



Techno-economic assessment of biogas-fed solid oxide fuel cell combined heat and power system at industrial scale

Sara Giarola^{a,*}, Ornella Forte^b, Andrea Lanzini^b, Marta Gandiglio^b, Massimo Santarelli^b, Adam Hawkes^c

^a Earth Science & Engineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK

^b Department of Energy (DENERG), Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, 10129 Turin, Italy

^c Chemical Engineering Department, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

HIGHLIGHTS

- A techno-economic appraisal of a SOFC system integrated to a WWT plant is given.
- The approach is based on a cost optimal generators dispatch.
- SOFCs may become cost competitive in thermally-optimised WWTPs.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Solid oxide fuel cells
Biogas
Optimisation
Waste water treatment

ABSTRACT

Wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) are currently very energy and greenhouse gas intensive processes. An important opportunity to reduce both of these quantities is via the use of biogas produced within the treatment process to generate energy. This paper studies the optimal energy and economic performance of a wastewater treatment facility fitted with a solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) based combined heat and power (CHP) plant. An optimisation framework is formulated and then applied to determine cost, energy and emissions performance of the retrofitted system when compared with conventional alternatives.

Results show that present-day capital costs of SOFC technology mean that it does not quite compete with the conventional alternatives. But, it could become interesting if implemented in thermally-optimised WWTP systems. This would increase the SOFC manufacturing volumes and drive a reduction of capital and fixed operating costs.

1. Introduction

Wastewater treatment is one of the most energy intensive public utilities, accounting for more than 1% of electricity consumed in Europe [1]. There are more than 23,000 wastewater treatment plants across Europe with at least secondary treatment [2], with an overall energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions of approximately 15,000 GWh/yr and 27 MtCO₂-eq/yr, respectively [1,3]. Reduction of the energy use and emissions is a worthwhile part of broader deep decarbonisation strategies in place in Europe [4].

A range of measures exist to reduce energy consumption in WWTPs, from simple options such as the adoption of more efficient mechanical devices, through to the use of possibilities such as anaerobic granular sludge technology [5]. Alternatively, processes that convert sludge into biogas using anaerobic digestion, followed by use of the biogas to

generate electricity and heat, are very promising. Technologies currently employed in this application are internal combustion engines (ICE) and microturbines (MGT). Medium-scale fuel cells are also a promising option due to their high electrical efficiency and suitability for CHP applications. This latter technology, using solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) technology [6–8] is the main prime mover of interest in this article due to the ability to generate electricity in the efficiency range of 50–62% [8].

The use of SOFCs for combined heat and power in WWTPs is not without challenges. Biogas from the anaerobic digestion of mixed urban and industrial sludge contains several micro-contaminants, among which hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and siloxanes can be very harmful for the fuel cell [9,10]. As such, very effective gas clean-up is required. Also, biogas supply from the digester is variable on both daily and seasonal time scales, implying that modulation of the SOFC system may

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: s.giarola10@imperial.ac.uk (S. Giarola).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.11.029>

Received 6 September 2017; Received in revised form 28 October 2017; Accepted 3 November 2017

Available online 24 November 2017

0306-2619/ © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature**Acronyms**

AC	alternating current
CHP	combined heat and power
EAC	equivalent annual cost
EP	equivalent person
GT	gas turbine
LCOE	levelized cost of electricity
MGT	micro gas turbine
ICE	internal combustion engine
MILP	mixed integer linear programming
MINLP	mixed integer nonlinear programming
NG	natural gas
NLP	nonlinear programming
PEMFC	proton exchange membrane fuel cell
RDD & D	research, development, demonstration, and deployment
sLCOE	system levelized cost of electricity
SOFC	solid-oxide fuel cell
TSS	total suspended solids
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant

Sets

$f \in F$	fuel cells, $F = \{f1, \dots, fn\}$
$r \in R$	regimes, $R = \{r1, r2\}$
$t, tt \in T$	periods, $T = \{t1, \dots, t8760\}$
$dot \subset T$	minimum hours for shut-down event, $dot = \{t + 1, \dots, t + td - 1\}$
$upt \subset T$	minimum hours for start-up event, $upt = \{t + 1, \dots, t + tup - 1\}$
$u \subset U$	set of clean-up utilities, $U = \{u1, \dots, un\}$

Parameters

af	annualisation factor
$BCap$	boiler capacity, kWh
BGi_t	biogas flow inlet at time t , kWh
$BGSabs$	biogas absorbed per start up event, kWh
$BGDabs$	biogas absorbed per shut down event, kWh
DTL_t	system thermal load per time t , kWh
Ed_t	WWTP electricity demand at time t , kWh
ϵ_r^{fb}	electrical efficiency of generator f from biogas per regime r
ϵ_r^{fn}	electrical efficiency of generator f from natural gas per regime r
η^b	boiler thermal efficiency,
η_r^{fb}	thermal efficiency of generator f from biogas per regime r
η_r^{fn}	thermal efficiency of generator f from natural gas per regime r
cp	carbon price, € per kgCO ₂
GHL	gas holder lower volume limit, kWh
GHU	gas holder upper volume limit, kWh
i	interest rate
r_{up}	ramp modulation, kWh

ee	electricity emission factor, kgCO ₂ per kWh
ep_t	electricity price at time t , € per kWh
ge	natural gas emission factor, kgCO ₂ per kWh
gp_t	natural gas price at time t , € per kWh
n	number of generators
ND	number of years for the investment to be written off
$oCAPEX$	overnight capital expenditure, €
oRC	overnight replacement costs, €
$Pnom$	generator nameplate capacity, kWh
PRU_r	maximum electric output per generator regime r , kWh
PRL_r	minimum electric output per generator regime r , kWh
UCC	unit capital costs
URC	unit replacement costs
td	generator minimum down time, hours
tup	generator minimum up time, hours
UEC_u	unit energy consumption of utility u
UMC	annual maintenance cost per generator, € per kWh/year
$UMCb$	annual maintenance cost of boiler, € per kWh/year
UOC	annual clean up cost per generator, € per kWh/year
$PSUabs$	average power absorbed per start up event, kW
$PSDabs$	average power absorbed per shut down event, kW

Decision variables

BGb_t	biogas fuelled into boiler at time t , kWh
$BGCHP_t$	biogas fuelled into CHP units at time t , kWh
$BGD_{t,f}$	biogas flow absorbed for shut-down at time t of generator f , kWh
BGn_t	biogas flow not exploited at time t , kWh
$BGS_{t,f}$	biogas flow absorbed for start-up at time t of generator f , kWh
$CHPT_t$	thermal output from all the generators at time t , kWh
$CHPE_t$	electrical output from all the generators at time t , kWh
Ei_t	electricity bought from grid at time t , kWh
GH_t	gas holder level at time t , kWh
NGb_t	natural gas fuelled into boiler at time t , kWh
NGD_t	total natural gas consumed at time t , kWh
$PSD_{t,f}$	electricity absorbed for shut down of generator f at time t , kWh
$PSS_{t,f}$	electricity absorbed at start up of generator f at time t , kWh
$v_{t,f}$	binary equal to 0 if generator f at time t is switched off, to 1 if switched on
$\chi_{t,r,f}$	binary equal to 1 if at time t generator f operates at regime r , 0 if switched off
$X_{t,r,f}$	electrical output of generator f per regime r and time t , kWh
$Xb_{t,r,f}$	electrical output from biogas of generator f per regime r and time t , kWh
$Xn_{t,r,f}$	electrical output from natural gas of generator f per regime r and time t , kWh

Objective function variable

TC	total annual cost of CHP system, €/year
----	---

be desirable, which may in turn lead to accelerated degradation of the SOFC stack. However, perhaps the most important challenge is economic, where high capital costs of SOFC technology are often cited as a barrier.

This article focuses on the specific question of techno-economics, developing a framework and presenting an analysis on the case of a combined sludge digestion SOFC system at a WWTP. To the authors' knowledge this work represents the only contribution to the study of

SOFC feasibility in sub-MW WWTPs based on a cost optimal dispatch model over a year of operation using real plant data. The following section presents more broadly the technical challenges of SOFC adoption in WWTPs as well as recent relevant research via a literature review. This is followed by a problem statement and mathematical formulation of an optimisation modelling approach for biogas-based cogeneration systems in Sections 2 and 3. Finally the model is applied leading to discussion of key results and conclusions.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6681323>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6681323>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)