



# Integrated gasification combined cycle with carbon dioxide capture by elevated temperature pressure swing adsorption



Xuancan Zhu, Yixiang Shi\*, Ningsheng Cai

Key Laboratory for Thermal Science and Power Engineer of Ministry of Education, Department of Thermal Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Design of ET-PSA unit and its integration with IGCC system.
- CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption of ET-PSA and comparison to Selexol process.
- Effects of purge and rinse steps on energy consumption of ET-PSA process.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 22 January 2016

Received in revised form 24 April 2016

Accepted 10 May 2016

### Keywords:

Pre-combustion

Elevated temperature

Pressure swing adsorption

CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption

Parameter optimization

Aspen Plus

## ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption via elevated-temperature pressure swing adsorption (ET-PSA) for CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> separation. IGCC power plants with ET-PSA, with the conventional Selexol process and without CO<sub>2</sub> capture are built in Aspen Plus. The CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption of ET-PSA is evaluated by the specific primary energy consumption for CO<sub>2</sub> avoided (SPECCA), which is a function of the net electrical efficiency and the specific CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate. The ET-PSA unit with different processes simulated in gPROMS is coupled into the IGCC model, the operation parameters of which are analyzed to achieve the lowest SPECCA. The results show that the CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption has a tendency to decrease by increasing the adsorption time, the residence time, and the purge-to-feed ratio; reducing the purge ratio; and adding the CO<sub>2</sub> reflux. The SPECCA of ET-PSA with the 5–3–1 process is 2.79 MJ/kg<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> with a 90.5% CO<sub>2</sub> capture ratio, which is 11.71% lower than that of the Selexol process. An effective way to further reduce the SPECCA of ET-PSA is to add rinse and purge steps, whose energy loss mainly comes from the consumption of high-temperature steam. The calculated SPECCA of ET-PSA with rinse and purge steps is 2.32–2.52 MJ/kg<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>, which is 20.3–26.6% lower than that of the Selexol process.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

## 1. Introduction

Global warming caused by greenhouse effect has aroused widespread concern in recent years. Governments around the world came to an agreement at the COP21 conference that the world temperature should be kept less than 1.5–2 °C above preindustrial levels by the year 2100 [1]. It is known that CO<sub>2</sub> release from fossil fuel combustion is one of the major sources for greenhouse gas emissions. Currently, various technological approaches are developed to capture CO<sub>2</sub> from power plants, including pre-combustion capture, post-combustion capture, oxy-fuel combustion and chemical-looping combustion [2–6].

Elevated-temperature pressure swing adsorption (ET-PSA) using solid CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbents such as hydrotalcite [7–10] and

modified activated carbon [11–14] is a new type of technology for pre-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Compared with other CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies, ET-PSA technology is more energy efficient. In the coal-based chemical industries and integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) power plants, the syngas produced by coal gasification has a high temperature (250–500 °C) and high pressure (2–7 MPa) [15,16]. However, the operation temperature of the conventional wet CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology is very low (e.g., –5 to 25 °C for Selexol, 40–60 °C for MDEA, –40 to 20 °C for Rectisol) [17,18]. A series of heat exchangers should be used if these technologies are adopted to capture CO<sub>2</sub> from the syngas, increasing the heat loss and the investment cost. In contrast, the ET-PSA technology works at elevated temperature ranges (200–450 °C). Moreover, it can adsorb/desorb the CO<sub>2</sub> in the syngas simply by the pressure swing, which avoids the heat regeneration required for the conventional CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies [19].

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [shyx@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:shyx@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn) (Y. Shi).

A series of fundamental research has been performed for ET-PSA. Large quantities of researches indicate that hydrocalcite and its derivatives are suitable CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbents for the application of ET-PSA technology [19–23]. For the process design, Reynolds et al. [24] developed a ET-PSA process based on K-promoted hydrocalcite adsorbent and a simple, 4-step, Skarstrom-type cycle at 575 K. The simulated results showed that CO<sub>2</sub> recovery increased with increasing purge-to-feed ratio, pressure ratio and decreasing cycle step time, while CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment increases with increasing cycle step time, pressure ratio and decreasing purge-to-feed ratio. Zheng et al. [25] proposed a four-bed two-pressure-equalization ET-PSA unit based on the elementary reaction kinetics adsorption model with Elovich equation, which was then verified with experimental data. The effects of detailed operating parameters, including adsorption time, residence time, purge to feed ratio, operating pressure and adsorbents capacity on ET-PSA performance was studied. Zhu et al. [26] analyzed the thermodynamic performance of IGCC with an ET-PSA unit by considering ET-PSA subsystem as a black box. They indicated that the estimated power efficiency of IGCC with ET-PSA process with 90% of CO<sub>2</sub> removal and more than 93.5% of H<sub>2</sub> recovery rate can be higher than that for IGCC with Selexol process. Many works have been conducted for the modeling and optimization of the sorption-enhanced water gas shift reaction (SEWGS) [27–31] and sorption-enhanced methane reforming (SEMR) [32–36] which were typical applications of the ET-PSA technology. Najmi et al. [29] built a dynamic SEWGS system model based on multi-train ET-PSA process. It consists of eleven distinct steps, which each reactor undergoes in sequence. Based on that, they investigated the load-following capacity and controllability of the IGCC integrated with the ET-PSA process [30]. Gazzani et al. [37] studied the thermodynamic performances of SEWGS system with ET-PSA process integration in a 400 MW IGCC power plant. A net electric efficiency of 38–39% with 86–96% of CO<sub>2</sub> avoidance was achieved by ET-PSA, which was higher than that by IGCC with capture via Selexol (36.03%) and Advanced super critical (ASC) boiler with amine scrubbing (33.55%).

Note that in a coal-fired power plant, the biggest difficulty for the application of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies is the large efficiency penalty it causes [38,2–5]. Although researchers have indicated that the ET-PSA technology might be more energy saving than the wet solvent CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies [13,14,19,21,22,39] the quantitatively calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption of ET-PSA is lacked, and even the standard of comparison between these CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies is not so clear. The technical principle and energy consumption source of ET-PSA are completely different from the conventional CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies. Hence, a unified energy consumption evaluation method should be built to quantitatively compare the energy loss for these two types of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies.

In this study, the energy consumption of CO<sub>2</sub> capture units is quantitatively evaluated by analyzing their influence on the overall performance of IGCC system. Selexol process is chosen to represent the conventional normal temperature solvent absorption method. Approximately 600 MW<sub>e</sub> IGCC power plants with the Selexol process, with the ET-PSA process, and without a CO<sub>2</sub> capture unit (the reference case) are built based on the Aspen Plus commercial software package. The ET-PSA unit with a 4–2–1 process or a 5–3–1 process adopted in this work is designed and verified in gPROMS, and the effects of the operation parameters (e.g., adsorption time, purge ratio, purge time, CO<sub>2</sub> reflux ratio) on CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption is calculated and analyzed. The performance of the ET-PSA process with steam rinse and purge is also evaluated based on the experimental data. The CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption is evaluated by the power efficiency penalty and the amount of released CO<sub>2</sub> after adopting the CO<sub>2</sub> capture systems.

## 2. Modeling and parameter setting of IGCC power plant

### 2.1. Analytical method and parameter definition

The main energy consumption of the conventional wet CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology results from the regeneration of the CO<sub>2</sub>-rich solution, so the specific heat duty  $q_{CO_2}$  (MJ<sub>th</sub>/kg<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>) of the reboiler is generally used to describe the CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption [40,41]. However, it does not reflect information such as CO<sub>2</sub> capture efficiency and temperature range (or the energy quality) of the required heat load [41].

The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption of ET-PSA are realized by the pressure change of the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent and thermal regeneration step, which is used when the wet CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology is not needed. However, the low-temperature H<sub>2</sub> purge step is adopted in this technology to help regenerate the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent [25]. Meanwhile, a small amount of H<sub>2</sub> in the desorbed stream is discharged into the CO<sub>2</sub> gas storage tank, causing a lower H<sub>2</sub> recovery ratio compared with the normal/low-temperature solvent absorption methods (>99%). For a coal-based power plant, the decreasing H<sub>2</sub> recovery ratio will reduce the power output generated by the subsequent power units (gas turbine and steam turbine system), indirectly causing CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption.

Another process adopts the steps of high-pressure steam rinse and low-pressure steam purge to replace the original low-pressure H<sub>2</sub> purge. The concrete method is as follows [39]: after the adsorption step, the high-pressure steam co-currently passes through the column, driving away the remaining H<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase into the product gas storage tank; after the depressurization step, the low-pressure steam is counter-currently added into the column to facilitate the CO<sub>2</sub> desorption on the adsorbent surface and drive the CO<sub>2</sub> stream into the CO<sub>2</sub> gas storage tank. The experimental test results indicate that by using this method, the CO<sub>2</sub> capture ratio and the H<sub>2</sub> recovery ratio can be as high as the wet capture technology or even higher [42,43]. Different energy and chemical engineering industrial processes have different demands for CO<sub>2</sub> capture and H<sub>2</sub> recovery ratio, which can be controlled by adjusting the steam consumption for the rinse and purge steps. The CO<sub>2</sub> capture ratio is more sensitive to the purge step, and the rinse is more sensitive to the H<sub>2</sub> recovery ratio [44]. If the rinse and purge steps are adopted in ET-PSA, then the energy consumption mainly results from the elevated temperature steam consumption. In an IGCC power plant, this part of steam can be provided by the heat recovery boiler (HRSG) in the steam turbine system, whose consumption will reduce the power output of the gas turbines. To distinguish it from the ET-PSA process with the low-pressure H<sub>2</sub> purge, this new process is termed ET-PSA-steam.

Based on the foregoing analysis, the energy consumption of ET-PSA is closely connected to the system, which cannot simply be ascribed to the heat load or the electricity load. A more significant method is to place the CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> separation unit in an actual industrial system (e.g., IGCC power plant) to analyze its influence on the overall performance of the system, then to back-calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy consumption.

The evaluation indicator of the energy consumption is the specific primary energy consumption for CO<sub>2</sub> avoided (SPECCA), which is defined as follows [37]:

$$SPECCA = \frac{HR - HR_{REF}}{E_{REF} - E} = \frac{3600 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{1}{\eta_{REF}} \right)}{E_{REF} - E} \quad (1)$$

In the above equation, HR represents the heat rate (MJ<sub>th</sub>/MW h<sub>e</sub>);  $E$  represents the specific CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate (kg<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>/MW h<sub>e</sub>);  $\eta$  represents the net electrical efficiency (%); and

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6682882>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6682882>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)