## Particuology 9 (2011) 572-588

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Particuology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/partic



# Measuring turbulence in a circulating fluidized bed using PIV techniques

# Mayank Kashyap<sup>a,\*</sup>, Benjapon Chalermsinsuwan<sup>b,c</sup>, Dimitri Gidaspow<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

<sup>b</sup> Fuel Research Center, Department of Chemical Technology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Phayathai Road, Patumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand <sup>c</sup> Center of Excellence for Petroleum, Petrochemicals, and Advanced Materials, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 June 2010 Received in revised form 23 May 2011 Accepted 2 June 2011

Keywords: Particle image velocimetry (PIV) Dispersion coefficient Autocorrelation Turbulence Anisotropy

## ABSTRACT

This study utilized the particle image velocimetry (PIV) technique, non-invasively near the wall, in the developing region, for the measurements of laminar and turbulent properties during circulation of Geldart B type particles in the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) riser. A novel method was used to measure axial and radial laminar and turbulent solids dispersion coefficients using autocorrelation technique.

The instantaneous and hydrodynamic velocities for the solid phase were measured simultaneously in the axial and radial directions using a CCD camera, with the help of a colored rotating transparency. The measured properties, such as laminar and Reynolds stresses, laminar and turbulent granular temperatures, laminar and turbulent dispersion coefficients and energy spectra exhibited anisotropy. The mixing in the riser was on the level of clusters. The total granular temperatures were in reasonable agreement with the literature values. However, the axial and radial solids dispersion coefficients measured near the wall were slightly lower than the radially averaged values in the literature.

© 2011 Chinese Society of Particuology and Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

The literature survey (Gel et al., 2009; Pandey, Turton, Yue, & Shadle, 2004; Radmanesh, Chaouki, & Guy, 2006; Reh, 1971, 1986; Syamlal, Guenther, Gel, & Pannala, 2009; Wang, Yang, Dyakowski, & Liu, 2006) describes extensive use of circulating fluidized beds (CFBs) in industries for catalytic cracking of oil, coal combustion and gasification, manufacturing of fine powders and ceramic, alumina calcinations, etc., due to their ability to operate at different flow regimes, such as turbulent, fast fluidization and dilute transport (Grace, 2000). The primary advantages of a CFB are (Grace, Avidan, & Knowlton, 1997): (1) excellent gas-solid contacting due to lack of bubbles; (2) improved control over heat transfer because of the ability to use the solids circulation flux as an additional variable; (3) reduced cross sectional area in view of high superficial gas velocities; (4) low particle segregation and agglomeration; (5) recirculation loop for separate operations, such as regeneration of catalysts; and (6) ability to operate under high solids flux conditions.

However, CFBs show complex hydrodynamics due to the interactions between the gas and solid phases. One main parameter necessary for understanding the CFBs is the solids velocity, as it

affects the mixing, and heat and mass transfer, which can affect the overall reaction rate in fluidized bed reactors. The individual particle and cluster velocities can be used to estimate the turbulent intensities of the solid phase and to estimate granular temperatures and solids dispersion coefficients. The primary concern in measuring solids velocities is to maintain the flow characteristics during measurements. This can be achieved either by utilizing a laser based system or by imaging and particle tracking techniques, in a non-invasive mode of measurement. The primary intrusive and non-intrusive techniques used in the literature are described in Pandey et al. (2004) and elsewhere as: (1) laser Doppler anemometry (LDA) (Zhang, Yang, & Arastoopour, 1996); (2) fiber optic probes (Hartge, Rensner, & Werther, 1988; Herbert, Gauthier, Briens, & Bergougnou, 1994; Horio, Morishita, Tachibana, & Murata, 1988; Issangya, Grace, Bai, & Zhu, 2000; Liu, Grace, & Bi, 2002; Pärssinen & Zhu, 2001; Zhou, Grace, Lim, & Brereton, 1995; Zhu et al., 2001); (3) particle image velocimetry (PIV) (Gidaspow, Jung, & Singh, 2004; Jung, Gidaspow, & Gamwo, 2005; Shi et al., 2002; Tartan & Gidaspow, 2004); (4) stroboscopic analysis (Zheng, Zhu, Grace, Lim, & Brereton, 1992); (5) laser fluorescence methods using tracer species (Hamdullahpur, Pegg, & MacKay, 1987; Morooka, Kusakabe, Ohnishi, Gujima, & Matsuyama, 1989); (6) radioactive particle tracer (Viitanen, 1993); (7) Pitot tube (Bader, Findlay, & Knowlton, 1988); (8) capacitance probe (Louge, Lischer, & Chang, 1990); (9) extraction sampling probes (Herb, Dou, Tuzla, & Chen, 1992; Miller & Gidaspow, 1992; Rhodes, Laussmann, Villain,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 312 730 5955.

E-mail address: kashmay@iit.edu (M. Kashyap).

<sup>1674-2001/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2011 Chinese Society of Particuology and Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.partic.2011.06.007

# Nomenclature

Ca	instantaneous velocity of particles in axial direction
-	(m/s)
$c_i$	entire time period (m/s)
const.	Kolmogorov constant
Cr	instantaneous velocity of particles in radial direc-
6	tion (m/s)
C	fluctuating velocity of a particle (m/s)
$C_{ik}$	in <i>i</i> direction $(m/s)$
$\langle C \cdot C \cdot \rangle$	laminar narticle stress $(m^2/s^2)$
$\frac{\langle c_i c_j \rangle}{\overline{C \cdot C \cdot}}$	root mean square of fluctuating instantaneous
$c_1 c_1$	velocity (m/s)
$d_{\rm p}$	diameter of particles (m)
Ď	inner diameter of the riser (m)
D <sub>downcor</sub>	ner downcomer inner diameter (m)
D <sub>i(laminar</sub>	$_{r}$ laminar dispersion coefficient in <i>i</i> -direction (m <sup>2</sup> /s)
D <sub>i(turbule</sub>	$_{\text{nt}}$ turbulent dispersion coefficient in <i>i</i> -direction $(m^2/s)$
e	narticle_particle restitution coefficient
$E_i(n)$	energy spectrum function $(m^2/s)$
E <sub>i(laminar</sub>	n) laminar energy spectrum function (m <sup>2</sup> /s)
E <sub>i(turbule</sub>	(n) turbulent energy spectrum function (m <sup>2</sup> /s)
g	acceleration due to gravity (m/s <sup>2</sup> )
go	radial distribution function for solid particles
Н	riser height (m)
H <sub>measure</sub>	ment measuring axial distance from the bottom of reactor (m)
i or j	direction
k	particle number in a given volume
$\Delta L$	streak length (m)
Ms	mass flow rate (kg/s)
п	frequency (Hz)
р	any position
P <sub>s</sub>	solids pressure (N/m <sup>2</sup> )
R <sub>L</sub> Ro	autocorrelation coefficient
t t	time interval (s)
$\Delta t$	time sten (s)
t at and u	steady state time range (s)
$T_{\sigma}$	thermal gas temperature (K)
$T_{\rm E}$	Eulerian integral time scale (s)
$T_{\rm L}$	Lagrangian integral time scale (s)
T <sub>L(laminar</sub>	·) Lagrangian integral time for particles (s)
T <sub>L(turbule</sub>	nt) Lagrangian integral time for clusters (s)
Ug	superficial gas velocity (m/s)
U <sub>mf</sub>	minimum fluidization velocity (m/s)
U <sub>t</sub>	terminal velocity ( $m/s$ ) bydrodynamic velocity of particle k in a given vol
Vik	ume, in <i>i</i> -direction (m/s)
$\bar{\nu}_i$	mean hydrodynamic velocity in <i>i</i> -direction over entire time period (m/s)
v'	fluctuating hydrodynamic velocity (m/s)
$v'_i$	fluctuating hydrodynamic velocity in <i>i</i> -direction
11/11/	(m/s) Reynolds stress $(m^2/s^2)$
$v_i v_j$	solids velocity $(m/s)$
vs W-	solids flux into the riser $(k\sigma/(m^2 s))$
x	radial direction
Xmeasure	ment measuring horizontal distance from right wall
	of riser (m)
у	axial direction

Υ distance in axial direction (m) tangential direction 7  $Z_{\text{measurement}}$  measuring distance from the plane, z = 0 (m)Greek letters angle with vertical (°) α  $\alpha_{\text{horizontal}}$  bottom connecting pipe angle with horizontal (°) Hay and Pasquill coefficient  $\beta_{\rm HP}$ dissipation rate by turbulence  $(m^3/s^4)$  $\mathcal{E}_{d}$ solids volume fraction,  $\varepsilon_s = 1.1 \times \varepsilon'_s$  $\varepsilon_{s}$ solids volume fraction  $\mathcal{E}'_{s}$ maximum solids volume fraction or packing frac- $\varepsilon_{s,max}$ tion viscosity of air (kg/(ms))  $\mu_{g}$ solids shear viscosity (kg/(ms)) $\mu_{s}$  $\mu_{s,collisional}$  collisional part of solids viscosity (kg/(ms)) solid phase dilute viscosity (kg/(ms)) $\mu_{s_{dil.}}$ kinetic part of solids viscosity (kg/(ms))  $\mu_{s,kinetic}$ density of air  $(kg/m^3)$  $ho_{g}$ density of particles  $(kg/m^3)$  $\rho_{s}$ time lag(s) τ laminar granular temperature  $(m^2/s^2)$  $\theta_{\text{laminar}}$  $\theta_{\text{laminar},2xy}$  laminar granular temperature due to equal velocity fluctuations in x and z directions  $(m^2/s^2)$  $\theta_{\text{laminar},xyz}$  laminar granular temperature due to particle stresses in *x*, *y* and *z* directions  $(m^2/s^2)$ total granular temperature  $(m^2/s^2)$  $\theta_{total}$  $\theta_{turbulent}$  turbulent granular temperature (m<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>)  $\theta_{turbulent,2xv}$  turbulent granular temperature due to equal velocity fluctuations in x and z directions  $(m^2/s^2)$  $\theta_{turbulent,xyz}$  turbulent granular temperature due to particle stresses in x, y and z directions  $(m^2/s^2)$  $\wedge_{f(laminar)}$  laminar space integral scale (m)  $\wedge_{f(turbulent)}$  turbulent space integral scale (m) Subscript x, y or z direction

& Geldart, 1988); (10) image sensing (Kamiwano & Saito, 1984); (11) video imaging (Saadevandi & Turton, 1998); (12) electrostatic probes (Klinzing, Zaltash, & Myler, 1987); (13) acoustic measurements (Cody, Goldfarb, Storch, & Norris, 1996; Sheen & Raptis, 1987); (14) laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV) (Arastoopour & Yang, 1992; Pandey et al., 2004; Tsuji, Morikawa, & Shiomi, 1984; Van den Moortel, Azario, Santini, & Tadrist, 1998; Wang, Wei, Wang, Jin, & Yu, 1998; Wei, Cheng, Jin, & Yu, 1998; Yang, Jin, Yu, Zhu, & Bi, 1993); (15) diffusing wave spectroscopy (Menon & Durian, 1997); (16) particle tracking velocimetry (PTV) (Wildman & Huntley, 2000; Wildman, 2002).

Breault (2006) described the importance of the development of models to predict conversion and yield of reactant species for reactors. The literature review provided information on various correlations for the axial and radial solids dispersion coefficients, the axial and radial gas dispersion coefficients, and the interphase mass transfer coefficients between the gas and solid phases. A good knowledge of dispersion and mass transfer coefficients is essential in designing fluidized bed reactors, such as gasifiers. However, the dispersion coefficients vary by more than five orders of magnitude in the literature due to the differences in hydrodynamics, locations within fluidized beds, and system geometries and properties (Bi, Ellis, Abba, & Grace, 2000; Breault, 2006; Chalermsinsuwan, Piumsomboon, & Gidaspow, 2009; Du, Fan, Wei, & Warsito, 2002; Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/672121

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/672121

Daneshyari.com