



# Modeling and forecasting of cooling and electricity load demand



A. Vaghefi<sup>a,\*</sup>, M.A. Jafari<sup>a</sup>, Emmanuel Bisse<sup>b</sup>, Y. Lu<sup>b</sup>, J. Brouwer<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Industrial and System Engineering, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08904, USA

<sup>b</sup> Siemens Corporate Research, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

<sup>c</sup> National Fuel Cell Research Center, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

## HIGHLIGHTS

- We propose a model for forecasting cooling and electricity load demand.
- The model takes the advantage of both time series and regression methods.
- The model is able to accurately forecast the load demands of the CCHP system.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 25 January 2014

Received in revised form 14 August 2014

Accepted 2 September 2014

### Keywords:

Load forecasting

Time series-regression model

Cochrane–Orcutt estimation

Thermal energy storage

Heat recovery steam generator

## ABSTRACT

The objective of this paper is to extend a statistical approach to effectively provide look-ahead forecasts for cooling and electricity demand load. Our proposed model is a generalized form of a Cochrane–Orcutt estimation technique that combines a multiple linear regression model and a seasonal autoregressive moving average model. The proposed model is adaptive so that it updates forecast values every time that new information on cooling and electricity load is received. Therefore, the model can simultaneously take advantage of two statistical methods, time series, and linear regression in an adaptive way. The effectiveness of the proposed forecast model is shown through a use case. The example utilizes the proposed approach for economic dispatching of a combined cooling, heating and power (CCHP) plant at the University of California, Irvine. The results reveal the effectiveness of the proposed forecast model.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

U.S. households and commercial buildings consume approximately 40% of total energy use and account for 72% of total U.S. electricity consumption [1]. Commercial building energy demand, in particular, doubled between 1980 and 2000 and is predicted to increase 50% over the next 15 years [2]. As a result, energy demand management has emerged as a key policy for both public and private organizations. CCHP systems can significantly contribute to reducing buildings energy use, curtail pollutant and carbon emission, and help to decrease risks of blackouts and brownouts in the utility grid [3,4]. CCHP technology integrates processes of production and simultaneous use of cooling, heating, and power at a single site. However, since most commercial and industrial electrical loads are highly dynamic and typically not synchronized with local heating and cooling demands, advanced control strategies will be imperative to economic dispatch of CCHP resources.

A wide range of optimal control strategies has been proposed to improve the CCHP operation based on different objectives including power flow, capacity, operation, energy-use and environmental considerations [5–12]. A common element in almost all optimal control strategies is to have an accurate estimation of cooling, heating, and electricity load demands. Some researchers assume that load demands are known and available over a specific period [8,9]. However, cooling and electricity demands are typically stochastic and unknown mainly because of the complex interactions between plant facilities and equipment, e.g. chillers and turbines yields. Liu et al. [8] point out that in practical applications, the exact future load profile does not exist; and forecasting methods should be taken into consideration by researchers. Therefore, a forecasting mechanism should be applied by researchers to find the future values of load demands.

A number of researchers employ building simulation platform to generate building load demand based on its physical characteristics and other dynamic input variables such as occupancy, weather, and time information. The cooling and electricity load demands are outputs of running the simulation and are then fed into the optimization model [10–12]. However, the quality of

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [vaghefi@rutgers.edu](mailto:vaghefi@rutgers.edu) (A. Vaghefi), [jafari@rutgers.edu](mailto:jafari@rutgers.edu) (M.A. Jafari), [Emmanuel.Bisse@siemens.com](mailto:Emmanuel.Bisse@siemens.com) (E. Bisse), [yanlu@siemens.com](mailto:yanlu@siemens.com) (Y. Lu), [jbrouwer@uci.edu](mailto:jbrouwer@uci.edu) (J. Brouwer).

## Nomenclature

CCHP	combined cooling, heating and power	<i>Symbols</i>	
LSE	least square estimate	$W$	electricity power
ARX	autoregressive with exogenous variable	$Q$	cooling power
ARMAX	autoregressive moving average with exogenous variable	$\dot{m}$	mass flow
ARMA	autoregressive moving average	$T$	temperature
AI	artificial intelligence	$\varepsilon$	random error term
ANN	artificial neural network	$y$	dependent/output variable
$R^2$	coefficient of determination	$x$	independent/input variable
$R_{adj}^2$	adjusted coefficient of determination	$\beta$	coefficients of input
GT	gas turbine	$\Phi$	autoregressive operator
ST	steam turbine	$\Theta$	moving average operator
TES	thermal energy storage	$B$	backward operator
HRSG	heat recovery steam generator		
COP	coefficient of performance	<i>Subscripts</i>	
$W_{CHC}^k$	cooling power generated by the $k$ th chiller (kW)	$T$	time (h)
$W_{CHW}^k$	power consumed by the $k$ th chiller to cooling power (kW)	$J$	index for input variables
$COP^k$	coefficient of performance for the $k$ th chiller	$CHC$	cooling generated by chiller
$W_{CHW}$	total power consumed by chillers to generate total cooling power of the campus (kW)	$CHW$	power consumed by chiller
$Q_{cooling}$	cooling demand from the campus (kW)	$W$	water
$T_{CHRw}$	returned water temperature to chillers (K)	$Chw$	chilled water
$T_{CHSw}$	supply water temperature from chillers (K)	$CHRw$	water returned to chiller
$\dot{m}_{chw}$	chilled water mass flow rate (kg/s)	$CHSw$	water supplied by chiller
$c_w$	specific heat capacity of water (kJ/kg K)	$GT$	gas turbine
$W_{electricity}$	electricity demand from the campus (kW)	$ST$	steam turbine
$W_{grid}$	power purchased from grid (kW)	$grid$	power grid
$W_{GT}$	power produced by gas turbine (kW)		
$W_{ST}$	power produced by steam turbine (kW)	<i>Superscripts</i>	
		$k$	chiller number

results highly depends on quality of the simulation models and their inputs. In addition, for any CCHP optimization, a detailed building simulation model needs to be accordingly built and run repeatedly. Another way to deal with this problem is to consider uncertainty in CCHP optimization model. Hu and Cho [12] for instance, propose an optimization model with some probabilistic constraints to guarantee that the model is reliable to satisfy the stochastic load demand. They assume load demands are independent and follow normal distributions in which 95% of the area is within the range of  $\pm 20\%$  of the average load demands. Another approach to this problem is to develop a forecasting model and embed it into the optimization model. This is the main motivation of this work. In this paper, Cochrane–Orcutt estimation technique is used as an effective linear model to provide look-ahead forecasts for cooling and electricity demand load. It simultaneously fits a regression model and a time series to the data while maintaining least square estimate (LSE) conditions. In addition, the forecast values are modified when a new data is received from the real system. The proposed model is currently working as a part of an integrated optimal dispatch for CCHP plant at the University of California, Irvine and providing accurate forecasts for the entire campus cooling and electricity load demand.

## 2. Background study

In most real cases, cooling and electricity load demands are highly dynamic oscillating within a wide range of values during course of a day. This is mainly because several physically explicit or latent factors can instantaneously influence cooling and electricity demand patterns. These factors can be any one of the following types: (i) Static factors that are usually set at the design stage and only change due to aging wear and tear. Building characteristics,

CCHP components, chiller types and generator nominal capacities are examples of such factors; (ii) Environmental variables extrinsic to the building, such as climate and weather data; (iii) operational variables, e.g. cooling/heating set point values, lighting, time schedule to operate various equipment and system components within plant or building; and (iv) uncontrollable dynamical variables, such as number of occupants at any time, noise due to structural variations etc. It is ideal to know all these factors and their impacts on energy dynamics in order to optimally forecast and control cooling and electricity demands for single building or a cluster of buildings. However, a complete forecast model is not practically attainable due to unknown significant dynamical variables, lack of tools to measure their effects, or that some of these variables are uncontrollable. Therefore, a wide range of different methods has been proposed to model and forecast load dynamics. In overall, these methods can be categorized into three general approaches.

In the first approach, a linear or nonlinear statistical model is used to explain the variability of response (load or energy dynamics) over time. The most popular example of such statistical models is Box and Jenkins time series paradigm where load demands are estimated based upon a linear combination of their past values [13,14]. There are a large family of different models in this category that can deal with many special cases including seasonality, non-stationary, and non-homogeneity of variances (see e.g. [15,16]). The major drawback of such models is that the future values are typically forecasted based upon the past and present values of cooling and electricity load demands without considering any *exogenous* factors in the model. Another example of statistical approach is using regression models (metamodel) where the variability within response is modeled via a number of exogenous factors [17–21]. The major problem of such models is that they often ignore the complex interactions between exogenous factors, which

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6688675>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6688675>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)