energy resource when methane is purified. In this work, methane separation from a low-concentration CMM gas (30 mol% CH<sub>4</sub>, 60 mol% N<sub>2</sub>, and 10 mol% O<sub>2</sub>) was investigated using gas hydrate formation in a stirred reactor and in a fixed bed of silica sand with the presence of tetrahydro-furan (THF) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). The concentration of THF and SDS was fixed at 1.0 mol% and 500 ppm, respectively. The experiments were carried out in batch operation with the initial pressure fixed at 3.6 MPa, and the temperature was set at 277.15 K. The results indicated that gas hydrate formation in the stirred reactor and in the fixed bed of silica sand were both promoted by adding SDS in THF solutions. It was found that the hydrate-based separation process for CH<sub>4</sub> recovery from the CMM gas mixture performed better in the stirred reactor as compared to the fixed bed of silica sand employed in this work. The CH<sub>4</sub> recovery obtained at 1.0 mol% THF and 500 ppm SDS in the stirred reactor was about 40.5%, which was much higher than those obtained in TBAB solutions (26.2%) and cyclopentane/SDS solutions (33.3%).

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 51

#### 52 1. Introduction

Gas hydrates are nonstoichiometric crystalline inclusion 53 54 compounds formed by water and a number of small molecules 55 (CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, etc.) at suitable temperature and pressure conditions [1,2]. The mass of carbon stored in hydrate deposits is 56 estimated to be twice as much as that in the conventional fossil 57 fuels (coal, oil and natural gas), as a result the naturally occurring 58 gas hydrates have been considered as a potential energy resource 59 60 [3]. Besides, gas hydrate formation/decomposition has been found 61 to be a promising method for natural gas transport [4,5], cold 62 storage [6], desalination [7–9], and gas separation [10–15].

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.11.083 0016-2361/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Coal mine methane (CMM) gas is one type of unconventional natural gas and is usually mixed with air during the process of coal mining. It can be recovered as a supplementary energy resource because it exists abundantly in coal mines [16–18]. However, it is hard to utilize the CMM gas when methane concentration is below 30 mol%. Methane purification is recognized as one possible way to use the low-concentration CMM gas mixture. This method can also mitigate global warming and climate change because CH<sub>4</sub> is a strong greenhouse gas that is not allowed to discharge to the atmosphere. Recently, gas hydrate formation has been employed for CH<sub>4</sub> recovery from the low-concentration CMM gas mixture on a laboratory scale [19,20]. The challenge that hinders the development of the hydrate-based separation process for CH<sub>4</sub> separation is the high hydrate formation pressures at low CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations. For instance, the phase equilibrium pressure for gas hydrates

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

35

36

37

Please cite this article in press as: Zhong D-L et al. Performance evaluation of methane separation from coal mine gas by gas hydrate formation in a stirred reactor and in a fixed bed of silica sand. Fuel (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.11.083

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 23 65112372; fax: +86 23 65106440. *E-mail address:* luyiyu@cqu.edu.cn (Y.-Y. Lu).

2

D.-L. Zhong et al. / Fuel xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

formed from the CMM gas (30 mol% CH<sub>4</sub>, 60 mol% N<sub>2</sub>, and 10 mol%
O<sub>2</sub>) is 9.6 MPa at 277.15 K [21]. This will render high gas compression cost. From the perspective of industrial application, low gas
compression costs are usually required and can be obtained by
reducing the operation pressures.

83 The use of thermodynamic promoters such as tetrahydrofuran 84 (THF) [22,23], tetra-n-butyl ammonium bromide (TBAB) [24,25], 85 and cyclopentane (CP) [26,27] is one approach to reduce the phase 86 equilibrium pressures of gas hydrates formed with the low-con-87 centration CMM gas. However, it was found that the CH<sub>4</sub> recovery 88 and rate of hydrate formation were compromised by the use of 89 thermodynamic promoters. For example, methane recovery 90 obtained in the presence of TBAB was 27% [20] and increased to 33.3% while CP was added to the system [28]. It was also found that 91 92 although the rate of hydrate formation was accelerated by the sur-93 factant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), methane recovery obtained 94 in the presence of SDS was not increased as compared to that 95 obtained in the absence of SDS. Another attempt to enhance gas hydrate formation is to increase the gas/liquid contact area by 96 97 the use of silica sands instead of using the stirred reactors 98 [29,30]. Linga et al. [31] assessed the performance of gas hydrate 99 formation in a fixed bed of silica sand and in a stirred reactor. They tested four kinds of gas mixtures (C1/C2, C1/C3, C1/C2/C3, and CO<sub>2</sub>/ 100 101  $H_2/C3$ ) and found that the rate of hydrate formation was higher 102 than that obtained in the stirred reactor due to the transport of 103 gas inside the sand bed and the huge gas/liquid contact surfaces, 104 and a higher water conversion to gas hydrates was obtained in 105 the fixed bed of silica sand. To the best of our knowledge, no stud-106 ies have been reported on methane separation from the CMM gas 107 mixture using gas hydrate formation in the combination of silica 108 sand and surfactants. In addition, a better understanding on the 109 kinetics of hydrate formation with the CMM gas mixture in stirred 110 reactors and in the presence of porous media is of great importance to develop the hydrate-based separation process for CH<sub>4</sub> recovery 111 112 from the CMM gas mixture.

113 The objective of this work is to evaluate the kinetics of CH<sub>4</sub> sep-114 aration from a simulated CMM gas mixture by hydrate formation 115 in a stirred reactor and in a fixed bed of silica sand. The perfor-116 mance of the fixed bed of silica sand is compared with that of 117 the stirred reactor. THF is used as a thermodynamic promoter to 118 reduce the hydrate phase equilibrium conditions while SDS is used as a surfactant to enhance gas hydrate formation. The influence of 119 SDS on the kinetics of hydrate formation is also investigated in the 120 121 stirred reactor and in the fixed bed of silica sand.

#### 122 2. Experimental section

#### 123 2.1. Materials

The gas mixture containing 30 mol% CH<sub>4</sub>, 60 mol% N<sub>2</sub>, and 124 10 mol% O<sub>2</sub> was employed and supplied by Chongqing Rising Gas 125 with a reported uncertainty of ±0.05 mol% in the gas composition. 126 127 The gas composition was the same as those used in the literature [20,28] and was selected to simulate the typical low-concentration 128 129 CMM gas recovered from underground coal mines. THF and SDS with a certified mass purity of 99% were purchased from Chongq-130 ing Oriental Chemical Co., Ltd. Silica sand particles were supplied 131 132 by Nanjing Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. The surface area of the pores 133 in sand particles was 0.17 m<sup>2</sup>/g and the average pore diameter was 134 59.5 nm. These parameters were measured by the surface area and 135 porosity analyzer (Micromeritics ASAP 2010, USA). The scanning 136 electron microscope (SEM) images of silica sands were captured 137 using the Phenom ProX SEM (FEI Company, USA). Deionized water 138 was used in all experimental runs.

#### 2.2. Apparatus

The schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus is shown 140 in Fig. 1. It consists of a high-pressure stainless-steel vessel 141 immersed in a water bath. The volume of the vessel was 142 600 cm<sup>3</sup>. The temperature of the water bath was controlled by an 143 external cryostat (THD-1030, Ningbo Tianheng Instrumentation 144 Corp., China). The maximum operation pressure of the vessel was 145 10 MPa. Two quartz windows were equipped in the front and rear 146 sides of the vessel, allowing a visual access to hydrate formation 147 inside the vessel. A speed-adjustable electromagnetic stirrer (0-148 1000 rpm) was inserted into the reactor and used for solution agi-149 tation. This stirrer can be dismantled for the purpose of gas hydrate 150 formation in guiescent format or in a fixed bed of porous media. 151 Two platinum resistance probes (Pt 100, Chongqing Chuanyi Auto-152 mation Corporation, China) with an uncertainty of 0.1 K were used 153 to measure the gas and liquid temperature. A pressure transducer 154 (Yokogawa Electric Corp., Japan) with an uncertainty of 0.06% of 155 the span 0-10 MPa was employed to measure the pressure of the 156 gas phase. The temperature and pressure of the reactor were 157 recorded by a data acquisition unit (Agilent 34970A, USA) and 158 logged into a computer. A gas chromatograph (GC-2014, Shimadzu 159 Corp., Japan) with an uncertainty of 0.1 mol% was used to deter-160 mine the composition of the gas mixtures remaining in the reactor 161 and decomposed from the gas hydrates at the end of the 162 experiments. 163

2.3. Procedures

In this work, kinetic experiments of gas hydrate formation with 165 the CMM gas mixture were carried out in a batch mode based on 166 the reported incipient phase equilibrium conditions in the pres-167 ence of 1.0 mol% THF [32]. The procedure for hydrate formation 168 in the stirred reactor was given as follows. Prior to the experi-169 ments, the crystallizer was cleaned with deionized water and 170 dried. Then 252 cm<sup>3</sup> of 1.0 mol% THF solution was filled in the crys-171 tallizer. For the experiments performed in the presence of SDS. 172 252 cm<sup>3</sup> of 1.0 mol% THF solution containing 500 ppm SDS was 173 used instead of the 1.0 mol% THF solution. The crystallizer 174 and the tubing were purged at least three times with the low-175 concentration CMM gas mixture so as to remove the air present 176 in the system. Then the reactor was pressurized to 3.6 MPa with 177 the low-concentration CMM gas mixture from the gas cylinder. 178 Once the temperature and pressure in the reactor reached desired 179 values (277.15 K and 3.6 MPa), the crystallizer was isolated from 180 the gas cylinder by closing the inlet and outlet valves. Meantime, 181 the electromagnetic stirrer was started at a constant speed of 182 150 rpm. This was considered to be time zero for the experiments. 183 The temperatures and pressure were recorded by the data acquisi-184 tion unit and logged into a computer every 10 s. Gas hydrates were 185 observed through the viewing windows during the process of 186 hydrate formation. Note that the pressure in the reactor would 187 decrease and the temperature would increase during the progress 188 of hydrate formation. When the temperature restored to 189  ${\sim}277.15\,\text{K}$  and the pressure stabilized at a constant value 190  $(\sim 2.8 \text{ MPa})$  for at least 2 h, it was considered as the end of the 191 experiments. Then the gas mixture remaining in the vessel was 192 sampled and the composition was analyzed using the gas chro-193 matograph (GC) at the end of the experiments. 194 195

This procedure was also adopted for hydrate formation in the fixed bed of silica sand. The same reactor was used with the absence of the electromagnetic stirrer. The amount of water required to saturate the silica sand was 0.256 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, which was determined using the method reported in the literature [33]. Saturation of the silica sands means that the void spaces such as the interstitial spaces or pore volumes of the sands was fully filled

139

164

196

197

198

199

200

201

Please cite this article in press as: Zhong D-L et al. Performance evaluation of methane separation from coal mine gas by gas hydrate formation in a stirred reactor and in a fixed bed of silica sand. Fuel (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.11.083

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6636053

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6636053

Daneshyari.com