



Research on extending the fatigue life of railway steel bridges by using intelligent control

Jia Liu ^{a,*}, Weilian Qu ^a, Nikolaos Nikitas ^{b,*}, Zeliang Ji ^c

^a Hubei Key Laboratory of Roadway Bridge and Structure Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China

^b School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

^c School of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China

HIGHLIGHTS

- Optimum multi-scale structural analysis combining shell and solid FE models of a bridge.
- Calculating fatigue life of a steel bridge considering both dynamic and thermal actions.
- Smart vibration control towards optimising fatigue performance of steel bridges.
- Developing a case study application for the Poyang Lake Bridge in China.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 October 2017

Received in revised form 18 February 2018

Accepted 19 February 2018

Keywords:

Sub-model/multi-scale method

Railway steel bridges

Fatigue

Structural control

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the potential of a vibration control-inspired method towards extending the fatigue life of railway steel bridges. Based on coupled thermal-mechanical and vehicle-track analysis, both the residual stresses from welding and these from traffic on the bridge are obtained. Subsequently, a multi-scale approach with a shell Finite Element (FE) model of the whole bridge and a solid FE model of its critical joints is put forward. The equation of motion is established for the controlled bridge, equipped with a Magnetorheological-Tuned Mass Damper (MR-TMD) system, while the combination of excitation, welding and control effects is practiced through own-developed packages and commercial software sub-model routines. The framework is showcased for the study of the Poyang Lake Railway Bridge in China. After obtaining the controlled stress states at the critical welded joint, the fatigue crack initial life is evaluated by using the critical plane method and the linear cumulative damage theory. Simulation results indicate that the multi-scale modelling approach followed, meets the accuracy needs for capturing the cracking process of the welded joint with high computational efficiency. The MR-TMD system, even when moderately reducing the critical joint stress amplitudes, can improve substantially the overall bridge fatigue resistance over the uncontrolled structure.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Railway steel bridges are very common long span bridges, constituting a large sector of the traffic communication network. Owing to their long time and frequent train traffic, cumulative damage may occur in their welded regions. In particular, stress concentrations can easily be generated under the combined action of multi-axial dynamic-excitation stress and welding-owed residual stress. Such could further lead to fatigue damage that sets off as crack initiation and, in a worst case scenario, could progress to failure of parts of the bridge, putting a serious concern in terms

of life cycle design. Collapse and damage of steel bridges caused by cumulative fatigue action have been frequently reported across the world up to this date [1–3]. Therefore, it is important to propose and adopt effective means to prolong the fatigue crack initial life of steel bridge parts; such could subsequently extend the service life of railway steel bridges, and reduce the risk, economic losses and casualties caused by potential damage.

In the past decades, many scholars have carried out considerable theoretical and experimental research in the field of bridge fatigue life assessment. Namely, within the achievements produced in the field one can quote the establishment of fatigue damage models, the study of initial crack mechanisms and the evaluation of a structure's overall fatigue life [4,5]. Li and Chan, for instance, applied continuous damage mechanics to their

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail address: n.nikitas@leeds.ac.uk (N. Nikitas).

Nomenclature

k_x	the x directional thermal conductivity factors	\mathbf{M}_1	the mass, damping vector of the track
k_y	the y directional thermal conductivity factors	\mathbf{C}_1	the damping vector of the track
k_z	the z directional thermal conductivity factors	Q_1	the stiffness matrices vector of the track
λ	efficiency of the heat source	Q_1	the load vector of the track
U_w	welding voltage	e	the element identifier of the 2D track beam
I_w	welding current	k_H	the equivalent spring stiffness
V_H	volume of the welding unit	u_i	the interpolating function of u_1 and u_2 of the nodal displacement
ρ	the parent material density	M_C	the mass matrices of the coupled system
C	specific heat capacity	C_C	the damping matrices of the coupled system
T	the joint temperature generated by the welding	K_C	the stiffness matrices of the coupled system
t	the independent time variable	Q_C	the load vector
N_x	the perpendicular to the boundary of x direction cosines	C_{VI}, C_{IV}	the coupling damping
N_y	the perpendicular to the boundary of y direction cosines	Ω	spatial angular frequency variable
N_z	the perpendicular to the boundary of z direction cosines	Ω_c	the truncated spatial angular frequency of the vertical profile irregularity
h_c	the heat transfer coefficient of convection	Ω_r	the truncated spatial angular frequency of the alignment irregularity
h_r	the heat transfer coefficient of radiation	A_v	the high interference roughness coefficient
q_s	boundary heat flux	Z_r, Z	the vertical displacements of the bridge deck and TMD mass respectively
T_r	temperature of radiation	$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$	the acceleration vector of the bridge discrete model
T_∞	surrounding temperature	$\dot{\mathbf{x}}$	the velocity vector of the bridge discrete model
f_f	the heat source distribution of the double ellipsoid model for front heat source	\mathbf{x}	the displacement vector of the bridge discrete model
f_r	the heat source distribution of the double ellipsoid model for rear heat source	\mathbf{M}	the mass matrices
q_f	the heat source of the double ellipsoid model for front heat source	\mathbf{C}	the stiffness matrices
q_r	the heat source of the double ellipsoid model for front heat source	\mathbf{K}	the damping matrices
v	welding speed	\mathbf{H}	locator matrix of installed MR-TMD system
x_0	the x coordinate of the welding initial position	$F(t)$	the external force input
a_1	arc welding parameter	U_{MR-TMD}	the control force vector provided by the MR-TMD system
a_2	arc welding parameter	K_{Ti}	the stiffness properties of the i^{th} TMD in the MR-TMD
b	arc welding parameter	C_{Ti}	the damping properties of the i^{th} TMD in the MR-TMD
c	arc welding parameter	F_{MRi}	the damping force of the i^{th} MR damper
$\{d\sigma\}$	vector of stress	C_d	the viscous damping coefficient
$\{d\varepsilon\}$	vector of strain	F_d	the coefficient of controllable Coulomb damping force
dT	temperature increment	K_d	the equivalent axial stiffness of the damper
$[D]$	elastic or elastic-plastic constitutive law matrix	f_{oi}	the output force deviation caused by the damper accumulator
$\{C\}$	temperature dependence vector	e_b	the Bingham sliding displacement
M_c	weight of the train car	C_{ds}	the coefficient of viscous damping of damper on the condition of zero electric field strength
J_c	inertia of the train car	F_{ds}	the coefficient of controllable Coulomb damping force of damper on the condition of zero electric field strength
M_t	weight of bogies	K_{ds}	the equivalent axial stiffness of damper on the condition of zero electric field strength
J_t	inertia of bogies	C_{dd}	the voltage sensitivity of viscous damping of the damper
M_{wi}	the wheel's weight	F_{dd}	the voltage sensitivity of controllable Coulomb damping force of the damper
u_{wi}	vertical displacement of each wheel	K_{dd}	the voltage sensitivity of equivalent axial stiffness of the damper
u_{ti}	vertical displacement of the bogies	η	the time coefficient of the damper's magnetic hysteresis response
φ_{ti}	rotation angle of the bogies	I	the applied current intensity
u_c	vertical displacement of the repeating train car	u	the internal variable reflecting the relationship between model parameters and current intensity.
φ_c	rotation of the repeating train car	$F_{d\max}$	the maximum coefficient
u_1, u_2	the rail vertical displacements	$F_{d\min}$	the minimum coefficient
u_3, u_4	the railway bridge sleeper vertical displacements	ξ	the adjustment factor of the Coulomb damping force
u_5, u_6	the ballast vertical displacement	ε_x	the x -directional normal strain
k_{y1}	the elastic coefficients of the fastener	ε_y	the y -directional normal strain
k_{y2}	the elastic coefficients of the ballast	ε_z	the z -directional normal strain
k_{y3}	the elastic coefficients of the railway bridge sleeper	ε_{xy}	the xz -directional shear strain
c_{y1}	the damping coefficients of again the fastener	ε_{yz}	the yz -directional shear strain
c_{y2}	the damping coefficients of again ballast		
c_{y3}	the damping coefficients of again the railway bridge sleeper		
M_v	the mass matrices of the train		
C_v	the damping matrices of the train		
K_v	stiffness matrices of the train		
$\ddot{\mathbf{a}}_v$	the acceleration vector		
$\dot{\mathbf{a}}_v$	the velocity vector		
\mathbf{a}_v	the displacement vector		
\mathbf{Q}_v	the force vector		

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6714914>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6714914>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)