



# Energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission responsibilities of terminal buildings: A case study for the future Istanbul International Airport



Birol Kilkıs

Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 12 July 2013

Received in revised form 31 January 2014

Accepted 18 February 2014

Available online 3 March 2014

### Keywords:

Green airport design

de-forestation

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

net-zero energy building

## ABSTRACT

Airport terminal buildings are used to be treated as stand-alone buildings for their energy performance. Previous studies in the literature fall short of recognizing functional and physical relations of terminal buildings with landside and airside airport operations. In order to avoid these shortcomings, this paper extends the terminal building energy performance analysis to a broader context and expands the analysis envelope to expose the true impact of a terminal building on energy consumption and the combined emissions that it is responsible for. In this respect, this study investigates whether a green terminal building in a new airport planned for the city of Istanbul with an annual 150 million passenger capacity may off-set the loss of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration potential from cutting at least 657000 trees for the airport construction or not. Additional CO<sub>2</sub> emissions corresponding to the estimated longer approach and climb out flights due to the unfavorable site selection have also been considered. This article compares a business as usual type of terminal building with four green terminal building scenarios having different CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction potentials. The first-law and the second-law analysis of thermodynamics have shown that constructing a green terminal building complex may not offset its CO<sub>2</sub> emissions responsibility unless a very intensive re-forestation activity is implemented and the site is properly re-selected. As a result, this study has exemplified the essential boundaries for energy consumption analysis envelope for an airport terminal building and its true emissions responsibility.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The most important function of a terminal building is to facilitate a link between “landside” and “airside”, and as such is interlinked to all other functions of the airport. As a result, the terminal building causes additional energy consumption due to the nature of its function. Therefore, an analysis of the terminal building alone would not be capable of expressing its true impact on the environment since it is due to the existence of this building that all other airport operations take place. Yet, previous papers, including the ones published in Energy and Building, have limited their energy performance analysis to the terminal building itself. For example, Zomer et al. [1] have analyzed the energy performance compromises of building-integrated and building-applied photovoltaic systems in Brazilian Airports. They have limited their study to the terminal building only. Furthermore, they did not take into account that photo-voltaic panel applications in airports and airport buildings may be severely limited by the regulations of FAA (Federal Aviation Administration)

due to aircraft safety and pilot's visibility. This example alone justifies the need that an airport building must take into account the airside factors. Mardaljevic [2] analyzed the isolated impact of solar shading on energy performance at the roof Changi airport terminal building in China. Fan et al. [3] have developed a simulation model for an airport HVAC system and tried to optimize the HVAC control system with the objective of reducing the energy consumption. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and other impacts of the terminal building were indirectly addressed in their paper. Balaras et al. [4] have focused on various energy savings measures and indoor environmental quality in Hellenic Airports. Somcharoenwattana et al. [5] have extended their analysis to the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions impact of the Suvarnabhumi airport in Thailand after introducing a cogeneration plant and their paper has successfully hinted out that an airport terminal building must be analyzed for its performance from a wider perspective beyond the building itself.

This paper aims to bring the performance analysis of the airport terminal buildings to a broader context in order to understand the true impact of terminal buildings to the built environment. In this respect, a detailed analysis algorithm was developed and applied to the new airport with a planned 150 million passengers per annum (ppa) in Istanbul, which is expected to break ground in 2014. This

E-mail address: [birolkilkis@hotmail.com](mailto:birolkilkis@hotmail.com)

## Nomenclature

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| $AP$             | Annual passenger handling capacity of the airport in its first phase of operation ( $100 \times 10^6$ ), ppa   |
| $B$              | Base load factor, dimensionless  |
| $c_i$            | Unit CO <sub>2</sub> content of the fuel used for power and heat generation within the airport boundaries, kg CO <sub>2</sub> /kWh                                   |
| $c_j$            | Unit CO <sub>2</sub> content of the fuel used for power and heat generation taking place outside the airport boundaries, kg CO <sub>2</sub> /kWh                     |
| $CF$             | Natural survival rate factor, dimensionless  |
| $CHPH\eta$       | Partial heat generation efficiency of combined heat and power system (CHP), dimensionless  |
| $CHPE\eta$       | Partial power generation efficiency of CHP system, dimensionless   |
| $D$              | Diversity factor, dimensionless  |
| $DF$             | Number of trees to be subjected to cutting (deforestation), dimensionless  |
| $E$              | Electrical energy demand, kWh  |
| $E_a$            | Annual electrical energy Demand, kWh   |
| $ES_a$           | Energy savings per annum according to $PES_{RCHP}$ , kWh/annum   |
| $E_p$            | Peak electrical energy demand (sum of label power values of all equipment and lighting), kWh   |
| $FS$             | Annual additional fuel spending that the site selection of the airport is responsible, L/annum   |
| $f$              | Jet fuel spent for each passenger seat-mile, L/seat-mile   |
| $h$              | Daily airport operating hours, h   |
| $LCS$            | Loss of CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration potential due to deforestation, ton CO <sub>2</sub> /annum   |
| $m$              | Annual full operating days of the airport (considering adverse meteorological and other conditions like strike, natural disasters, security reasons etc), days/annum |
| $N$              | Number of trees to be planted for re-forestation purposes  |
| $n$              | Annual-averaged heat to electrical energy demand ratio, dimensionless  |
| $OR$             | Annual average passenger seat occupancy ratio of aircraft using the airport, dimensionless   |
| $PES$            | Primary energy savings ratio, per-cent   |
| $PES_{RCHP}$     | Rational Exergy Management Efficiency embedded PES, per-cent   |
| $Q$              | Heat demand, kWh   |
| $RefH\eta$       | Reference value for the partial heat generation efficiency by CHP, dimensionless   |
| $RefE\eta$       | Reference value for the partial power generation efficiency by CHP, dimensionless  |
| $Ref\psi_{RCHP}$ | Reference value for the rational exergy management efficiency of the CHP system, 0,2024 [5], dimensionless   |
| $S$              | Additional CO <sub>2</sub> emissions responsibility of the airport due to unfavorable site selection, ton CO <sub>2</sub> /annum                                     |
| $s$              | Annual Carbon sequestration potential of a tree per annum, kg carbon/annum (multiply by 3,67 in order to convert to kg CO <sub>2</sub> /annum).                      |
| $T_{app}$        | Application temperature, K   |
| $T_a$            | Design comfort air temperature in a building, K  |
| $TCO_2$          | Total CO <sub>2</sub> emissions responsibility, $LCS + \sum CO_2 + S$ , ton CO <sub>2</sub> /annum   |

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| $T_f$     | Combustion (or equivalent) temperature of exergy source (fuel), K |
| $T_g$     | Annual-averaged ground temperature at approximately 1,5 m, K      |
| $T_{ref}$ | Reference environment temperature, K                              |
| $x$       | Oxidation fraction of jet fuel, dimensionless                     |
| $X$       | CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration ratio, dimensionless                |

## Greek Symbols

|               |   |
|---------------|---|
| $\eta_{Bi}$   | On-site boiler efficiency, first-law, dimensionless   |
| $\eta_{pj}$   | Remote power plant efficiency, first-law, dimensionless   |
| $\eta_T$      | Power transmission efficiency, dimensionless  |
| $\psi_{Ri}$   | Rational Exergy Management Efficiency for on-site electromechanical systems                                   |
| $\sum CO_2$   | Combined CO <sub>2</sub> emission responsibility of the terminal building complex, ton CO <sub>2</sub> /annum |
| $\Delta CO_2$ | CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration potential, ton CO <sub>2</sub> /annum   |
| $\delta_{cd}$ | Additional flight distance due to unfavorable site selection (approach or climb out)                          |

## Abbreviations

|       |  |
|-------|--|
| ABSC  | Absorption cooling machine   |
| AC    | Air conditioning   |
| ADSC  | Adsorption cooling machine   |
| BAU   | Business as usual  |
| CHP   | Combined Heat and Power  |
| CWT   | Cold water storage tank  |
| EASA  | European Aviation Safety Agency  |
| EEA   | European Environment Agency  |
| EU    | European Union   |
| FAA   | Federal Aviation Administration  |
| GSHP  | Ground-source heat pump  |
| HE    | Heat exchanger   |
| HWT   | Hot-water storage tank   |
| L     | liter (metric unit)  |
| NZEB  | Net-zero energy and net-zero exergy building                                   |
| nZEB  | Nearly-zero energy building (According to REHVA)                               |
| ppa   | Passenger per annum  |
| PV    | Photo-voltaic  |
| PVT   | Photo-voltaic-thermal  |
| PVTC  | Photo-voltaic-thermal-cold   |
| REHVA | Federation of European Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Associations  |
| UDHB  | Republic of Turkey Ministry of Transport, Maritime Affairs, and Communications |

## Subscripts

|      |                                     |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| $BS$ | Base scenario (BAU scenario)        |
| $cd$ | Cruising distance, nautical miles   |
| $G$  | Green                               |
| $i$  | Within airport boundaries (on-site) |
| $j$  | Off-site locations                  |

will be the third international airport for Istanbul. Among several environmental, safety, and air traffic concerns, the selected site of the airport, which is nearby a dense forest requires cutting of at least 657000 trees. In order to off-set the corresponding CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration loss from this activity, it has been claimed that the terminal building complex is going to be designed and constructed with a green airport concept in mind. In this study, leaving all other dimensions of environmental concerns aside, the annual CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration potential of the green airport terminal is weighted

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6733575>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6733575>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)