ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



1

2

3

4

5

6 7 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry



27

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jiec

Synthesis/characterization of molecular imprinted polymer based on magnetic chitosan/graphene oxide for selective separation/ preconcentration of fluoxetine from environmental and biological samples

QI Asma Barati, Elahe Kazemi, Shayessteh Dadfarnia^{*}, Ali Mohammad Haji Shabani

Department of Chemistry, Yazd University, Safaieh, 89195-741 Yazd, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 September 2016 Received in revised form 10 October 2016 Accepted 23 October 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Magnetic solid phase extraction Molecular imprinted polymer Graphene oxide Chitosan Fiber optic linear array spectrophotometry Fluoxetine

ABSTRACT

A novel molecular imprinted polymer (MIP) as a SPE sorbent was synthesized for fluoxetine through a coprecipitation method. The synthesized polymer was characterized by Fourier transform infrared (FT–IR) spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The kinetic and adsorption equilibrium was studied. Quantification of fluoxetine was done based on the competitive inclusion complex formation of fluoxetine with phenolphthalein- β -cyclodextrin using fiber optic linear array spectrophotometry. The method exhibited a linear dynamic range of 0.8–10.0 µg L⁻¹ with a detection limit of 0.03 µg L⁻¹ and a preconcentration factor of 500. The developed method was successfully applied to determine fluoxetine in various samples.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Korean Society of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry.

Introduction

25

26

8 9

> Fluoxetine (FXT), also known by the trade name of 'Prozac' or 'Sarafem', is the most prescribed selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressant drug worldwide [1]. It has a long effacing half-life of one to several days, and about 11% of the dose is urinated as unchanged fluoxetine [2]. Thus, due to the high consumption of this drug, there is a risk of dispersing its residue in environmental waters [3]. In view of these considerations, determination of fluoxetine in environmental water samples and human urine is quite essential and can be advantageous for therapeutic and toxicological purposes.

Liquid chromatography [4–10], gas chromatography [11] nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometry [12], capillary electrophoresis [13], potentiometry [14], thin-layer chromatography [15], spectrofluorimetry [16] and spectrophotometry [17] are the most widely used analytical techniques for fluoxetine measurement. Among these techniques, spectrophotometry is the most appropriate one due to its inherent simplicity, low cost, and wide spectrophotometric methods developed for the determination of 28 fluoxetine are usually associated with some major drawbacks such 29 as the lack of selectivity [19]. Furthermore, owing to the low 30 concentration of fluoxetine and complexity of matrices of real 31 samples, especially biological fluids, a selective separation and 32 preconcentration step is inevitable prior to its instrumental 33 determination. Different sample preparation techniques including 34 liquid-liquid extraction [5], solid phase extraction (SPE) [6–10], 35 stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE) [20] and hollow-fiber supported 36 37 liquid membrane extraction [21] have been used for the separation and preconcentration of fluoxetine in different matrices. Among 38 39 these methods, solid phase extraction is one of the most attracted sample pretreatment techniques mainly because of low consump-40 tion of organic solvents, high recovery, and possibility of trace 41 enrichment and matrix removal in a short time. However, 42 regardless of the mode of SPE, the choice of sorbent is a key 43 factor influencing its performance. To meet the requirements of 44 high selectivity, a novel type of sorbents, namely molecular 45 imprinted polymers (MIPs), have been increasingly utilized for 46 solid phase extraction of different analytes [22]. MIPs are synthetic 47 polymers possessing specific cavities drafted for a target molecule, 48 which is greatly promising in the development of highly selective 49

availability in quality control laboratories [18]. However, the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2016.10.033

1226-086X/© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Korean Society of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry.

Please cite this article in press as: A. Barati, et al., J. Ind. Eng. Chem. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2016.10.033

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 3531232667; fax: +98 3538210644. *E-mail address:* sdadfarnia@yazd.ac.ir (S. Dadfarnia).

2

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Barati et al./Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

SPE methods for trace analysis. They also have an excellent potential for complex sample clean-up. Surface imprinting through grafting the imprinted polymer on the surface of a supporting material such as Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles [23], titanium dioxide [24], carbon nanotubes [25] and graphene oxide [26] has proved to be a promising method for preparation of MIP sorbents. The assynthesized sorbents enjoy significant features including high selectivity, high sorption capacity, fast sorption/desorption kinetics. high stability, low cost, and relative ease of preparation.

Graphene oxide, with unique mechanical properties, extremely large surface area, and abundant oxygen-containing functional groups (e.g. epoxide, hydroxyl, and carboxylic groups), which allows easy chemical modification of its surface is an excellent supporting material for synthesis of imprinted polymers [27]. The nanoscale size of graphene oxide enables miniaturization of the system and, thereby, lowers the diffusion barrier. Furthermore, its large surface area ensures easy and complete removal of the template molecule and provides a potentially high rebinding capacity [28]. Several researches have been reported the successful application of graphene oxide as a supporting material for synthesis of molecular imprinted polymers [27,29–31].

71 Chitosan (poly- β -(1,4)-2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucose), which is 72 an amino-polysaccharide produced by the N-deacetylation of 73 chitin, is another excellent candidate for this purpose. Chitosan 74 possesses some remarkable properties such as high abundance, 75 good hydrophilicity, non-toxicity, biocompatibility, biodegradabil-76 ity, and efficient sorption ability [32]. Additionally, the presence of 77 multi hydroxyl and amino functional groups on its polysaccharide 78 backbone offers the flexibility for its surface modification. However, 79 its high solubility at low pHs (pH < 4) and poor mechanical stability 80 limit its practical application in aqueous solutions [33]. The 81 immobilization of chitosan on a solid substrate such as Fe₃O₄ 82 nanoparticles or graphene oxide may be beneficial to overcome 83 these limitations via improving its stability and mechanical 84 strength. A few studies have dealt with the application of chitosan 85 [34,35], magnetic chitosan [36] or combination of chitosan/ 86 graphene oxide [29,37,38] as a supporting material in the synthesis 87 of molecular imprinted polymers. However, based on our literature 88 review, there is only one report on the use of mixture of magnetic 89 chitosan/graphene oxide as a supporting material [39]. There is also 90 no report on the synthesis of fluoxetine imprinted polymer on 91 individual or combination of those supporting materials.

92 The aim of this study was to develop a novel, reliable method for 93 selective separation and simple determination of fluoxetine. For 94 this purpose, a sorbent of MIP was synthesized using magnetic 95 chitosan/graphene oxide as a supporting material to provide multi 96 imprinting sites, large surface area, and ease of separation of 97 magnetic nanocomposites. The synthesized polymer was thor-98 oughly characterized, and its capability as a selective sorbent in 99 magnetic solid phase extraction of fluoxetine was investigated. 100 Determination of the extracted fluoxetine was done using a simple. 101 fast and sensitive spectrophotometric method based on the 102 competitive inclusion complex formation of fluoxetine with 103 phenolphthalein- β -cyclodextrin (PHP- β -CD) [40]. All the param-104 eters affecting the extraction and determination of fluoxetine were 105 investigated and optimized. The developed method was success-106 fully applied to the separation, preconcentration and determina-107 tion of fluoxetine in pharmaceutical formulation, human urine and 108 environmental water samples.

109 Experimental

110 Materials and reagents

111 All the reagents used were of at least analytical grade and the 112 standard solutions were of high purity grade (>90%). Ferric chloride (FeCl₃.6H₂O), ferrous chloride (FeCl₂.4H₂O), ethylene glycol 113 dimethacrylate (EGDMA), acetonitrile (ACN), glutaraldehyde 114 (25 wt.%), methacrylic acid (MAA) acrylic acid (AA), sodium 115 hydroxide (NaOH), methanol, acetic acid, graphite powder, 116 phenolphthalein (PHP), β -cyclodextrin (β -CD), and high molecular 117 weight chitosan were purchased from Merck Company (Darmstadt, 118 Germany). Chitosan with an average molecular weight of 350 kDa 119 and deacetylation degree of >75% was purchased from Sigma-120 Aldrich (Missouri, USA). 2,2 Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was 121 purchased from ACROS Company (New Jersey, USA), and pure 122 fluoxetine hydrochloride was obtained from Tehran Chemie 123 (Tehran, Iran). Double distilled water was used in the preparation 124 of all the solutions. A stock standard solution of 1000 mg L^{-1} of 125 fluoxetine was prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of 126 fluoxetine hydrochloride in a minimum volume of ethanol and 127 diluting it with distilled water. The solutions of PHP used in the UV-128 visible spectral measurements were freshly prepared on a daily 129 basis by dissolving a weighed amount of it in a small volume of 130 NaOH solution and diluting the solution with double distilled water. 131

Instruments

All the absorbance spectra were recorded using an Avantes 133 photodiode array spectrophotometer model AvaSpec-2048 134 equipped with a source model of Ava Light-DH-S-BAL. The pH 135 136 measurements were done using a Metrohm pH meter (model 827, Switzerland) with a combined glass calomel electrode. A Haid-137 dolph heater-stirrer (model MR 3200, Germany) was utilized for 138 polymer synthesis. The magnetic phase separation was carried out 139 by means of a strong magnet (1.2 T, 10 cm \times 5 cm \times 2 cm). 140

132

141

142

151

Synthesis of the sorbent

Synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles

Magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were synthesized by dissolving 143 2.25 g of FeCl₂· $4H_2O$ and 8.4 g of FeCl₃· $6H_2O$ in 400.0 mL of doubly 144 distilled water under nitrogen atmosphere and vigorous stirring. 145 The temperature was then raised to 80 °C, and 20.0 mL of ammonia 146 solution was added rapidly to the mixture. Then, a black 147 precipitate was formed which was collected with an external 148 magnet after 5 min, washed several times with ethanol and 149 distilled water, and dried in vacuum at 60 °C [41]. 150

Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO)

Graphene oxide was synthesized based on the modified 152 Hummers method [42,43]. For this purpose, graphite powder 153 154 (5.0 g) and NaNO₃ (2.5 g) were added to concentrated sulfuric acid (120.0 mL, 0 °C) under vigorous stirring. Then, potassium perman-155 ganate (15.0 g) was slowly added to the suspension, the ice bath 156 was removed, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature 157 overnight; thereby, the solution mixture turned into a brown pulp. 158 Subsequently, 150.0 mL of H₂O was added under vigorous stirring, 159 followed by slow addition of 50.0 mL of H₂O₂. The solution was 160 centrifuged, and the resultant precipitate was washed with 161 distilled water several times to remove the excess acid. At this 162 stage, a gray powder of graphite oxide was obtained. Finally, the 163 graphite oxide powder was dispersed in deionized water under 164 ultrasonication for 30 min to exfoliate graphite oxide to graphene 165 oxide. The mixture was centrifuged, and the final product was 166 dried in vacuum at 60 °C. 167

Synthesis of graphene oxide/magnetic chitosan composite (GO/Chm)168Two grams of pure chitosan was dissolved in 100.0 mL of acetic169acid solution (2% v/v), and the solution was subjected to sonication170for 30 min. Afterwards, 0.75 g of previously synthesized magnetic171nanoparticles was added to the solution, and it was stirred for172

Please cite this article in press as: A. Barati, et al., J. Ind. Eng. Chem. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2016.10.033

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6668947

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6668947

Daneshyari.com