Fuel 107 (2013) 162-169

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Fuel



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

Autothermal reforming of Fischer–Tropsch diesel over alumina and ceria–zirconia supported catalysts

Angélica V. González^{a,*}, Xanthias Karatzas^b, Lars J. Pettersson^a

^a KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Chemical Science and Engineering, Chemical Technology, Teknikringen 42, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden ^b Scania CV AB, SE-151 87 Södertälje, Sweden

HIGHLIGHTS

- ► Full-scale Fischer-Tropsch diesel autothermal reforming for hydrogen generation.
- ► Two catalytic zones were used. Bimetallic RhPt catalysts supported on δ -Al₂O₃ and CeO₂-ZrO₂.
- ► Catalytic monoliths were sequentially placed in the autothermal reformer.
- ► Higher reducibility of Rh_xO_y species in CeO₂-ZrO₂ lead to 42% vol hydrogen after CeO₂-ZrO₂.
- ▶ Optimum operating conditions were determined at $O_2/C = 0.42$ and $H_2O/C = 2.5$.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 March 2012 Received in revised form 20 December 2012 Accepted 4 February 2013 Available online 19 February 2013

Keywords: Ceria-zirconia Alumina Hydrogen Fischer–Tropsch diesel Autothermal reforming

ABSTRACT

Autothermal reforming (ATR) of synthetic Fischer–Tropsch diesel has been carried out to evaluate the fuel reformer and the catalyst performance at realistic operating conditions. Hydrogen was produced via ATR in a full-scale reformer (ID = 84 mm, *L* = 400 mm) at 650–750 °C. The two monolithic catalysts were sequentially located in the reformer and simultaneously tested. The catalysts were composed of 1:1 wt% Rh:Pt as active metals; CeO₂, MgO, Y₂O₃, and La₂O₃ were used as promoters. The first catalytic monolith was supported on δ -Al₂O₃ and the second on CeO₂–ZrO₂. Fresh samples were characterized by N₂-BET, XRD and H₂–TPR analyses. Catalyst activity was evaluated at O₂/C ~ 0.34–0.45 and H₂O/C ~ 2–3. Results show an increased catalyst activity after the second monolithic catalyst due to the effect of steam reforming, water–gas shift reaction (WGS) and higher catalyst reducibility of Rh_xO_y species on the CeO₂–ZrO₂ mixed oxide as a result of the improved redox properties. Hydrogen concentrations of 42 vol% and fuel conversion of 98% after the CeO₂–ZrO₂-supported catalyst was obtained at O₂/C = 0.42 and H₂O/C = 2.5.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Use of alternative fuels and integration of fuel cell auxiliary power units (FC-APUs) in vehicles, have been considered for reduction of issues such as pollutant emissions, oil dependence and greenhouse emissions in the transport sector. FC-APUs can provide on-board auxiliary electricity in vehicles, in particular during stand-still mode when 60% of engine operating time is used inefficiently [1]. Commercialization of this technology is been promoted by several public and private pilot projects but also, due to the stricter legislation on emission limits in the US and Europe, such as the EURO VI directive and the anti-idling regulation, respectively [2]. Besides, use of FC-APUs on-board will overcome limitations such as storage and transport of hydrogen [3,4]. Commercialization of the FC-APU is linked with the viability of the system fuel flexibility, to cover a wider range of applications. In actual commercial fuel qualities i.e. European standard diesel (DIN 590), great challenges are found for hydrogen production. This is due to its sulfur and aromatic content. Recently, researchers have made significant progress in overcoming these limitations by decreasing diesel sulfur content and promoting usage of alternative fuels. For instance use of rapeseed methyl ester (RME) and Fischer–Tropsch (FT) diesel in the transport sector for reduction of pollutant emissions [5,6]. Specifically, FT diesel characteristics, i.e., high cetane number, low aromatic content, high paraffinic content, and low sulfur content represent the advantage of facilitating hydrocarbon oxidation and decreasing autothermal reforming (ATR) catalyst deactivation [1,7–9]. FT diesel properties are shown in Table 1.

The integrated FC-APU contains a fuel reformer, where fuel is converted over a catalyst to obtain a H_2 -rich gas via ATR. Studies



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 (0)8 790 9150. *E-mail address:* avga@kth.se (A.V. González).

^{0016-2361/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.02.010

Table 1Fischer–Tropsch diesel properties.

Properties	Unit	FT
Density	kg/m ³	800
Viscosity at 40 °C	mm²/s	2.5-4
Flash point	°C	75
Boiling point	°C	180-360
Self-ignition temperature	°C	Above 200
Lower heating value	MJ/kg	47
Sulfur content	ppm	0
C mass fraction	wt%	85
H mass fraction	wt%	15

on ATR of diesel have described it as the most viable process for hydrogen production in mobile applications such as trucks [10]. The ATR combines endothermic steam reforming (SR), see Eq. (1), and partial oxidation (POX), Eq. (2); where ATR, Eq. (3), is the global reaction of the process [1,11,12]

$$C_{x}H_{y}O_{z} + (x - z)H_{2}O \rightarrow \left(x - z + \frac{y}{2}\right)H_{2} + xCO$$

$$\Delta H > 0 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(1)

$$C_{x}H_{y}O_{z} + \frac{(x-z)}{2}(O_{2} + 3.76N_{2}) \rightarrow \frac{y}{2}H_{2} + xCO + 3.76\frac{(x-z)}{2}N_{2} \quad \Delta H < 0 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(2)

$$C_{x}H_{y}O_{z} + n(O_{2} + 3.76N_{2}) + (x - 2n - z)H_{2}O$$

$$\rightarrow \left(x - 2n - z + \frac{y}{2}\right)H_{2} + xCO + 3.76nN_{2} \quad \Delta H \approx 0 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(3)

In ideal ATR adiabatic operation heat generated by the exothermic reaction is used to supply the endothermic steam reforming reaction. Which takes place together with reactions such as the water-gas-shift reaction (WGS) in the catalytic zone [13]. However, in realistic conditions, as is the objective of the present study, the system presents heat losses resulting in incomplete adiabatic operation; thus excess of air is used [14] for practical operation of the FC-APU.

Despite of many advances in catalyst optimization and process performance i.e., introduction of zone-coating catalysts, new fuel evaporation systems such as injectors and nozzles [14–17], issues such as fuel flexibility, use of alternative fuels for hydrogen production and the amount of noble metal loading required for complete fuel conversion still remain to be solved [1,7]. As a continuation of previous research at our group, in this study is reported the ATR of FT diesel in a 5 kWe-scale reformer as well as the effect of using two different catalyst compositions sequentially located and simultaneously tested.

Noble metal catalysts (Rh:Pt) were used as active metals for both catalytic monoliths. Active metals and promoters were supported on Al₂O₃ and CeO₂–ZrO₂, for the first and second monoliths, respectively. The monolith location was chosen depending on the reaction conditions to which the catalyst was subjected. That is oxidation reactions (exothermic) and steam reforming (endothermic) [13]. Results show an increased catalyst activity after the second monolithic catalyst due to the effect of steam reforming, the water gas shift reaction (WGS) and higher catalyst reducibility on CeO₂–ZrO₂ mixed oxide. Hydrogen concentrations of 42 vol% and fuel conversion of 98% after the CeO₂–ZrO₂-supported catalyst was obtained at O₂/C = 0.42 and H₂O/C = 2.5.

1.1. ATR catalyst selection

Catalyst optimization for autothermal reforming conditions has been reported previously, describing systems with different supports, which demonstrate the superiority of Rh over Pt and Pd, for reforming of high sulfur-content fuels [9,18,19]. Similar results were also shown by Nilsson et al. [20] and Ferrandon et al. [21]. As example, Sigarov et al. [22] studied the performance of Co, Mn, Rh, BaO, La₂O₃/Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ in diesel ATR in a reactor with two cylindrical metallic monoliths. Maximum hydrogen yield of 18 mol/mol (fuel) was achieved at $O_2/C = 0.5$, $H_2O/C = 1.5-1.7$, GHSV = 2000–10.000 h⁻¹ and at temperatures of 840–880 °C. The authors showed that the most active catalysts were the combination of Co₃O₄/MnO₄–BaO in the first zone of the reactor and Rh/MnO₄–BaO in the second zone, with a product composition of $H_2 = 32\%$, CH₄ = 1%, CO₂ = 12%, CO = 11%, N₂ = 44%.

Kaila and Krause [23] studied ATR of simulated gasoline and diesel fuels using catalysts composed of Rh and Pt as active components in monometallic and bimetallic form with zirconia as support. They found that Rh/ZrO₂ catalyst showed high ATR activity and selectivity. Additionally, it was confirmed less coke formation with Rh/ZrO₂ than for a nickel catalyst in the same application. In addition, Kaila et al. [24] found that the bimetallic prepared catalyst presented better performance than the monometallic catalyst.

As reported by Kang et al. [25] the role of the support in a reforming catalyst is not only determined by its mechanical or structural characteristics, but it can also affect the catalyst activity, selectivity, thermal stability, carbon and sulfur tolerance with a chemical role in formation of oxygen vacancies. This is possible due to the oxygen storage capacity (OSC) of materials such as CeO₂. The addition of oxygen, facilitates the reaction by regenerating the oxide species of the surface belonging to the promoters and by oxidizing surface carbon species and carbon-containing products as is reported by Srisiriwat et al. [26]

Ceria presents a fluorite structure in which it is possible to substitute Ce⁴⁺ ions for Zr³⁺ or Gd³⁺ producing oxygen vacancies and metal sites on the surface of the substrate. Other example is CeO₂–ZrO₂ or ZrO₂ stabilized with yttrium [24,26]. Research on the oxygen storage behavior of CeO₂–ZrO₂ mixed oxides has reveal that CeO₂, ZrO₂ and Mn are sulfur adsorbents and decrease catalyst deactivation by sulfur poisoning and carbon deposition [27]. Sulfur adsorption on CeO₂–ZrO₂ is accomplish through formation of a stable sulfide at the temperature range of ATR [28]. This species can partially being removed after vacuum treatment at high temperatures. However, under reducing conditions the CeO₂–ZrO₂ facilitates desorption of sulfur species as H₂S [29].

During ATR, the catalyst is subjected to oxidizing, partial oxidation (POX) and reducing conditions, steam reforming (SR). Therefore, CeO₂–ZrO₂ with its oxygen storage capacity (OSC) could increase oxidation reactions under reducing conditions (SR) while presenting superior sulfur resistance than δ -Al₂O₃ at the same conditions.

Alumina is the most common support material for environmental catalysis due to its high surface area, low cost and thermal stability. The Al₂O₃ is thermally stabilized by CeO₂ or La₂O₃ to avoid sintering of the active metal [30].

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Catalyst samples were prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation procedure. Powders of δ -Al₂O₃ (prepared by calcining γ -Al₂O₃ supplied by Sasol GmbH Germany with a specific surface area of 105 m²/g) and CeO₂–ZrO₂ (supplied by MEL Chemicals with a specific surface area of 241 m²/g) were used as supports. The δ -Al₂O₃ was impregnated with an aqueous solution of Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%), and La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%), and finally impregnated with an aqueous solution of Rh(NO₃)₃ (Sigma Aldrich) and (NH₃)₄Pt(NO₃)₂ (Alfa Aesar), dried

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6641575

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6641575

Daneshyari.com