**ARTICLE IN PRESS** 

#### Fuel xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Fuel



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

# Hydrodesulfurization and hydrodemetallization of different origin vacuum residues: New modeling approach

7 Q1 C. Ferreira<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Tayakout-Fayolle<sup>c,\*</sup>, I. Guibard<sup>a</sup>, F. Lemos<sup>b</sup>

8 <sup>a</sup> IFP Energies Nouvelles, Rond Point de l'échangeur de Solaize, BP 3, 69360 Solaize, France 9 <sup>b</sup> IRB – Centro de Engenharia Biológica e Química, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade

<sup>b</sup> IBB – Centro de Engenharia Biológica e Química, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

10 <sup>c</sup> Université Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5256, IRCELYON, Institut de recherches sur la catalyse et l'environnement de Lyon, 2 Av. Albert Einstein, F-69626 Villeurbanne, France

11 12

5 6

HIGHLIGHTS

- 16 The residue description gives a better differentiation of different origin residues.
- The chemical kinetic and Maxwell–Stefan equations are coupled in the catalyst.
- The diffusion limitation due to the molecule sizes improves the HDM prediction.
- The vanadium HDM seems to be controlled by the diffusion.

#### 20

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Article history:
Received 3 December 2013
Received in revised form 26 February 2014

26 Accepted 25 March 2014

27 Available online xxxx

- 28 Keywords:
- 29 Q3 Vacuum residue
- 30 Diffusion

31 Kinetic model

- HydrodesulfurizationHydrodemetallization
- 34 Reactor modeling
- 35

#### ABSTRACT

In order to be able to upgrade the heaviest part of the crude oil one needs to remove several impurities, such as sulfur or metals. Residue hydrotreatment in fixed beds, under high hydrogen pressure can achieve high removal performances, with an industrial catalysts optimized staging. Despite the recent improvements, petroleum residues remain very difficult to describe and characterize in detail. Several kinetic models have been developed, but mostly they are feed dependant and their predictions are not satisfying for residues of different origins. Based on a recent study comparing residue properties and the differentiating physical-chemical properties responsible for reactivity (Ferreira et al., 2012), the present work develops a hydrotreatment kinetic model coupled with mass transfer in the catalyst which attempts to simulate the different residue performances. After estimation of kinetic parameters for a lraqi residue (Buzurgan) with API gravity of 5, the model taking into account differences in residue characteristics, was validated for residues with API gravity close to Buzurgan one but shows increasing discrepancies with hicreasing API gravity.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

#### 53 1. Introduction

Upgrading petroleum residue into lighter fractions is crucial to 54 satisfy the increasing energetic demand. In addition, the available 55 crude oils are becoming heavier and therefore the removal of 56 impurities, as sulfur or metals is more necessary before converting. 57 Residue upgrading processes produce either more distillates (low 58 sulfur fuel oil) or residues suitable for the residue cracking units 59 (RFCC). Among all residue upgrading processes, fixed bed hydro-60 treatment units are the most frequently used. 61

The residue fixed bed hydrotreatment process, Hyvahl<sup>™</sup> developed by IFPEN in 1982 [2,3], consists of several trickle bed reactors in series, subdivided into two different reaction sections with specific catalysts. In each one of them. The first section

Q2 \* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 472445426. E-mail address: melaz.tayakout@ircelyon.univ-lyon1.fr (M. Tayakout-Fayolle).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.03.056 0016-2361/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. (HDM – hydrodemetallization) is intended to remove most of the metals and to slightly hydrocrack the asphaltenes. The second section (HDS – hydrodesulfurization) allows, with a deeper desulfurization function, the required sulfur levels to be achieved. Both sections operate at high hydrogen pressure and high temperatures. The understanding of chemical and physical phenomena in these reactors is a major challenge.

The heaviest fraction of oil contains a large polydispersity of molecular structures, which is very difficult to characterize precisely. A typical way to start residue characterization is by fractionation. The heaviest fraction, called asphaltenes, is obtained by precipitation using a paraffin [4]. The nature of asphaltenes is still a subject of much controversy [5–8]. It is nonetheless accepted that they are constituted of large size molecules and this phase possibly has a colloidal structure with an high concentration of impurities. Their hydrotreatment is then much more difficult than for lighter structures.

79

80

81

82

37

38

39

40

41

Please cite this article in press as: Ferreira C et al. Hydrodesulfurization and hydrodemetallization of different origin vacuum residues: New modeling approach. Fuel (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.03.056

C. Ferreira et al./Fuel xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Nomenclature			
a <sub>s</sub> A	specific surface area of catalyst pellet $(m^2 m^{-3})$ coefficient of the Scheibel correlation (-)	$q^{\max}$	active site saturation concentration (mol $m^{-3}$ of solid)
bi	thermodynamic Langmuir coefficient of the	a <sup>site</sup>	active site concentration (mol $m^{-3}$ of solid)
	lump $i$ (m <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$q_i$	concentration of the lump <i>i</i> in adsorbed phase
$C_i^p$	concentration of the lump <i>i</i> in the fluid inside		(sites) (mol $m^{-3}$ of <i>solid</i> )
	the pellet at equilibrium with the adsorbed	$Q_L$	volumetric liquid flow rate $(m^{-3} s)$
_f	phase (mol m <sup>-3</sup> )	$r_i^j$	volumetric production reaction rate <i>j</i> of lump <i>i</i>
$C_i^j$	concentration of the lump <i>i</i> in the extra granu- $-3$	·	$(\text{mol } \text{m}^{-3} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$
C	lar fluid phase (mol $m^{-3}$ )	r <sub>i</sub>	global volumetric surface production rate of
$C_T$	total concentration (mol m $^{\circ}$ )		lump <i>i</i> (mol m <sup>-3</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
D <sub>ij</sub> .	Stophan Maxwell binary lumps (ii) diffusion	$r_p$	pore radius (m)
$D_{ij}$	Stephan-Maxwell binary lumps $(I_j)$ diffusion	R	gas constant (J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> K <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )
D <sup>0</sup>	Coefficient (III S) Stophan Maywell binary commont (iii) diffusion	$R_c$	catalyst pellet radius (m)
$D_{ij}$	Stephan-Maxwell binary segment $(I_J)$ diffusion	R <sub>coke</sub>	coke molecules radius (m)
D	coefficient (III S)	$R_h$	molecule hydrodynamic radius (m)
$D_{\infty}$	buik phase diffusion coefficient of translational diffusion coefficient $(m^2 a^{-1})$	Sc	section of the catalyst pellet (m <sup>2</sup> )
1.	diffusion coefficient $(\mathbf{m}^2 \mathbf{s}^2)$	Scatalyst	catalyst surface (m <sup>2</sup> )
K 1.	BOIZTHIAIH CONSTANT of monthing $i (m^{-2} e^{-1} e^{-1})$	$S_{c_{\text{initially available}}}$	initially available surface of catalyst (m <sup>2</sup> )
ĸj	Kinetic constant of reaction $f(m - s - ou m - m)$	$S_{c_{\text{occupied,coke}}}$	occupied surface of catalyst (m <sup>2</sup> )
$\mathbf{k}'$	11101 111 S )	Т	temperature (K)
к <sub>j</sub>	$apparent kinetic constant of reaction f(m - c^{-1})$	V <sub>c</sub>	catalyst volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
- 22	5)	$V_{p,intragranularphase}$	intra granular volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
$k_i^m$	mass transfer coefficient of the lump <i>i</i> corre-	$v_i^j$	stoichiometric coefficient of the lump <i>i</i> of reac-
17	sponding to the fluid phase (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	f	tion j(-)
K <sub>r</sub>	viscous drag coefficient of the considered lump	$v_o$	fluid velocity inside the reactor $(m s^{-1})$
V	(-)	$\vartheta_i$	molecular volume of the alementary correct $(m^3)$
K <sub>p</sub>	solute partition coefficient (-)	$\vartheta_{0}$	molar volume of the elementary segment $(m^2 - m_0)^{-1}$
L <sub>c</sub>	length of the cylindrical pellet $(m)$		mol <sup>-</sup> )
M <sub>i</sub>	molecular weight of the lump i abtained by CDC	E <sub>i</sub>	extra granular porosity (-)
M <sub>i,GPC</sub>	(lvg mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\varepsilon_p$	Intra granular porosity (-)
λл	(Kg III01) molecular weight of the lump i obtained by	$\phi_i$	volume fraction of the fump <i>t</i> in the initia gran-
IVI i(Buzurgan),GPC	CPC for Buzurgan (kg mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	J.f	ular solid pliase $(-)$
M	molecular weight of the lump <i>i</i> from Akbar-	$\varphi_i$	volume fluid phase $(-)$
i(Buzurgan)	zadeh works [26] for Buzurgan (kg mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	ф <sup>S</sup>	volume fraction of the coke Vanadium or Nick-
пс	number of lumps (–)	$\Psi_1$	el on the catalyst surface (–)
nd	number of deposits (adsorbed lumps) (–)	TP	catalyst tortuosity (-)
N:	molar flux of the lump <i>i</i> (mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$O_{R}$	density (kg m <sup>3</sup> )
$N_{\pm}^{0}$	molar flux of the segment <i>i</i> (mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	n	viscosity of the fluid phase (Pa s)
Ncoke	number of coke molecules (–)	λ	ratio between pore and molecule hydrody-
nei	number of segments of the lump <i>i</i> , comparing		namic radius (–)
31	to the elementary volume (–)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NR	number of reactions (–)		

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

83

84

85

In parallel to the development of the process and understanding of the residue physical and chemical properties and reactivities, IF-PEN has been working on the development of kinetic and catalyst deactivation models for both sections of the process [9–11]. These models give quite satisfying results, but were developed for specific Middle East residues and lack accuracy when tested to simulate residues of different origins. Alternative hydrotreatment models reported in the literature are based on heavy residues originating from other areas but are only tested for the specific residues for which they are developed and no general model is suggested [12–16].

The problem of such models is that their feed description is quite simple and that the model parameters such as kinetic constants, depend on the vacuum residue origin. To overcome such constraints, quite complex residue description models based on molecular reconstruction have been developed and can be found in the literature [17–19]. However, these models are so complex that, due to their computing time, they are almost never integrated in a complete kinetic model of residue hydrocracking and are of very difficult practical application. Moreover, works of Ferreira et al. have introduced the diffusion limitation in the catalyst in order to describe the impact of molecules sizes on the hydrotreatment performances [20].

In the present work, based on the experimental works of Ferreira et al. [1], we introduce a new heavy residue feed description and consequent kinetic network. The aim is that model parameters should be independent of vacuum residue origin whilst the model itself remains as simple as possible. This description is then introduced into the reactor/kinetic model which includes the two sections of the process. After parameter estimation with a Iraqi residue, the model is validated with experimental results from three residues with different origins.

#### 2. Experimental section

Vacuum residues with quite different characteristics, i.e. densities, sulfur, metals and asphaltenes content were selected and 117

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

115

Please cite this article in press as: Ferreira C et al. Hydrodesulfurization and hydrodemetallization of different origin vacuum residues: New modeling approach. Fuel (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.03.056 Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6637690

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6637690

Daneshyari.com