JFUE 7006 No. of Pages 7, Model 5G

[Fuel xxx \(2013\) xxx–xxx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.04.031)

57 The most common fatty esters contained in biodiesel are those 58 of palmitic acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, and linolenic

Q2 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +48 323591643. E-mail address: mhd@ich.us.edu.pl (M. Dzida).

0016-2361/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.04.031>

acid. This holds for biodiesel feedstock, such as soybean, sunflower, 59 and rapeseed. However some tropical oils, such as coconut oil or 60 babassu oil contain significant amounts of shorter chain saturated 61 acids, such as lauric acid, myristic acid, caprylic acid, and capric 62 acid [\[1,2\].](#page--1-0) Saturated fatty esters possess higher CN and better oxi- 63 dative stability than their unsaturated counterparts. Using thermal 64 efficiency data, it was originally suggested that ethyl esters of 65

54

Please cite this article in press as: Dzida M et al. High pressure physicochemical properties of biodiesel components used for spray characteristics in diesel injection systems. Fuel (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.04.031>

2 M. Dzida et al. / Fuel xxx (2013) xxx–xxx

 monounsaturated or short-chain saturated fatty acids should make good alternative fuels and that they should be evaluated in long- term engine tests [\[3\].](#page--1-0) If saturated fatty acids are preferred due to their greater oxidative stability, esters of decanoic acid appear advantageous because they offer a compromise between cold flow and cetane number. Moreover, by having accurate knowledge of the influence of the molecular structure on the properties deter- mined, the modification of the fatty ester composition can be ap- plied for improving biodiesel fuel properties. Important fuel properties of biodiesel that are influenced by the fatty acid profile and, in turn, by the structural features of the various fatty esters in- clude ignition quality, heat of combustion, cold flow, oxidative sta- bility, exhaust emissions, lubricity, viscosity and density. Fuel density affects the mass of fuel injected into the combustion cham- ber and thus, the air–fuel ratio. This is because fuel injection pumps fuel by volume not by mass and a denser fuel contains a greater mass in the same volume. Thus, the changes in the fuel density will influence engine output power due to a different mass of fuel injected [\[4\]](#page--1-0). Isobaric thermal expansion and compressibil- ity, characterize how temperature and pressure affect density. As the propagation of sound is an adiabatic process, the acoustic method gives the most reliable values of the adiabatic compress- ibility [\[5\].](#page--1-0) The interest in this material constant has been increased in the last years due to the development of the common rail system in the diesel engines. In the common rail engines, the fuel is in- jected into the combustion chamber under pressure up to 250 MPa. The injection is very rapid, thus approximately adiabatic. Therefore, the adiabatic compressibility is particularly useful in the estimation of the fuel injection timing [\[6–8\]](#page--1-0).

 In the present paper, we report experimental speeds of sound in ethyl laurate and ethyl myristate measured within the tempera- tures from 293 to 318 K and at pressures from 0.1 MPa to 101 MPa, as well densities and heat capacities measured under atmospheric pressure in the temperature range from 283 to 353 K and 286 to 341 K, respectively. The densities and isobaric heat capacities at pressures up to 100 MPa were calculated from experi- mental data according to the suggestion of Davis and Gordon [\[9\].](#page--1-0) To this end, a slightly modified procedure of Sun et al. [\[10\]](#page--1-0) was applied. We aimed this work mainly at comparison of effects of pressure and temperature on density, adiabatic compressibility, and isobaric thermal expansion of ethyl laurate and ethyl myristate. Further- more, we tried to find out whether the differences in the material constants of the studied fuels are significant from the practical point of view. Data similar to those reported in this work have been used in calculations of other thermodynamic parameters, in simulations, and as a reference material for tests of performance characteristics of diesel engines [\[6–8,11–14\].](#page--1-0)

113 2. Experimental section

114 2.1. Materials

 Ethyl laurate from Aldrich, minimum 0.98 (GC) mass fraction purity, and ethyl myristate from Aldrich, 0.99 (GC) mass fraction 117 purity have been used in this work. The content of the main com- ponent was checked by gas chromatograph and was found to be 0.995 mass fraction.

120 2.2. Ultrasonic speed measurements

 The speed of sound in liquids under test has been measured at atmospheric and higher pressures using two measuring sets de- signed and constructed in our laboratory [\[15,16\].](#page--1-0) Two measuring vessels of the same acoustic path and construction have been used, one of them designed for measurements under atmospheric pressure, the other one for measurements under elevated pressures. 126 The measuring set applies the pulse-echo-overlap principle. In 127 the acoustic cell, a single transmitting–receiving ceramic trans- 128 ducer operating at 2 MHz frequency was applied together with 129 and an acoustic mirror. The pressure was applied by a hand-oper- 130 ated hydraulic press connected with the chamber by a system of 131 high-pressure capillary tubes and valves. The pressure was stabi-
132 lized to within 0.03 MPa and was measured with a strain gauge 133 measuring system (Hottinger Baldwin System P3MD) with accuracy 134 better than 0.15%. During the measurements, the temperature is 135 stabilized within the limits of ±0.01 K by a Haake DC 30 tempera-
136 ture controller, and measured using an Ertco Hart 850 platinum 137 resistance thermometer (traceable to a NIST standard) with an 138 uncertainty of ± 0.05 K and resolution of 0.001 K. 139

The uncertainty of the speed of sound measurements was esti- 140 mated to be 0.03% at atmospheric pressure, 0.04% under pressures 141 up to 60 MPa and 0.05% under pressures from 60 MPa to 101 MPa. 142 Other details of the high-pressure device and the method of the 143 speed of sound measurements can be found in a previous papers 144 [\[15,16\].](#page--1-0) 145

2.3. Density measurements 146

173

175

The densities at atmospheric pressure were measured using a 147 vibrating tube densimeter Anton Paar DMA 5000. The uncertainty 148 of the density measurements was 0.05 kg m^{-3} , whereas the repeat-
149 ability was estimated to be better than 0.005 kg m^{-3} . $\hspace{1cm}$ 150

2.4. Specific heat capacity measurements 151

The specific isobaric heat capacity was measured by a high sen- 152 sitivity differential scanning calorimeter Micro DSC III, manufac-
153 tured by Setaram and based on the Tian–Calvet principle. The 154 uncertainty of the isobaric heat capacity measurements was 155 ±0.15%. More details of the measurement procedure can be found 156 $\text{in } [17]$ $\text{in } [17]$. 157

3. Measurement results 158

The ultrasonic speeds in compressed liquids were measured at 159 temperatures from 293 to 318 K in about 5 K steps and under pres- 160 sures up 101 MPa. The experimental values are listed in [Table 1.](#page--1-0) 161 The densities were measured under atmospheric pressure in the 162 temperature range from 283 to 353 K in 5 K steps. The experimen- 163 tal values are collected in [Table 2](#page--1-0). The specific isobaric heat capac- 164 ities were measured at atmospheric pressure and at temperatures 165 from 286 to 341 K in about 0.02 K steps. In this way, ca. 3400 166 experimental points have been collected for each liquid. Therefore 167 the values of isobaric specific heat capacity every 5 K are collected 168 in [Table 3.](#page--1-0) 169

The dependencies of the speed of sound, density, and isobaric 170 specific heat capacity on temperature at atmospheric pressure 171 were approximated by the following polynomials of the type:

$$
y = \sum_{j=0}^{2} b_j T^j,
$$
 (1)

where y, is the speed of sound; u_0 , density; ρ , or isobaric specific 176 heat capacity; C_p , at atmospheric pressure p_0 ; b_j are the polynomial 177 coefficients ($b_j = c_j$ for the speed of sound, $b_j = \rho_j$ for the density, and 178 b_i = h_i for the isobaric specific heat capacity) calculated by the least 179 squares method. The backward stepwise rejection procedure was 180 used to reduce the number of non-zero coefficients. The coefficients 181 and standard deviations from the regression lines are given in [Table](#page--1-0) 182 [4](#page--1-0). The standard deviations are much smaller than the measure- 183 ments accuracy. 184

Please cite this article in press as: Dzida M et al. High pressure physicochemical properties of biodiesel components used for spray characteristics in diesel injection systems. Fuel (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.04.031>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6640286>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6640286>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)