



Short communication

Electrosynthesis of hydrogen peroxide in a filter-press flow cell using graphite felt as air-diffusion cathode

Tzayam Pérez^{a,1}, Gabriela Coria^b, Ignasi Sirés^{c,1}, José L. Nava^{b,*,1}, Agustín R. Uribe^a^a Universidad de Guanajuato, Departamento de Ingeniería Química, División de Ciencias Naturales y Exactas, Noria Alta S/N, C.P. 36050 Guanajuato, Guanajuato, Mexico^b Departamento de Ingeniería Geomática e Hidráulica, Universidad de Guanajuato, Av. Juárez 77, Zona Centro, C.P. 36000 Guanajuato, Guanajuato, Mexico^c Laboratori d'Electroquímica dels Materials i del Medi Ambient, Departament de Química Física, Facultat de Química, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Electrosynthesis
Filter-press cell
Gas-diffusion electrode
Graphite felt
Hydrogen peroxide
Oxygen reduction reaction


ABSTRACT

The electrosynthesis of H₂O₂ via O₂ reduction was feasible employing cheap, unmodified graphite felt on top of carbon cloth as air-diffusion cathode fitted into an undivided filter-press cell. The experiments were performed in a pre-pilot plant with recirculation of 4 dm³ of 0.05 M Na₂SO₄ solutions at pH 3.0 upon continuous air feeding to the cathode. The H₂O₂ electrogeneration occurred within the range $-0.30 \leq E \leq -0.01$ V|SHE, being dependent on the mean linear flow velocity (*u*), which is related to the mass transport of hydronium ions. Optimum conditions achieved at $E = -0.30$ V|SHE and $u = 27.4$ cm s⁻¹ yielded 100.4 mg dm⁻³ H₂O₂, with efficiency close to 100% and low energy consumption.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen peroxide is listed as one of the 100 most important chemicals in the world, being involved in a large range of industrial applications [1], including water treatment via advanced oxidation processes like H₂O₂/O₃, H₂O₂/UVC and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ or electrochemical methods such as electrooxidation, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton at acidic pH ~3.0 [2]. Hydrogenation of alkyl-9,10-anthraquinone followed by autooxidation in the presence of O₂ is the leading technology for industrial synthesis [3], although co-generation of exhaust gas, toxic liquid and solid waste is a major concern.

The direct electrochemical H₂O₂ synthesis appears as a much greener method. It is less energy-intensive, especially if coupled with renewable energy sources, than the chemical alternatives and allows minimizing risks and costs. Actually, it is a zero waste strategy because it can be tailored to produce only the required amount of chemical just by controlling the electrolysis conditions. Two main electrolytic approaches have prevailed for the two-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) from air or pure O₂: (i) feeding of a gas-diffusion electrode (GDE), and (ii) direct sparging into the electrolyte. The ORR can occur in acidic and alkaline media [4]:



Carbonaceous materials act as optimum cathodes for H₂O₂ electrogeneration owing to their high stability, conductivity, resistance, non-toxicity and low cost [5]. In the first approach, GDEs are most usually prepared from pristine carbon black mixed with PTFE to impart hydrophobicity [6–10]. They yield the highest amount of H₂O₂ thanks to both, the existence of a triple phase boundary (TPB) and pressurization, which favor the two-electron ORR over the HER. This, in turn, shifts the cathode potential to more positive values [3]. Significant efforts are spent to simultaneously increase the activity, selectivity and stability of electrocatalysts by modifying the carbon particles with quinones, Pd-Au or Co-based compounds [11–13]. Nonetheless, GDEs present some drawbacks: (i) complex setups needed to prevent flooding; (ii) costly commercial cathode materials; and (iii) modest mechanical resistance.

Following the second approach, a lower H₂O₂ concentration is attained due to limited solubility of gaseous O₂ and mass transport limitations, which could be a disadvantage for some industrial applications but not for water treatment. However, simpler setups and less strict control are required, and materials are usually cheaper. The greatest H₂O₂ contents are obtained with three-dimensional carbons like graphite felt [14–16], reticulated vitreous carbon [15], fibers [17], nanotubes [18], hierarchically porous carbon [19] and graphene [20].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: t.perezsegura@ugto.mx (T. Pérez), g.coriarodriguez@ugto.mx (G. Coria), i.sires@ub.edu (I. Sirés), jlnm@ugto.mx (J.L. Nava), uribe@ugto.mx (A.R. Uribe).¹ ISE Active Member.

Modification of the setup or reactor has also been addressed: (i) greater mass transport of O₂ toward the cathode surface can be promoted with a rotating cylinder electrode thanks to the turbulent regime [21], whereas (ii) super-saturation of O₂ can be fostered at ambient pressure with a jet aerator [22] or at high pressure reactors [23]. The main drawbacks of these latter systems are the supply of dirty air that poisons the cathode, the high mechanical stress undergone by the 3D carbon or the high cost of pressurization.

In this work, a novel approach has been followed for the H₂O₂ electrogeneration from reduction of O₂ at constant potential in 4 dm³ of 0.05 M Na₂SO₄ solutions at pH 3.0 using a pre-pilot flow plant. Commercial carbon cloth has been hydrophobized and put in contact with raw graphite felt to convert a 3D cathode into an efficient GDE by increasing the electrochemical contact area and favoring the mass transport inside the porous material. Air was supplied through a chamber added to an undivided FM01-LC flow cell. The cathode potential and liquid flow rate were evaluated as main operation parameters.

2. Materials and methods

Analytical grade reagents from Sigma-Aldrich and Fermont and deionized water were employed. The characteristics of the FM01-LC filter-press reactor can be found elsewhere [24]. Here, the conventional undivided FM01-LC reactor, which was modified to include an air chamber (Fig. 1a), was equipped with a Ti|Pt plate anode manufactured following the Pechini method and a stainless steel frame (4 cm height, 16 cm length, 0.30 cm thickness) for the electrical supply to the cathode. A novel GDE as cathode was assembled by using a commercial carbon cloth of 64 cm² area, which was hydrophobized with PTFE [7,25], on top of which a graphite felt parallelepiped (4 cm height × 16 cm length × 0.15 cm thickness, 10–100 × 10⁻³ Ω⁻¹ cm⁻¹ electrical conductivity, 651 cm² cm⁻³ volumetric area, 0.97 porosity) was placed without any glue. The thickness of the graphite felt strands was 19 μm. It is important to remark that the pressure exerted by the filter-press and the turbulence promoters was high enough so as to ensure a reasonable electrical contact. The cloth and graphite felt were from ROOE Group. The GDE was in contact with the air chamber fed with atmospheric air (Dewalt D55168 air compressor) under overpressure of 0.7 bar regulated with a gauge back-pressure to electrogenerate H₂O₂. Two plastic routed meshes (promoters type D) were used [24], one between the anode and cathode and the other into the air chamber. To provide a constant liquid flow through the plant under recirculation batch mode, a magnetic pump and a flowmeter were installed (Fig. 1b). All trials were made at constant cathode potential provided by a BK Precision 1621A power source, which directly displayed the potential difference between the anode and cathode (E_{cell}). The electrode potentials were measured against a saturated sulfate reference electrode (SSE), inserted into a Luggin capillary, using an Agilent 34410 high impedance multimeter. All electrode potentials are referred to standard hydrogen electrode (SHE).

In our previous study [15], the reduction of dissolved O₂ for mass-transport controlled H₂O₂ electrogeneration at graphite felt in sulfate occurred within the domain $-0.40 < E < -0.10$ V|SHE, highlighting that at more negative potential the HER occurs to much larger extent. In the present work, different cathode potentials between $-0.30 \leq E \leq -0.01$ V|SHE and volumetric flow rates (q , in cm³ s⁻¹) have been tested. The mean linear flow velocity (u , in cm s⁻¹) is determined as $q/A_T \varepsilon$, being A_T the transverse area wherein the electrolyte flows ($A_T = BS$, where B and S are the thickness and width of the channel, in cm), and ε is the overall voidage (dimensionless). The H₂O₂ content was determined on a Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer from light absorption at $\lambda = 408$ nm [26].

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2a shows the H₂O₂ accumulation as a function of the electrolysis time under potentiostatic conditions at different applied cathodic potentials, namely -0.01 , -0.15 , -0.20 and -0.30 V|SHE at volumetric flow rate of 3.0 dm³ min⁻¹ (27.4 cm s⁻¹) for 180 min. A greater accumulation was achieved at a given time as the applied potential was increased up to -0.30 V|SHE, which is related to its quicker production from Eq. (1) resulting in current values between 0.13 and 0.20 A along the electrolysis. The application of more negative potential values does not enhance the H₂O₂ production, which can be explained by the occurrence of the parasitic HER [15].

Carbonaceous materials are suitable because the extent of HER at their surface is minimized, as confirmed up to the optimal value of -0.30 V|SHE. This parasitic reaction is detrimental, since it causes a decrease in the current efficiency of the ORR to yield H₂O₂. Fig. 2b and c shows the corresponding current efficiency and energy consumption, which were evaluated as described elsewhere [4]. The curves of Fig. 2b are in good agreement with the trends shown in Fig. 2a, with current efficiency increasing at more negative potentials up to -0.30 V|SHE, because from that potential the reaction (1) is favored [15]. At that potential, the efficiency reached a maximum of 88% during the first hour of electrolysis; whereupon it decayed down to 67% at 180 min. At more negative potentials than -0.30 V|SHE, the HER becomes more relevant. The fact that the efficiency becomes lower than 100%, even between -0.01 and -0.30 V|SHE where the HER is minimized, can be due partly to the potential distribution in the cathode matrix because part of the current is lost by capacitive phenomena as a result of its high volumetric area [27]. In addition, the use of an undivided cell favors the gradual oxidation of H₂O₂ to O₂ at the anode surface, which is accompanied by the formation of HO₂· as an intermediate [4]. On the other hand, the efficiency loss as the electrolyses are prolonged can be due to the promotion of additional parasitic reactions upon solution recirculation. First, the electrochemical reduction at the cathode surface from Eq. (3) can occur to a certain extent, despite the fact that carbonaceous materials minimize this phenomenon. Second, disproportionation of H₂O₂ in the bulk via Eq. (4) also contributes to an eventual lower accumulation than expected [4].



As can be observed in Fig. 2c, higher current efficiencies are correlated with lower energy consumptions, because of the gradual minimization of parasitic HER. Therefore, for the best condition (*i.e.*, $E = -0.30$ V vs. SHE), the energy consumption at the end of electrolysis was 5.4 kWh (kg H₂O₂)⁻¹.

A second set of trials was performed to assess the effect of the liquid flow rate. It is well known that any electrochemical process that is limited by mass transport could be potentially improved by enhancing the hydrodynamics inside the cell. In this work, the oxygen from air pumped through the air chamber to reach the GDE is considered in excess (0.7 bar). The new cathode design offers a key advantage, in addition to feeding of the gaseous O₂ at the exact place where it is consumed (typical in GDEs, in contrast to the approach based on air sparging in the solution bulk): the 3D porous graphite felt structure acts as gas disperser, which in turn may enhance the turbulence within the pores and plausibly improves the mass transport [28]. Conversely, the concentration of protons in the bulk coming from a solution adjusted to pH 3.0 (1 mM H⁺) is certainly diluted and, consequently, the mass transport of these cations toward the cathode surface may limit the production of H₂O₂ from Eq. (1). Therefore, protons, which can be also transported once generated at the anode, could constitute the limiting reagent in the present system. Fig. 3a depicts the H₂O₂ accumulation as a function of the electrolysis time at different inflow velocities, namely 14.6, 21.0, and 27.4 cm s⁻¹ (q of 1.6, 2.3 and 3.0 dm³ min⁻¹), applying

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6662048>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6662048>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)