### Accepted Manuscript

Title: A comparison of thermal energy storage models for building energy system optimization

Author: Thomas Schütz Rita Streblow Dirk Müller



PII:	S0378-7788(15)00136-X
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.02.031
Reference:	ENB 5705
To appear in:	ENB
Received date:	21-10-2014
Revised date:	9-2-2015
Accepted date:	10-2-2015

Please cite this article as: Thomas Schütz, Rita Streblow, Dirk Müller, A comparison of thermal energy storage models for building energy system optimization, *Energy & Buildings* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.02.031

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

#### A comparison of thermal energy storage models for building energy system optimization

Thomas Schütz\*, Rita Streblow, Dirk Müller

RWTH Aachen University, E.ON Energy Research Center, Institute for Energy Efficient Buildings and Indoor Climate, Mathieustraße 10, 52074 Aachen, Germany

#### Abstract

In this study, four approaches to model stratification in thermal energy storage (TES) units with mixed-integer linear programs are introduced. These stratification models are compared with the widely utilized capacity model, in which TES units are modeled as homogeneous volumes. The approaches are verified with a use case consisting of a single building with a monovalent heating system comprising a combined heat and power (CHP) unit and a TES unit. The objective is the minimization of the total operational costs.

The results conclude that both models, capacity and stratification models, generate electricity driven schedules. In the capacity model, the minimum energy content is typically set to a constant value, mostly zero, while the layered storage model allows for implementing more accurate restrictions, such as the required flow temperature based on the building's heating curve. Consequently, the capacity model overrates the system's efficiency, thus underestimating the operating costs by 6% to 7%.

*Keywords:* Thermal Storage Unit, Optimization, Mixed-Integer Linear Programming, Combined Heat and Power, Building Energy System, Capacity Model, Thermal Stratification

February 6, 2015

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, Tel.: +49 241 80 49627

Email addresses: tschuetz@eonerc.rwth-aachen.de (Thomas Schütz),

rstreblow@eonerc.rwth-aachen.de (Rita Streblow), dmueller@eonerc.rwth-aachen.de (Dirk Müller)

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6731771

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6731771

Daneshyari.com