Accepted Manuscript

Could cyclone performance improve with reduced inlet velocity?

P.A. Funk, K. Elsayed, K.M. Yeater, G.A. Holt, D.P. Whitelock

 PII:
 S0032-5910(15)00300-9

 DOI:
 doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2015.04.026

 Reference:
 PTEC 10936

To appear in: Powder Technology

Received date:4Revised date:6Accepted date:1

4 November 2014 6 March 2015 15 April 2015



Please cite this article as: P.A. Funk, K. Elsayed, K.M. Yeater, G.A. Holt, D.P. Whitelock, Could cyclone performance improve with reduced inlet velocity?, *Powder Technology* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2015.04.026

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Could cyclone performance improve with reduced inlet velocity?

P. A. Funk, K. Elsayed, K. M. Yeater, G. A. Holt, and D. P. Whitelock

The authors are **Paul A. Funk, Ph.D.,** Agricultural Engineer, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Southwestern Cotton Ginning Research Laboratory, Mesilla Park, NM, **Khairy Elsayed**, Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Mechanical Power Engineering Department, Helwan University, Egypt, **Kathleen M. Yeater**, **Ph.D.**, Statistician, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Plains Area, Fort Collins, CO., **Gregory A. Holt, Ph.D.**, Research Leader and Agricultural Engineer, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Cotton Production and Processing Research Unit, Lubbock, TX, and **Derek P. Whitelock**, **Ph.D.**, Agricultural Engineer, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Southwestern Cotton Ginning Research Laboratory, Mesilla Park, NM **Corresponding author:** Paul Funk, PO Box 578, Mesilla Park, NM 88047 USA; phone: 575-526-6381; paul.funk@ars.usda.gov.

ABSTRACT

Emissions abatement cyclone performance is improved by increasing collection effectiveness or decreasing energy consumption. The object of this study was to quantify the pressure drop and fine particulate $(PM_{2,5})$ collection of 1D3D cyclones $(H = 4D_c, h = 1D_c)$ at inlet velocities from 8 to 18 m s⁻¹ (Stk = 0.7 – 1.5) using heterogeneous particulate as a test material at inlet concentrations from 3 to 75 g m⁻³. Cyclone exhaust was passed through filters. Laser diffraction particle size distribution analysis was used to estimate $PM_{2.5}$ emissions. Response surface models showed a strong correlation between cyclone pressure loss (Euler number) and inlet velocity and predicted a 46% reduction in pressure loss for a 25% reduction in inlet velocity (Stokes number). The model for $PM_{2.5}$ emissions was less definitive and, surprisingly, predicted a 31% decrease in $PM_{2.5}$ emissions when operating 25% below the design inlet velocity. Operating below the design inlet velocity (at a lower Stokes number) to reduce pressure losses (Euler number) would reduce both the financial and the environmental cost of procuring electricity. The unexpected co-benefit suggested by these trials was that emissions abatement may improve at the same time, though other empirical trials have shown emissions to be independent of inlet velocity and Stokes number.

Keywords. Cyclones, Emissions, Energy consumption, Fine particulate, PM2.5.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6677086

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6677086

Daneshyari.com