



Thermal numerical model of a high temperature heat pipe heat exchanger under radiation



Eui Guk Jung^{a,*}, Joon Hong Boo^b

^aSAC Laboratory, SAC Business Unit, AE Division, LG Electronics Inc., 76, Seongsan-dong, Changwon-city, Gyeongnam 641-713, Republic of Korea

^bSchool of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, Korea Aerospace University, Goyang, Gyeonggi-do 412-791, Republic of Korea

HIGHLIGHTS

- Thermal modeling of the HPHEX for the high-temperature.
- Radiation heat transfer analysis in the high-temperature HPHEX.
- Prediction of the temperature distribution by adopting nodal approach.
- Design and analysis of the HPHEX for the high-temperature.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 February 2014

Received in revised form 5 August 2014

Accepted 25 August 2014

Keywords:

High-temperature
Heat pipe heat exchanger
Liquid metal heat pipe
Simulation
Effectiveness
Radiant heat transfer

ABSTRACT

The heat transfer of an air-to-air heat pipe heat exchanger (HPHEX) with counter flow and a high-temperature range was modeled. The HPHEX was constructed from sodium-stainless steel (STS) heat pipes (HPs) using a staggered configuration. The thermal numerical model was developed by the nodal approach, and the junction temperature and thermal resistance of the HP and heat transfer fluid of each row were defined. Surface-to-surface radiant heat transfer was applied to each row of the liquid metal HPHEX. The cold-side inlet air temperature was determined by iteration to converge to the minimum operating temperature of the sodium HP. The cold-side inlet velocity and position of the common wall were considered as the main variables in evaluating the performance of the liquid metal HPHEX, and their effects on the temperature distribution, effectiveness, heat transfer rate of each row were investigated. The proposed row-by-row heat transfer model is useful for understanding the temperature distribution of each row and can be used to predict the cold-side inlet temperature of a liquid metal HPHEX with counter flow. The recovery heat and effectiveness of the heat exchanger were calculated for various configurations and operating conditions. The simulation results agreed with experimental data to within 5% error for normal operation of the heat pipes, and within 11% error when the minimum temperature was lower than could allow normal operation of the sodium heat pipes.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Heat pipes (HPs) are two-phase heat transfer devices with highly effective heat transfer capabilities. Heat exchangers (HEXs) with HPs or thermosyphons (TSs) have superior heat transfer capabilities compared to conventional HEXs. Furthermore, they are useful for generating a high heat flux in a small space and are widely used in industry because of their structural stability and economic advantages [1–3]. The operating principle of HPs in which vaporization and condensation are repeated in the evaporator and condenser is described in detail in Refs. [4,5]. The working fluid inside the

evaporator vaporizes as it absorbs thermal energy. The vapor is then transported to a condenser by the vapor pressure and then liquefied by a cooling medium in the condenser. The liquid is returned to the evaporator by the capillary pressure produced by a capillary structure. A HP can also be used over various temperature ranges depending on the material of the container and the operating temperature of the working fluid.

Theoretical and experimental studies have been conducted to investigate medium- and low-temperature HPHEXs [1–3,5–7]. These previous works addressed the working characteristics, thermal performance, and practical application of HPHEXs, as well as theories for their practical design. The equation of the optimum area ratio between the hot- and cold-side in terms of the total thermal resistance was presented in Ref. [5] and the optimum

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 55 269 3630; fax: +82 55 269 3739.

E-mail addresses: euiguk.jung@lge.com (E.G. Jung), jhboo@kau.ac.kr (J.H. Boo).

Nomenclature

<i>A</i>	area (m ²)	ρ	density (kg/m ³)
<i>c</i>	specific heat (kJ/kg °C)	<i>Subscripts</i>	
<i>D</i>	fin density (fins/m)	<i>a</i>	ambient
<i>d</i>	diameter (m)	<i>c</i>	cold-side
<i>F</i>	view factor	<i>cap</i>	screen capillary structure
<i>f</i>	friction factor	<i>c</i>	cold-side
<i>G</i>	mass velocity (kg/s m ²), $G[= \dot{m}/\sigma A_{fr}]$	<i>eff</i>	effective
<i>h</i>	heat transfer coefficient (W/m ² °C)	<i>equ</i>	equivalent
<i>H</i>	height (m)	<i>f</i>	fluid
<i>HP</i>	heat pipe	<i>fr</i>	frontal
<i>HPHEX</i>	heat pipe heat exchanger	<i>fin</i>	fin
<i>J</i>	radiosity (W/m ²)	<i>fin→in</i>	from fin to inlet
<i>j</i>	index for row number or Colburn factor	<i>fin→out</i>	from fin to outlet
<i>k</i>	thermal conductivity (W/m °C)	<i>fin→p</i>	from fin to pipe
<i>L</i>	HPHEX length (m)	<i>f-fin</i>	between fluid and fins
\dot{m}	mass flow rate (kg/s)	<i>h</i>	hydraulic or hot-side
<i>N</i>	number of heat pipes in a row	<i>in</i>	inlet
<i>NTU</i>	number of transfer units	<i>j</i>	row index
<i>p</i>	pipe or fin pitch	<i>j → j + 1</i>	from <i>j</i> th row to (<i>j + 1</i>) th row
<i>P</i>	pressure (Pa)	<i>j → j - 1</i>	from <i>j</i> th row to (<i>j - 1</i>) th row
<i>pr</i>	Prandtl number	<i>j → j + 2</i>	from <i>j</i> th row to (<i>j + 1</i>) th row
<i>Q</i>	heat transfer rate (W)	<i>j → j - 2</i>	from <i>j</i> th row to (<i>j - 2</i>) th row
<i>R</i>	thermal resistance (°C/W)	<i>j + 1 → j</i>	from (<i>j + 1</i>) th row to <i>j</i> th row
<i>Re</i>	Reynolds number	<i>j - 1 → j</i>	from (<i>j - 1</i>) th row to <i>j</i> th row
<i>r</i>	radial position (m) or radius (m)	<i>j + 2 → j</i>	from (<i>j + 2</i>) th row to <i>j</i> th row
<i>St</i>	Stanton number	<i>j - 2 → j</i>	from (<i>j - 2</i>) th row to <i>j</i> th row
<i>S</i>	fin spacing (m)	<i>L</i>	length
<i>T</i>	temperature (°C)	<i>mean</i>	mean value
<i>U</i>	overall heat transfer coefficient (W/m ² °C)	<i>min</i>	minimum value
<i>u</i>	velocity (m/s)	<i>o</i>	outer or overall
<i>v</i>	specific volume (m ³ /kg)	<i>out</i>	outlet
<i>X</i>	tube bank pitch (m)	<i>p</i>	pipe or pressure
X_d	tube bank diagonal pitch, $[(X_T/2)^2 + X_L^2]^{1/2}$	<i>p → fin</i>	from pipe to fin
<i>Greek symbols</i>		<i>p → in</i>	from pipe to inlet
δ	fin thickness (m)	<i>p → out</i>	from pipe to outlet
σ	ratio of free flow area to frontal area, A_{min}/A_{fr} or Stefan-Boltzmann constant (W/m ² K ⁴)	<i>rad</i>	radiation
ε	effectiveness or emissivity	<i>re</i>	recovery
η	fin efficiency or overall extended surface efficiency	<i>T</i>	total
μ	fluid dynamic viscosity (m ² /s)	<i>w</i>	wall or width
ϕ	porosity of capillary wick structure	<i>wi</i>	wick inner
		<i>wf</i>	working fluid inside HP

effectiveness from the viewpoint of the operating limit of the HPs was considered in Ref. [6]. Soylemez [7] also presented an economic design methodology that considered the optimum effectiveness and critical effectiveness.

Liquid metals such as sodium, lithium, potassium, and cesium have been used for high-temperature applications of over 500 °C, which require the container materials to be chemically inert [8] and resistant to high-temperature corrosion [9–11] by the working fluid. Whereas a number of analytical and experimental studies have been conducted on medium- and low-temperature HPHEXs, relatively few studies have been devoted to high-temperature HPHEXs [12,13].

Yoo et al. [12] presented experimental results on the performance of an HPHEX that consisted of 90 sodium-stainless steel (STS) HPs in ten rows. A maximum recovery heat rate of 115 kW was achieved by applying various hot- and cold-side inlet conditions. The experimental results suggested that the cold-side inlet air temperature should be above 450 °C for normal operation of the HPs in all the rows and the achievement of satisfactory HPHEX performance. Zhuang et al. [13] experimentally investigated the

performance of an HPHEX that used sodium as the working fluid, with hot- and cold-side inlet temperatures of 1000 °C and 20 °C, respectively, and an inlet pressure of 0.19 MPa on both sides. Previous studies have mainly developed theories on HPHEX performance based on the *NTU-ε* relation [1–3,5,6]. Although the *NTU-ε* method is useful for predicting the temperature at the hot- and cold-side outlets, it is of limited usefulness in determining the wall temperature of the HPs and the temperature distribution of the heat transfer fluid in each row. Furthermore, there is currently no analytical model applicable to high-temperature liquid metal HPHEXs. Such a model should reflect the effect of radiation, which could be ignored in medium- and low-temperature ranges.

In this study, a thermal analysis model was developed by the nodal approach and used to predict the thermal performance of a high-temperature HPHEX. The numerical thermal model was useful for determining not only the temperature distribution of the fluid in each row but also the temperatures at various points of the HP. This was because the analytical outlet results for one row were considered the inlet conditions for the next row. In particular, surface-to-surface radiant heat transfer was applied to each row for

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6689288>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6689288>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)