



Economic optimization of operations for hybrid energy systems under variable markets



Jun Chen, Humberto E. Garcia *

Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID 83415, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

- Propose method for maximizing system economics based on renewable and market info.
- Develop computational platform for performing operations optimization.
- Develop control to manage prediction error and storage to avoid energy imbalance.
- Illustrate advantages of optimizer by comparing flexible and constant operations.
- Perform sensitivity analysis under market variability and prediction error.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 November 2015

Received in revised form 5 May 2016

Accepted 6 May 2016

Keywords:

Hybrid energy systems
Renewable
Operations optimization
Economic analysis
Power market

ABSTRACT

Hybrid energy systems (HES) have been proposed to be an important element to enable increasing penetration of clean energy. This paper proposes a methodology for operations optimization to maximize their economic value based on predicted renewable generation and market information. A multi-environment computational platform for performing such operations optimization is also developed. To compensate for prediction error, a control strategy is accordingly designed to operate a standby energy storage element (ESE) to avoid energy imbalance within HES. The proposed operations optimizer allows systematic control of energy conversion for maximal economic value. Simulation results of two specific HES configurations illustrate the proposed methodology and computational capability. Economic advantages of such operations optimizer and associated flexible operations are demonstrated by comparing the economic performance of flexible operations with that of constant operations. Sensitivity analysis with respect to market variability and prediction error are also performed.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and motivation

Hybrid energy systems (HES) under flexible operations and variable energy generations/utilizations have been proposed to be an important element to enable higher penetration of clean energy generation, e.g., renewable and nuclear options, [1–9]. HES typically integrate multiple energy inputs (e.g., nuclear and renewable energy) and multiple energy outputs (e.g., electricity, gasoline, and fresh water) using complementary energy conversion processes. By enabling more than one option for energy utilization, HES configurations can change their electricity generation or consumption within a short time whenever requested.

Prior works have been focused on dynamic modeling and simulation of diverse unit operations, together with their integration, control, and dynamic property characterization [5–8]. These results suggest that, from a technical point of view, HES can be operated under flexible operations schedules to accommodate the variability introduced from renewable generation, modern loads (such as electric vehicles), and markets. Such flexibility allows HES to participate in several wholesale markets, including markets for electrical energy, feedstock, and alternative energy outputs. Previous technical evaluation of HES has also shown that HES meet the requirements to bid into wholesale ancillary service (AS) market [5], to support the stability of the electric grid. A high-level diagram of a general HES considered here is shown in Fig. 1, where HES take energy inputs from Controllable Energy Resources (CER) such as baseload generation (e.g., nuclear station), Variable Energy Resources (VER) such as wind farm, and Energy Storage Elements (ESE) such as electrical battery. HES typically include one or more Alternative Production Plants (APP) besides a Power Cycle

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: humberto.garcia@inl.gov (H.E. Garcia).

Nomenclature

α_{ahg}	capital cost per unit of installed capacity of AHG	$P_{da,e}$	amount of electrical energy sold in DAM
α_{app}	capital cost per unit of installed capacity of APP	$P_{da,rt}, P_{da,rt}^U$	power held for RTM and its upper limit
α_{ese}	capital cost per unit of installed capacity of ESE	P_{phg}	power generated by PHG
α_{phg}	capital cost per unit of installed capacity of PHG	P_{ren}	power generated by REN
α_{ren}	capital cost per unit of installed capacity of REN	P_{rt}	amount of electrical energy sold in RTM
β_{co_2}	taxation rate over CO ₂	R_k	revenue for year k
β_{f_ahg}	fraction between $O\&M_{f_ahg}$ and C_{ahg}	r_R	discount rate
β_{f_app}	fraction between $O\&M_{f_app}$ and C_{app}	R_{app}	revenue from sale of alternative product
β_{f_ese}	fraction between $O\&M_{f_ese}$ and C_{ese}	$R_{da,as}$	revenue from sale of ancillary service in DAM
β_{f_phg}	fraction between $O\&M_{f_phg}$ and C_{phg}	$R_{da,e}$	revenue from sale of electrical energy in DAM
β_{f_ren}	fraction between $O\&M_{f_ren}$ and C_{ren}	R_{rt}	revenue from sale of electrical energy in RTM
$\beta_{v_ahg,n}$	price of the n th feedstock by AHG	T_{pb}	payback period
$\beta_{v_app,n}$	price of the n th feedstock by APP	\mathcal{N}_{ahg}	installed capacity of AHG
γ_{co_2}	coefficient for computing GHG emission	\mathcal{N}_{app}	installed capacity of APP
π_{app}	price of alternative product	$\mathcal{N}_{ese,1}$	installed capacity of smoothing ESE
$\pi_{da,as}$	price of ancillary service in DAM	$\mathcal{N}_{ese,2}$	installed capacity of standby ESE
$\pi_{da,e}$	price of electrical energy in DAM	\mathcal{N}_{phg}	installed capacity of PHG
π_{rt}	price of electrical energy in RTM	\mathcal{N}_{ren}	installed capacity of REN
$\rho_{da,k}$	depreciation and amortization rate for year k	AHG	auxiliary heat generation
σ	tax rate	APP	alternative production plants
\sim	prediction of corresponding variables	AS	ancillary service
B_1, B_2, B_3	feasibility conditions	CER	controllable energy resources
C_{ahg}	auxiliary heat generation capital cost	CHP	combined heat and power
C_{app}	alternative production plant capital cost	CM	commodity market
C_{cap}	total capital cost	DAM, DAO	day-ahead market, day-ahead optimizer
C_{ese}	energy storage system capital cost	DB	database
$C_{ghg,k}$	cost for GHG emission for year k	ESE	energy storage systems
$C_{O\&M,k}$	operations and maintenance cost for year k	FM	feedstock market
C_{phg}	primary heat generation capital cost	FMI	functional mockup interface
C_{ren}	renewable energy generation capital cost	FOM	figure of merit
$CAPEX_k$	capital expense for year k	ForM	forward market
DA_k	depreciation and amortization for year k	GHG	greenhouse gas
$FCFF_{R,k}$	year- k real discounted free cash flow to firm	GPP	gasoline production plant
i	inflation rate	HES	hybrid energy systems
M_{app}	production rate of alternative product	HES_FEL	HES with flexible electrical load
M_{co_2}	combined CO ₂ emission	HES_FTL	HES with flexible thermal load
$M_{v_ahg,n}$	consuming rate of the n th feedstock by AHG	HRES	hybrid renewable energy systems
$M_{v_app,n}$	consuming rate of the n th feedstock by APP	IRR	internal rate of return
$O\&M$	operations and maintenance	MW, MW h	megawatt and megawatt-hour
$O\&M_f$	fixed operations and maintenance cost	NG	natural gas
$O\&M_v$	variable operations and maintenance cost	NPV	net present value
$O\&M_{f_ahg}$	fixed O&M cost of AHG	PC	power cycle
$O\&M_{f_app}$	fixed O&M cost of APP	PHG	primary heat generation
$O\&M_{f_ese}$	fixed O&M cost of ESE	PM	power market
$O\&M_{f_phg}$	fixed O&M cost of PHG	PV	photovoltaics
$O\&M_{f_ren}$	fixed O&M cost of REN	REN	renewable energy input
$O\&M_{v_ahg}$	variable O&M cost of AHG	RODP	reverse osmosis desalination plant
$O\&M_{v_app}$	variable O&M cost of APP	RTM	real-time market
P_{app}	power generated by PHG and consumed by APP	RTO	real-time optimizer
P_{app}^L	minimum power consumed by APP	SM	spot market
P_{app}^U	maximum power consumed by APP	VER	variable energy resources
P_{as}	probability of reserved capacity to be called for	WACC	weighted average cost of capital
$P_{da,as}, P_{da,as}^U$	AS sold in DAM and its upper limit		

(PC) for electricity generation. These APP allow the repurposing of energy (in form of thermal energy and/or electrical energy) for non-electricity commodity production. HES interrelate with feedstock market FM_i for procurement of feedstock material f_i , with power market PM for the sale of electricity and ancillary service, and with commodity market CM_j for the sale of commodity c_j (alternative energy output). Furthermore, each market (FM, PM and CM) in turn includes several forward and spot markets.

Hence the objective of this paper is to develop a generic methodology and computational platform for computing opera-

tions schedule among HES constituents for optimal economic performance. As shown in Fig. 2, such operations optimizer collects predicted information on VER generation and markets (denoted with dash lines), and updates the operations of the given HES through low-level controllers. Since HES participate in ancillary service market, controllers are also subject to grid system operator commands in case that reserved capacity is called upon. Note that since prediction error can cause energy imbalance within HES, an ESE is utilized to ensure energy balance at all time, as shown in Fig. 1.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6682641>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6682641>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)