ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy



The separation of CO₂ from ambient air – A techno-economic assessment

Daniel Krekel^{a,*}, Remzi Can Samsun^b, Ralf Peters^b, Detlef Stolten^{b,c}



- a Saint-Gobain Sekurit Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG, Herzogenrath Research and Development Center, 52134 Herzogenrath, Germany
- ^b Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, IEK-3: Institute of Electrochemical Process Engineering, 52425 Jülich, Germany
- ^c Chair for Fuel Cells, RWTH Aachen University, 52072 Aachen, Germany

HIGHLIGHTS

- Amines/imines are most promising adsorbing agents to separate CO2 from ambient air.
- Energy demand results in 3.65 GJ/t_{CO2} and a second law efficiency of up to 11.83%
- Costs of avoiding CO₂ emissions range from \$824 (wind)-1333/t_{CO2} (natural gas)
- CO₂ separation from air is unable to economically compete with CCS.
- Separation from air will not play a vital role in the abatement of the CO2 problem.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: CO₂separation from the atmosphere Techno-economic analysis Climate change Carbon capture and storage CO₂abatement costs Polyethyleneimine adsorption

ABSTRACT

This paper assesses the separation of CO₂ from ambient air from a technical and economic standpoint. Reducing CO₂ emissions and their sequestration from the atmosphere is vital to counteract ongoing climate change. The most promising technological options for CO2 separation are first identified by reviewing the literature and comparing the most important technical and economic parameters. The results point to amines/imines as adsorbing agents to separate CO2 from ambient air. A system layout is then designed and a technical analysis conducted by solving mass and energy balances for each component. An economic analysis is then performed by applying a specifically-developed model. The total energy demand of the system discussed here is calculated as 3.65 GJ/t_{CO2}. This high energy demand mainly derives from the system-specific implementation of two compressors that compress air/CO2 and overcome the pressure losses. The second-law efficiency calculated ranges of 7.52-11.83 %, depending on the option of heat integration. The costs of avoiding CO₂ emissions vary between \$ 824 and $1333/t_{CO2}$, depending on the energy source applied. The results of this work present higher values for energy demand and costs compared to other values stated in literature. The reasons for this deviation are often insufficient and overoptimistic assumptions in other literature on the one hand, but also relate to the specific system design investigated in this paper on the other. Further case studies reveal that enormous land requirements and investments would be needed to reduce potential CO2 quantities in the atmosphere to contemporary levels. A comparison between CO₂ removal from the atmosphere and carbon capture and storage technology for coal power plants shows that this technology is not yet able to economically compete with carbon capture and storage. Furthermore, the impact of CO2 separation on the production costs of industrial commodities like cement and steel demonstrates that CO2 removal from the atmosphere is not yet a viable alternative to solving the climate change problem. In the long-term, CO2 separation from ambient air may still play an important role in the sequestration of CO2 from diluted and dispersed sources, as the technology has the potential for significant further development and optimization.

1. Introduction

Climate change is an all-encompassing challenge facing humanity. The consequences of climate change include, amongst other things, an increase in mean temperature and sea level, the frequent occurrence of extreme weather events, changes in biodiversity and oceanic acidification. Climate change is result of the greenhouse effect: short-wavelength solar radiation enters the Earth's atmosphere and is partly reflected by surface. As the temperature of the radiation drops on its journey from the Sun to the Earth, its wavelength increases. The

E-mail address: daniel.krekel@saint-gobain.com (D. Krekel).

^{*} Corresponding author.

D. Krekel et al. Applied Energy 218 (2018) 361-381

Nomenclature p pressure [Pa]			
		q	thermal work [J/mol]
List of abbreviations		r	reaction rate [mol/m ³ /s]
		R	ideal gas constant (R = 8.314 J/mol/K)
CCS	carbon capture and storage	t	deduction period [a]
CCUS	carbon capture, utilization and storage	T	temperature [°C or K]
CNG	compressed natural gas	V	volume [m ³]
DNI	direct normal irradiation	w	specific work [J/mol]
LNG	liquid natural gas	x	sorbent loading [mmol/g]
MEA	monoethanolamine	X	sorbent working capacity [%]
PEI	polyethyleneimine		concentration of component in the gas phase [–]
	1 3 3	y_i	
PtG	power-to-gas	z_i	concentration of component in the liquid phase [-]
PtL	power-to-liquids	\boldsymbol{Z}	capacity coefficient [–]
PtX	power-to-x	η	efficiency [–]
T: C	1. 1.	θ	ratio of heat recovery [–]
List of sy	/mbols	τ	abatement factor [–]
	11. 53	υ	stochiometric coefficient [–]
Δ	delta [-]		
A	surface [m ²]	List of indices	
Α	annuity [\$/a]		
B_1, B_2	coefficients to account for additional costs [-]	0	standard conditions (1013 hPa, 0 °C)
c_p	specific heat capacity [J/mol/K]	ads	adsorption
c_A	abatement costs [\$/t _{CO2}]	cond	condensation
C_B	utilities costs [\$/a]	CO2	carbon dioxide
c_{PC}	specific production costs [\$/t _{CO2}]	C2H4	ethylene
C_{BM}	component costs [\$] (for components that are not made of	des	desorption
	carbon steel for an operating pressure of 1 bar)	el	electric
C_{BM}^0	component costs [\$] (for components that are made of	em	emitted
	carbon steel for an operating pressure of 1 bar)	evap	evaporation
C_F	manufacturing costs [\$/a]	F	fluid
C_G	overhead costs [\$/a]	g	gas phase
C_H	auxiliaries costs [\$/a]	H2O	water/water vapor
C_L	laboratory costs [\$/a]	HE	heat exchange
C_{M}	material costs [\$/a]	i	component i
	staff manufacture costs [\$/a]	in	inlet
$C_p \atop C_p{}^0$	acquisition costs [\$]	j	variable j
C_{PC}^{P}	production costs [\$/a]	k	count variable k
C_R	commodities costs [\$/a]	1	liquid phase
C_{SV}	taxes and insurances costs [\$/a]	min	minimal
C_{UB}	supervision and bureau staff costs [\$/a]	NG	natural gas
C_W	maintenance costs [\$/a]	out	outlet
FCI	investment costs [\$]	poly	polytropic
	F_{BM} coefficients to account for additional costs [–]		product
	specific Gibbs energy [J/mol]	PEI	polyethyleneimine
$g \ \Delta h_R$	specific enthalpy of reaction [J/mol]		reversible
Δn _R H	enthalpy flow [W]	rev	
i	interest rate [%]	S	saturation
	Chemical Engineering Cost Plant Index for 2001 and	sep	separated
12001, 120		sorbent	sorbent
1.	2012 [–] heat transmission coefficient [W/m²/K]	system	system
k v v i		t	technical
		th	thermal
m via	mass [kg]	waste	wasted
ṁ 	mass flow rate [kg/s]	W	wall
n 	polytropic exponent [–]		
'n	molar flow rate [mol/s]		

infrared radiation reflected from the Earth's surface cannot completely pass through the "climate gas layer" in the troposphere, and so is reflected back to the Earth [1,2]. Therefore, the terrestrial temperature increases. The "climate gas layer" consists of water vapor as well as CO_2 , methane and nitrous oxide etc. Alongside natural emissions of these climate gases, anthropogenic emissions have led to increased amounts of these gases in the troposphere, which are the highest in at least the last 800000 years [3]. Current measurements show

approximately 1800 ppb of methane, 320 ppb of nitrous oxide and 407 ppm of CO_2 [3,4]. Although CO_2 has a global warming potential that is about 1/21 that of methane and about 1/310 that of nitrous oxide over 100 years [5], CO_2 , with a current anthropogenic emission of about 38 Gt/a [3], is responsible for about 60% of anthropogenic climate change [6]. As forecast in Pham et al. [6], a CO_2 concentration of 570 ppm can be expected by 2100 as a result of population growth and improving economic conditions. As approximately 1000 ppm could be

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6680427

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6680427

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>