ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jiec

Surface-modified polyethylene separator via oxygen plasma treatment for lithium ion battery

QI So Yeon Jin^a, James Manuel^b, Xiaohui Zhao^b, Won Ho Park^{a,*}, Jou-Hyeon Ahn^{b,c,**}

^a Department of Advanced Organic Materials and Textile System Engineering, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea ^b Department of Chemical Engineering and Research Institute for Green Energy Convergence Technology, Jinju 52828, Republic of Korea ^c Department of Materials Engineering and Convergence Technology and RIGET, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 August 2016 Received in revised form 22 August 2016 Accepted 24 August 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Lithium ion batteries Separator Plasma treatment Electrolyte wettability Surface modification

ABSTRACT

The separator is an important component in lithium ion batteries (LIBs). However, commercial separators such as polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) are in urgent need to be modified to improve the surface properties to meet requirements for high performance LIBs. In this study, oxygen plasma has been applied to modify the surface of PE separating membrane with functional groups, which has greatly improved the electrolyte wettability and retention of PE separators. The cells with plasma-treated PE separators showed improved charge–discharge capability with lower interfacial resistance and stable cycling performance. This result demonstrates a high potential of the plasma-treated PE separator in LIBs.

© 2016 The Korean Society of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5 6 7

Introduction

A secondary battery can be discharged and charged a large number of times unlike the primary battery. Secondary batteries include many kinds of batteries, such as a nickel–cadmium battery, nickel–metal hybrid battery, lithium ion batteries (LIBs), redox flow battery, lithium/sodium sulfur batteries (Li–S/Na–S), and so on, among which LIBs are the most popular rechargeable batteries for portable electronics such as mobile products, communication devices, and electronic equipment. Recently, the importance and demands of LIBs has been remarkably increased, because LIBs have high energy density, excellent cycle life, and relatively low selfdischarge property [1,2].

The separator is placed between the negative and positive electrodes in the battery. It plays a key role in LIB, not only does it control the lithium ions that pass through its inner channel, but also it prevents the physical contact of the cathode and anode while serving as the electrolyte reservoir to allow the transport of ionic charge carriers [3–5]. Polyolefin separators, such as polypropylene (PP) and polyethylene (PE), have been used widely for LIBs. Polyolefin separators have superior mechanical and

chemical stabilities, and also effectively prevent electrical short-29 30 circuits or overcharging by keeping the cathode and anode apart. 31 However, they have hydrophobic surface with low surface energy, and thus have poor wetting/retaining ability to the electrolyte 32 solutions [6]. Therefore, the development of polyolefin separators 33 with good wettability is one of the most urgent challenges for high 34 performance LIBs. To overcome these drawbacks, various methods 35 36 including inorganic particle coating [7–12], polymer coating [13], physical/chemical vapor deposition [14], and grafting hydrophilic 37 polymer [15–18] have been employed to improve the wettability 38 of the polyolefin separators. Even though the modification of 39 hydrophobic polyolefin separators with suitable hydrophilic 40 monomers to impart enough hydrophilicity to readily absorb 41 the electrolyte solution is one of the best methods, they still have 42 the drawbacks such as complex multi-steps and relatively high 43 expense. 44

Plasma treatment is an energy-saving, pollution-free, and dry 45 process for surface modification. Plasma treatment has several 46 advantages over other competitive techniques, such as environ-47 mental safety, and uniformity, reproducibility, and selective 48 modification without a serious loss of bulk properties. In particular, 49 the oxygen plasma treatment could generate a significant amount 50 of hydrophilic oxygen-containing functional groups (C=O, C-OH, 51 COOH) on the polymer surfaces [15]. The hydrophilicity and 52 wettability of the separators are increased by the oxidation 53 reaction. In this study, the oxygen plasma treatment was employed 54

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2016.08.021

1226-086X/© 2016 The Korean Society of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +82 42 823 3736.

^{**} Corresponding author. Fax: +82 55 772 1789.

E-mail addresses: parkwh@cnu.ac.kr (W.H. Park), jhahn@gnu.ac.kr (J.-H. Ahn).

S.Y. Jin et al./Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

55 to selectively modify the surface of commercial PE separator and 56 its effect on mechanical and electrochemical properties was 57 investigated in detail.

58 Experimental

59 Materials

2

60 Commercialized PE separator (thickness, 9 µm) made by wet process was kindly supplied from Celgard Co. (Korea). The 61 62 electrolyte solution of 1 M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) 63 dissolved in the mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl 64 carbonate (DMC) (EC:DMC = 1:1, by volume), was purchased from 65 Leechem Co. (Korea). Anhydrous 1-butanol (99.8% purity) was 66 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (USA). Lithium metal (thick-67 ness, 300 µm) was purchased from Cyprus Foote Mineral Co. 68 (USA).

69 Plasma treatment process

70 PE separator was surface-modified by oxygen plasma treat-71 ment. Surface treatment equipment with RF plasma source 72 (PLASMART MINIPLASMA-station) was used to modify the surface 73 of the PE separator. Oxygen plasma treatment of the PE separator 74 was carried out for various time durations in a chamber 75 $(26 \text{ cm} \times 26 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm})$ connected to a two-stage rotary pump 76 via a liquid nitrogen cold trap (base pressure of 4×10^{-8} mbar). A 77 PE separator was placed in the center of the chamber, followed by 78 evacuation to base pressure. The experimental power input from 79 RF generator was 50 W and the treatment time varied from 0 to 80 10 min. The gas flow rate was 100 sccm (standard cm^3/min). 81 Schematic oxygen plasma treatment for the PE separator is 82 presented in Fig. 1. The original PE separator was denoted as 83 "untreated". The separator samples treated with oxygen plasma 84 for 1, 3, 5, and 10 min were referred to 1 min, 3 min, 5 min, and 85 10 min, respectively.

Physical and structural characterization of modified separator 86

87 In order to examine the chemical structure of oxygen plasma-88 treated PE separators, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was 89 performed on a Thermo Scientific MultiLab 2000 system using Al 90 K α source (1486.6 eV). All binding energies were referenced to the C_{1s} neutral carbon peak at 284.5 eV. The untreated and oxygen 91 92 plasma-treated PE separators were characterized by attenuated total reflectance infrared spectroscopy (ATR-IR, ALPHA-P, Diamond 93 94 crystal) in wavenumber range of 3500-500 cm⁻¹ and a maximum 95 resolution of 0.9 cm^{-1} at room temperature.

96 The contact angle (CA) measurement of the untreated and 97 modified PE separators (1, 3, 5, and 10 min) was carried out by 98 using a contact angle measurement (DSA100, Kruss) to verify the 99 effect of the oxygen plasma treatment on the wettability of the electrolyte. The CA was determined by means of sessile drop 100 101 method, using two different testing liquids: distilled water and 102 the electrolyte liquid (1 M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) 103 dissolved in the mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and 104 dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (EC:DMC = 1:1, by volume)). The

CA measurement was carried out at room temperature and the droplet amount was limited to about 10 µl.

The etching rate of the treated PE samples during exposure to oxygen plasma treatment was determined by weighing equal sized separators (5 cm \times 5 cm) before and after plasma treatment, by the following equation:

Weight loss
$$(mg/cm^2) = \frac{W_0 - W}{S}$$
 (1)

where W_0 and W indicate the weight of the separators before and after plasma treatment, respectively, and *S* indicates the surface area of separator.

The electrolyte uptake of separators was determined by soaking the separators in the electrolyte solution. The weight of the 116 separators was taken after removing the electrolyte remaining on 117 the surface of separators with filter paper and the electrolyte 118 uptake was calculated using the equation: 119

Electrolyte uptake =
$$\frac{W - W_0}{W_0} \times 100$$
 (2)

where W_0 and W are the weight of the dry and wet separators.

The porosity was determined from the uptake value after 122 immersing separators in 1-butanol for 30 min, and calculated with 123 124 the following Eq. (3):

Porosity =
$$\frac{m_b/P_b}{(m_b/P_b) + (m_s/P_s)} \times 100$$
 (3)

where m_s and m_b are the mass of the separators and 1-butanol, 126 respectively, and P_s and P_b are the density of the separator and 1-127 butanol, respectively. The mechanical strength of separators was 128 measured on a tensile tester (Instron-4467) at room temperature 129 with 50 N capacity load cell and stretching rate of 500 mm/min. 130 The dimension of test specimens was 10×100 mm. 131

Cell assembly and electrochemical characterizations

The electrochemical stability of the separators was determined 133 by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) of Li/separator with liquid 134 electrolyte (LE)/stainless steel (SS) cells at a scan rate of 1 mV/s 135 over the range of 2.5-5.5 V at 25 °C. The interfacial resistance 136 between the separator and lithium metal electrode was measured 137 by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) over the 138 frequency range 100 mHz to 2 MHz at an amplitude of 20 mV at 139 room temperature with the Li/separator with LE/Li cells, the 140 schematic of which is given in Fig. 8. For further electrochemical 141 testing, coin cells were fabricated by placing a separator with LE 142 between lithium metal anode and in-house prepared carbon 143 coated lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄) cathode. LiFePO₄ cathode 144 was prepared by casting the slurry of the synthesized LiFePO₄ 145 powder, conductive Super-P (SP) carbon, and poly(vinylidene 146 fluoride) (PVDF) binder at a weight ratio of 80:10:10 in N-methyl-147 2-pyrrolidone (NMP) onto an Al foil, dried at 60 °C for 12 h, and 148 thereafter at 80 °C for 24 h under vacuum to get the electrode of 149 \sim 22 μ m thickness and the active material loading of 3.18 mg/ 150 cm². The electrochemical performances of the Li/separator with LE/ 151 LiFePO₄ cells were performed in an automatic galvanostatic 152 charge-discharge unit, WBCS3000 battery cycler (WonA Tech. 153



Untreated PE separator

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of surface modification of the PE separator via oxygen plasma treatment.

Please cite this article in press as: S.Y. Jin, et al., J. Ind. Eng. Chem. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2016.08.021

106 107 108

105

109 110

112

113

114

115

120

132

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6669068

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6669068

Daneshyari.com