

Accepted Manuscript

Comparison of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and pressure drop correlations in laminar flow regime for packed bed reactors and columns

Andraž Pavlišič, Rok Ceglar, Andrej Pohar, Blaž Likozar

PII: S0032-5910(18)30030-5
DOI: doi:[10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.029)
Reference: PTEC 13115

To appear in: *Powder Technology*

Received date: 2 August 2017
Revised date: 5 January 2018
Accepted date: 13 January 2018



Please cite this article as: Andraž Pavlišič, Rok Ceglar, Andrej Pohar, Blaž Likozar, Comparison of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and pressure drop correlations in laminar flow regime for packed bed reactors and columns, *Powder Technology* (2018), doi:[10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.029)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comparison of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and pressure drop correlations in laminar flow regime for packed bed reactors and columns

Andraž Pavlišič*, Rok Ceglar, Andrej Pohar, Blaž Likozar

*Department of Catalysis and Chemical Reaction Engineering, National Institute of Chemistry,
Hajdrihova 19, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia*

ABSTRACT

Empirical correlation (EC) equations are still of a great designing importance for industrial plant construction. They are an indispensable modelling tool for engineers, reducing the time to find the optimal operating conditions. Nonetheless, numerical method complexity and product yield optimisation are advancing. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is thus nowadays applicable for optimizing chemical reactors. In contrast to EC, CFD acknowledges specific vessel geometry, where local physical and chemical phenomena, contributing to apparent catalytic turnover, prevail. Presently, EC and CFD were compared considering the pressure drop predictions within the packed bed columns for spherical, cylindrical, trilobe and quadrilobe particle packing, in order to determine the limits of EC accuracy. 52 configurations

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6675273>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6675273>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)