



Sensorless control strategy for light-duty EVs and efficiency loss evaluation of high frequency injection under standardized urban driving cycles

E. Trancho^{a,*}, E. Ibarra^b, A. Arias^c, I. Kortabarria^b, P. Prieto^a, I. Martínez de Alegría^b, J. Andreu^b, I. López^b

^a *Tecnalia Research and Innovation, Parque Científico y Tecnológico de Bizkaia, c/ Geldo, Edif. 700, 48160 Derio, Spain*

^b *Department of Electronic Technology, UPV/EHU, C. Rafael Moreno Pitxitxi 3, 48013 Bilbao, Spain*

^c *Institut d'Organització i Control, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Diagonal, 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

HIGHLIGHTS

- Full sensorless control proposed for electric vehicle drives.
- High frequency injection (HFI) successfully combined with Phase Locked Loop (PLL).
- Experimental results obtained from a real automotive 51 kW drive.
- An accurate simulation model proposed for system power loss estimation.
- Efficiency of EV sensorless operation tested for standard driving cycles.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Electric vehicle
PM-assisted SynRM
Efficiency
Sensorless
HFI
NEDC

ABSTRACT

Sensorless control of Electric Vehicle (EV) drives is considered to be an effective approach to improve system reliability and to reduce component costs. In this paper, relevant aspects relating to the sensorless operation of EVs are reported. As an initial contribution, a hybrid sensorless control algorithm is presented that is suitable for a variety of synchronous machines. The proposed method is simple to implement and its relatively low computational cost is a desirable feature for automotive microprocessors with limited computational capabilities. An experimental validation of the proposal is performed on a full-scale automotive grade platform housing a 51 kW Permanent Magnet assisted Synchronous Reluctance Machine (PM-assisted SynRM). Due to the operational requirements of EVs, both the strategy presented in this paper and other hybrid sensorless control strategies rely on High Frequency Injection (HFI) techniques, to determine the rotor position at standstill and at low speeds. The introduction of additional high frequency perturbations increases the power losses, thereby reducing the overall efficiency of the drive. Hence, a second contribution of this work is a simulation platform for the characterization of power losses in both synchronous machines and a Voltage Source Inverters (VSI). Finally, as a third contribution and considering the central concerns of efficiency and autonomy in EV applications, the impact of power losses are analyzed. The operational requirements of High Frequency Injection (HFI) are experimentally obtained and, using state-of-the-art digital simulation, a detailed loss analysis is performed during real automotive driving cycles. Based on the results, practical considerations are presented in the conclusions relating to EV sensorless control.

1. Introduction

Electric Vehicles (EV) represent an attractive technology in response to such serious environmental and societal issues as fossil fuel dependency, urban pollution and climate change [1–4]. Besides, EVs provide other benefits, as they can be used as additional energy storage

systems for future smart grids [5]. According to a number of analysts, the EV market will have a promising future. Some forecasts expect a global stock of 200 million EV units by 2030 [6]. However, these technologies have higher manufacturing costs than conventional vehicles [7]. As vehicle costs [3], driving ranges [1,8,9], reliability [11,10], and safety [11] are prioritized by consumers, significant

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: elena.trancho@tecnalia.com (E. Trancho), edorta.ibarra@ehu.eus (E. Ibarra), antoni.arias@upc.edu (A. Arias), inigo.kortabarria@ehu.eus (I. Kortabarria), pablo.prieto@tecnalia.com (P. Prieto), inigo.martinezdealegria@ehu.eus (I. Martínez de Alegría), jon.andreu@ehu.eus (J. Andreu), iraide.lopez@ehu.eus (I. López).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.05.019>

Received 24 October 2017; Received in revised form 3 May 2018; Accepted 4 May 2018
0306-2619/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature

V_i	voltage amplitude produced by the HFI (V)	E_{ON}	IGBT turn-on energy losses (J)
ω_i	HF perturbation rotation speed (rad/s)	E_{OFF}	IGBT turn-off energy losses (J)
f_i	HF perturbation rotation frequency (Hz)	R_G	gate resistance (Ω)
ω_{th}	transition point between HFI and observer (rad/s)	C_{DC}	DC-link capacitance (F)
$\hat{e}_{\alpha\beta}$	estimated back-EMF in the $\alpha\beta$ reference frame (V)	$P_{cond,D}$	diode conduction losses (W)
$\mathbf{i}_{\alpha\beta}$	machine voltages in the $\alpha\beta$ reference frame (V)	V_F	diode forward voltage (V)
$\mathbf{v}_{\alpha\beta}$	machine currents in the $\alpha\beta$ reference frame (V)	i_F	diode forward current (A)
R_s	stator resistance (Ω)	$P_{sw,D}$	diode switching losses (W)
L_s	stator inductance (H)	E_{RR}	diode recovery energy losses (J)
L_d	stator inductance in the d-axis (H)	$P_{loss,inv}$	inverter power losses (W)
L_q	stator inductance in the q-axis (H)	ω_{wheel}	vehicle wheel speed (rad/s)
Ψ_{PM}	permanent magnet flux linkage (Wb)	ω_{dc}	driving cycle speed (m/s)
ω_e	electrical speed (rad/s)	T_{wheel}	vehicle wheel torque (N m)
$\hat{\omega}_e$	estimated electrical speed (rad/s)	r_{wheel}	vehicle wheel radius (m)
θ_e	rotor electrical position (rad)	F_{roll}	rolling resistance (N)
$\hat{\theta}_{e,PLL}$	PLL estimated rotor electrical position (rad)	F_{Aero}	aerodynamic resistance (N)
$\hat{\theta}_{e,HFI}$	HFI estimated rotor electrical position (rad)	$F_{Inertia}$	inertia force (N)
$\hat{\theta}_{e,HFI}$	HFI estimated rotor electrical position (rad) without considering polarity	M_{car}	total vehicle mass (g)
Δ_e	angle deviation (rad)	a_g	gravity acceleration (m/s ²)
P	rotor pole-pair	μ	rolling friction coefficient
P_N	maximum power (W)	ρ	air density (kg/m ³)
ω_{max}	maximum speed (rad/s)	C_d	drag coefficient
R_{Fe}	magnetic resistance (Ω)	A_f	vehicle cross section (m ²)
v_d	d-axis voltage (V)	M_{rot}	equivalent mass of rotating parts (%)
v_q	q-axis voltage (V)	a_{car}	car acceleration (m/s ²)
v_d^*	d-axis reference voltage (V)	GR	gear ratio
v_q^*	q-axis reference voltage (V)	η_{GR}	gear ratio efficiency (%)
i_d	d-axis current (A)	T_{trans}	transmission torque (N m)
i_q	q-axis current (A)	T_{idling}	idling torque (N m)
i_d^*	d-axis reference current (A)	P_{GT}	idling losses (W)
i_q^*	q-axis reference current (A)	E_{batt}	battery energy (J)
Ψ_d	d-axis flux (Wb)	Γ_{sim}	simulation factor
Ψ_q	q-axis flux (Wb)	t_{sim}	simulation completion time (s)
$v_{d,ST}$	d-axis Super-twisting voltage (V)	$t_{behaviour}$	simulation time (s)
$v_{q,ST}$	q-axis Super-twisting voltage (V)	$E_{loss,mot}$	motor total energy losses (J)
$v_{d,eq}$	d-axis equivalent voltage (V)	$E_{loss,Cu}$	motor copper energy losses (J)
$v_{q,eq}$	q-axis equivalent voltage (V)	$E_{loss,Fe}$	motor magnetic energy losses (J)
c_d	d-axis equivalent voltage regulation parameter	$E_{loss,inv}$	inverter total energy losses (J)
c_q	q-axis equivalent voltage regulation parameter	$E_{loss,condQ}$	IGBT conduction losses (J)
λ_d	d-axis STA regulation parameter	$E_{loss,swQ}$	IGBT switching losses (J)
λ_q	q-axis STA regulation parameter	$E_{loss,condD}$	diode conduction losses (J)
Ω_d	d-axis STA regulation parameter	$E_{loss,swD}$	diode switching losses (J)
Ω_q	q-axis STA regulation parameter	η_{mot}	motor efficiency (%)
T_{em}	electromagnetic torque (N m)	η_{inv}	inverter efficiency (%)
$i_{Fe,d}$	d-axis iron loss current (A)	η_{driv}	drive efficiency (%)
$i_{Fe,q}$	q-axis iron loss current (A)		
$i_{mag,d}$	d-axis magnetizing current (A)		
$i_{mag,q}$	q-axis magnetizing current (A)		
P_L	machine power losses (W)		
$P_{L,Cu}$	machine copper losses (W)		
$P_{L,Fe}$	motor magnetic losses (W)		
$P_{cond,IGBT}$	IGBT conduction losses (W)		
T_{sw}	switching period (s)		
f_{sw}	switching frequency (Hz)		
V_{ce}	collector-emitter voltage (V)		
$V_{ces,max}$	maximum blocking voltage (V)		
V_{ces}	typical collector-emitter voltage (V)		
i_c	instantaneous collector current (A)		
I_{max}	maximum collector current (A)		
I_{nom}	nominal collector current (A)		
$T_{vj,IGBT}$	IGBT junction temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)		
$P_{sw,IGBT}$	IGBT switching losses (W)		

Acronyms

EKF	Extended Kalman Filter
ELO	Extended Luemberger Observer
EMF	Electro-Motive Force
EUDC	Extra Urban Driving Cycle
EV	Electric Vehicle
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array
FW	Field Weakening
HF	High Frequency
HFI	High Frequency Injection
IGBT	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
IPMSM	Interior Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machine
LUT	Look-Up Table
MRAS	Model Reference Adaptive System
MTPA	Maximum Torque per Ampere
MTPV	Maximum Torque per Volt
NEDC	New European Driving Cycle

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6679984>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6679984>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)