#### Applied Energy 111 (2013) 669-680

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

## **Applied Energy**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy

### Performance analysis of a rotary active magnetic refrigerator

J.A. Lozano<sup>a,b</sup>, K. Engelbrecht<sup>a,\*</sup>, C.R.H. Bahl<sup>a</sup>, K.K. Nielsen<sup>a</sup>, D. Eriksen<sup>a</sup>, U.L. Olsen<sup>a</sup>, J.R. Barbosa Jr.<sup>b</sup>, A. Smith<sup>a</sup>, A.T. Prata<sup>b</sup>, N. Pryds<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Technical University of Denmark, Frederiksborgvej 399, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark <sup>b</sup> POLO Research Laboratories for Emerging Technologies in Cooling and Thermophysics, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC 88040-900, Brazil

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- Experimental results of a novel rotary active magnetic refrigerator are obtained.
- Experiments are compared to predictions from a 1D numerical AMR model.
- Performance is evaluated considering parasitic losses for a range of conditions.
- A cooling power of 200 W is produced at a span of 16.8 K with a COP of 0.69.
- The attained overall second-law efficiency is around 5%.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 February 2013 Received in revised form 29 April 2013 Accepted 14 May 2013 Available online 12 June 2013

Keywords: Magnetic refrigeration Regenerator Coefficient of performance Performance analysis Efficiency

#### ABSTRACT

Performance results for a novel rotary active magnetic regenerator (AMR) and detailed numerical model of it are presented. The experimental device consists of 24 regenerators packed with gadolinium (Gd) spheres rotating inside a four-pole permanent magnet with magnetic field of 1.24 T. A parametric study of the temperature span, cooling power, coefficient of performance (COP) and efficiency of the system was carried out over a range of different hot reservoir temperatures, volumetric flow rates and cooling powers. Detailed modeling of the AMR using a 1D model was performed and compared with the experimental results. An overall mapping of the thermal losses of the system was performed, and good agreement between the experimental and numerical results was found when parasitic heat losses were subtracted from the modeling results. The performance of the system was evaluated via the COP, the exergetic-equivalent cooling power ( $Ex_Q$ ), and the overall second law efficiency,  $\eta_{2nd}$ . Losses mapping indicated that friction and thermal leakage to the ambient are the most important contributors to the reduction of the system performance. Based on modeling results, improvements on the flow distributor design and reduction of the cold end thermal parasitic losses are expected to enhance the efficiency of the system. For an operating frequency of 1.5 Hz, a volumetric flow rate of 400 L/h, a hot reservoir temperature of 297.7 K, and thermal loads of 200 and 400 W, the obtained temperature spans,  $\Delta T_{s}$ , were 16.8 K and 7.1 K, which correspond to COPs of 0.69 and 1.51, respectively. The maximum overall second-law efficiency was 5.6% for a  $\Delta T_{\rm S}$  of 12.9 K at 500 L/h and 400 W.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

An active magnetic regenerator (AMR) refrigerator is an alternative cooling technology that uses a solid refrigerant that has no ozone depleting potential and no direct global warming potential. Instead the technology relies on the magnetocaloric effect, a coupling between the temperature and magnetic field of magnetic materials. Since the magnetization/demagnetization of a magnetocaloric material (MCM) can be reversible, it may be possible to build highly efficient cooling devices around the effect for a diverse

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 46775649.

E-mail address: kuen@dtu.dk (K. Engelbrecht).

number of applications including chip and sensor cooling [1] and low-cost magnetic energy conversion devices [2].

A room temperature regenerative magnetic refrigerator was first demonstrated by Brown [3]. In 1982 the active magnetic regenerator (AMR) concept was introduced, in which the regeneration was achieved by the active material itself [4]. Since then, many new devices have been reported [5], with modern AMRs generally using permanent magnets and regenerators made of packed spheres [6,7], packed particles [8] or parallel plates [9,10] of MCM. A comprehensive overview of the material and the regenerator technology was recently given in Ref. [11]. The AMR cycle uses a heat transfer fluid to transport the heat generated from magnetizing and demagnetizing the MCM to the hot and cold reservoirs. The





AppliedEnergy

<sup>0306-2619/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.05.039

#### Nomenclature

|                    |  | 4                      | utilization factor, –           |
|--------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| D                  |  | $\stackrel{\phi}{ ho}$ | density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>      |
| Roman              | ······································                     | Ρ                      | density, kg/m                   |
| A <sub>c</sub>     | cross-sectional area of regenerator, m <sup>2</sup>        |                        |                                 |
| A <sub>HT</sub>    | total surface area for heat transfer, m <sup>2</sup>       | Subscrip               |                                 |
| В                  | internal magnetic field, T                                 | 2nd                    | second-law                      |
| С                  | specific heat capacity, J/(kg K)                           | AMR                    | AMR cycle                       |
| $d_{\rm h}$        | hydraulic diameter, m                                      | comp                   | component                       |
| ExQ                | exergetic-equivalent cooling power, W                      | cond                   | conduction                      |
| $f_{\rm f}$        | friction factor, –   | conv                   | convection                      |
| f                  | frequency of operation, Hz                                 | су                     | cycle                           |
| h                  | convection coefficient, W/(m <sup>2</sup> K)               | e                      | external                        |
| $k_{\rm disp}$     | thermal conductivity of the fluid due to axial dispersion, | f                      | fluid                           |
|                    | W/(m K)  | fl                     | flow distributors               |
| $k_{\rm eff}$      | effective conductivity, W/(m K)                            | i                      | internal                        |
| т                  | mass, kg   | id                     | ideal cycle                     |
| $\dot{m}_{ m f}$   | mass flow rate of fluid, kg/s                              | in                     | inlet of the pump               |
| p                  | pressure, bar  | mag                    | magnetic                        |
| p<br>Q             | parasitic loss, W  | М                      | electric motor                  |
| Q <sub>C</sub>     | cooling capacity, W  | max                    | maximum                         |
| $\mathcal R$       | thermal resistance, K/W                                    | ME                     | mechanical and electrical       |
| $\Delta s_{mag}$   | magnetic entropy change, J/kg K                            | nl                     | no load                         |
| Т                  | temperature, K   | no-fl                  | without flow distributors       |
| t                  | time, s  | out                    | outlet of the pump              |
| $\Delta T_{ad}$    | adiabatic temperature change, K                            | OP                     | overall pumping                 |
| $\Delta T_{\rm C}$ | temperature difference at the cold heat exchanger, K       | Р                      | pumping                         |
| $\Delta T_{\rm H}$ | temperature difference at the hot heat exchanger, K        | rad                    | radiation                       |
| $\Delta T_{\rm S}$ | temperature span, K  | S                      | solid regenerator material      |
| T <sub>C</sub>     | cold reservoir temperature, K                              | visc                   | viscous                         |
| $T_{\rm H}$        | hot reservoir temperature, K                               |                        |                                 |
| $T_{\rm R}$        | room temperature, K  | Abbreviations          |                                 |
| V                  | volumetric flow rate, L/h                                  | AMR                    | active magnetic regenerator     |
|                    | ·  | CFD                    | computational fluid dynamics    |
| Course summer la   |  | COP                    | coefficient of performance      |
| Greek symbols      |  | DTU                    | Technical University of Denmark |
| $\epsilon$         | porosity, –  | Gd                     | gadolinium                      |
| η                  | efficiency, –  | MCM                    | magnetocaloric material         |
|                    |  |                        |                                 |

AMR cycle has four basic processes: magnetization, the cold-to-hot blow, demagnetization, and the hot-to-cold blow. During magnetization, the temperature of the MCM increases, then fluid is pumped from the cold reservoir to the hot reservoir in order to reject the magnetic work to ambient. The regenerator is then demagnetized, causing a decrease in temperature and a cooling load is accepted from the cooled space by pumping fluid from the hot reservoir across the regenerator and into the cold reservoir. The system performance is mostly a function of the MCM, heat transfer characteristics in the regenerator, and cycle parameters such as frequency and fluid flow rate.

Rotary AMRs, where the magnetic field in the regenerators is varied by rotating the regenerator relative to the magnet, have been shown to operate effectively at higher cycle frequencies. Devices with stationary magnets and rotating regenerators have been demonstrated by Ref. [6,12], while systems with stationary regenerators and rotating magnets have been demonstrated by Ref. [7,13–15]. Several AMR devices have been reported recently using Gd regenerators, which is the benchmark MCM for use in AMR devices. A magnetic refrigerator using stationary regenerator beds and a rotating permanent magnet was shown to produce a maximum cooling power of 844 W at zero temperature span and 400 W at a temperature span,  $\Delta T_{s}$ , of 8.1 K using a 0.89-kg Gd regenerator [15]. This device operated continuously with a maximum reported operating frequency of 4.7 Hz, and an exergetic equivalent cooling power of 14 W was obtained. Efficiency was not reported. A rotary magnet device using a fluid displacer to distribute the fluid flow was reported to operate at a no-load temperature span of 29 K. This device produced a cooling power of 50 W at a  $\Delta T_S$  of 10 K, using a 0.11-kg Gd regenerator at a maximum operating frequency of 4 Hz [16]. A maximum COP of 1.6, which included all motor inefficiencies and drive loss, was calculated for a cooling capacity of 50 W at a  $\Delta T_S$  of 2.5 K and a frequency of 1.4 Hz. The COP could be increased to 2.2 if the motor inefficiency were removed [16].

The machine evaluated in this paper was described in detail in Refs. [17–20] together with some experimental results. It consists of a novel concentric magnet assembly design [21] with a 24-bed regenerator rotating continuously in the magnet gap. Fluid flow is provided by a continuously operating gear pump and flow distributor system. The flow distributors are designed such that a minimum of eight regenerator beds are open to flow at any given time to minimize fluctuations in flow to the regenerator. This device demonstrated a maximum cooling power of 1010 W at a  $\Delta T_S$  of 0.3 K and a maximum no-load  $\Delta T_S$  of 25.4 K and that it absorbs a cooling power,  $\dot{Q}_c$ , of 100 W at a  $\Delta T_S$  of 21 K [18]. A maximum operating frequency of 10 Hz was experimentally proved at a  $\Delta T_S$  of 12.1 K and 200 W [20].

This paper presents experimental data, numerical results obtained using a 1D model of the AMR [22] and, for the first time, a detailed assessment of the system efficiency over a range of operating conditions. The power consumption associated with several components have been measured, and methods for improving the system performance and increasing its efficiency are suggested. Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6692467

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6692467

Daneshyari.com