



# Seismic performance assessment of flexure-dominant FRP-confined RC columns using plastic rotation angle

Benben Li<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kent A. Harries<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Research Institute of Structural Engineering and Disaster Reduction, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

<sup>b</sup> University of Pittsburgh, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Pittsburgh, USA

<sup>c</sup> University of Bath, BRE Centre for Innovative Construction Materials, Bath, UK



## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Seismic evaluation

Plastic rotation

FRP

Reinforced concrete column

Flexural deficiency

## ABSTRACT

External wrapping of fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) materials is a widely applied method for the seismic retrofit of reinforced concrete (RC) columns. The seismic evaluation of conventional reinforced concrete columns prior to retrofit is presented in ASCE 41–13 although there is little available guidance for evaluating the deformation capacity of FRP-retrofitted reinforced concrete columns. In this paper, 77 FRP-retrofitted concrete columns with flexural deficiency were collected from the published literature. The yield and ultimate rotations of all specimens were obtained from backbone curves. The relationship between yield and ultimate rotation, and column parameters was analyzed. Consistent with the approach of ASCE 41, an empirical model, which considers the variation of axial force ratio, effective transverse reinforcement ratio and shear force ratio, was proposed to predict the plastic and ultimate rotations. Results were compared with other available models and were shown to be generally more accurate for both circular and rectilinear columns, which proved the efficiency of the proposed model. Finally, a reduction parameter for the expression of plastic rotation angle was adopted to obtain the model parameter  $a$  required for ASCE 41-compliant modelling of FRP-retrofitted reinforced concrete columns with flexural deficiency.

## 1. Introduction

External confinement using fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) materials has been widely used as a means of seismic retrofit of reinforced concrete columns. Recently, provisions for this so-called ‘FRP wrapping’ method of seismic retrofit have been adopted into ACI 440.2R-17 *Guide for the Design and Construction of Externally Bonded FRP Systems for Strengthening Concrete Structures* [1]. Other international documents including JSCE [2], CNR-DT 200 [3], fib [4], and Concrete Society [5] also provide guidance for such retrofit measures. FRP wrapping of deficient reinforced concrete columns is an attractive retrofit measure since it has little effect on column stiffness while increasing capacity marginally and ductility significantly.

The ASCE 41-13 *Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings* (ASCE) [6] and EuroCode 8 - Part 3 (EC8) [7] provide extensive guidance for the seismic evaluation of existing concrete structures. However, both are silent on evaluating the impact of subsequent retrofits. An approach for evaluating FRP-retrofitted concrete structures is ne-

cessary to permit designers to make a reasonable prediction and assessment of the post-retrofit performance of their FRP-retrofitted concrete structures. Such an approach is also necessary for future seismic or post-event assessment when the FRP-retrofit is part of the existing structure. In order to be useful and accepted, a post FRP-retrofit assessment methodology consistent with ASCE 41 [6] is proposed which includes a significant element of Performance-based Design (PBD). The objective of this paper is to demonstrate just such an approach – using the example of FRP retrofit of flexure-dominant columns. This approach may then be extended to other retrofit applications.

Flexural failure, shear failure and lap splice failure are the common failure modes of pre-1970 (or otherwise poorly detailed) reinforced concrete columns subject to seismic loads [8]. This paper focuses on the evaluation of seismic properties of reinforced concrete columns exhibiting axial-flexural or flexure-shear dominated performance subsequently retrofitted with FRP jackets. Axial-flexural failure occurs when inadequate confinement from existing transverse reinforcement is provided in the plastic hinge zone resulting in cover concrete crushing

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [bben369@163.com](mailto:bben369@163.com) (B. Li), [kentjcbm@pitt.edu](mailto:kentjcbm@pitt.edu) (K.A. Harries).

Nomenclature			
AAE	average absolute error	$r$	corner radius of a rectilinear section (mm)
$A_c$	total concrete area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	$s$	space of stirrup (mm)
$A_e$	area of effectively confined region (mm <sup>2</sup> )	SD	standard deviation
$A_g$	gross area of column section (mm <sup>2</sup> )	$t_f$	total thickness of FRP jacket (mm)
$A_s$	total cross section area of longitudinal steel bars (mm <sup>2</sup> )	$V$	shear force corresponding to nominal flexure capacity (kN)
$A_v$	area of transverse steel reinforcement (mm <sup>2</sup> )	$V_{ideal}$	lateral load corresponding to the ideal flexural capacity of column (kN)
$b$	cross section width (mm)	$V_{y1}$	lateral load when the first longitudinal steel bar yields (kN)
$D$	diameter of circular section (mm)	$\bar{\epsilon}$	normalized FRP rupture strain in [42]
$d$	cross section depth (parallel to the load direction) (mm)	$\epsilon_0$	strain of breakpoint of stress-strain curve in [42]
$d_b$	diameter of longitudinal steel bar (mm)	$\epsilon_f$	rupture strain of FRP jacket
$E_f$	elastic modulus of FRP jacket (GPa)	$\epsilon_{sy}$	yield strain of longitudinal reinforcement
$E_s$	elastic modulus of steel (MPa)	$\phi_y$	yield curvature
$f_c$	concrete strength (MPa)	$\phi_u$	ultimate curvature
$f_f$	tensile strength of FRP jacket (MPa)	$\nu$	shear force ratio
$f_{yl}$	yield stress of longitudinal steel bars (MPa)	$\theta_y$	yield rotation angle
$f_{yv}$	yield stress of transverse steel bars (MPa)	$\theta_p$	plastic rotation angle
$I$	reinforcement index in [41]	$\theta_u$	ultimate rotation angle
$k_s$	shape factor	$\rho_{eff}$	effective transverse reinforcement ratio (%)
$L$	shear span of column (mm)	$\rho_f$	volumetric reinforcement ratio provided by transverse FRP (%)
$L_p$	plastic hinge length (mm)	$\rho_l$	longitudinal reinforcement ratio (%)
$L_{p0}$	plastic hinge length of conventional reinforced concrete column (mm)	$\rho_s$	transverse reinforcement ratio (%)
$M$	moment at the base of column (kN-m)	$\Delta_{ideal}$	displacement corresponding to the ideal flexural capacity of column (mm)
$m$	specimen number in AAE, Mean and SD calculation	$\Delta_y$	yield displacement (mm)
Mean	mean value of ratio of predicted to experimental data	$\Delta_{y1}$	displacement when the first longitudinal steel bar yields (mm)
$n$	axial load ratio	$\Delta_u$	ultimate displacement (mm)
$n_0$	axial load ratio parameter in [38,39]		
$P$	axial load (kN)		
$P_f$	failure probability		

and spalling, further loss of transverse confinement, longitudinal bar buckling, and compression failure of the concrete core. Flexural-shear failure occurs when the column develops its flexural strength, however ultimately fails in shear with flexural-shear cracks in the hinge region following the yield of longitudinal reinforcement.

To address both failure modes, external FRP jackets provide additional lateral confinement, confining not only the core but the cover concrete thereby also providing continued lateral support to the longitudinal reinforcement [9]. Wrapping FRP around the concrete column plastic moment region is an effective means of enhancing the deformation capacity of columns with flexural deficiencies. With the inclusion of additional longitudinal reinforcement, the approach can also be tailored to provide additional flexural capacity, although this is a less common objective of reinforced concrete column retrofit. A significant advantage of such FRP retrofits is that they have little or no impact on the column stiffness and therefore have no effect on the dynamic properties of the structure or the load path through the structure.

Consistent with ASCE 41 [6], plastic rotation angle,  $\theta_p$ , is selected as the modelling parameter to evaluate the deformation capacity of FRP-retrofitted concrete columns. A database of FRP-retrofitted concrete columns with flexural deficiency tested under combined axial load and lateral load is presented. The database includes 77 test results of FRP-retrofitted columns from 20 experimental studies published between 1997 and 2017. This database is a valuable document for (1) evaluation of existing models predicting deformation capacity of retrofitted columns; (2) development and verification of future model and (3) future

establishment of a larger database – in particular, identifying the data that must be reported so that the data may be broadly useful. The important parameters, consistent with those of ASCE 41 for conventional RC columns, which influence the ultimate and plastic rotation angle are then discussed, including axial force ratio  $n$ , shear force ratio  $\nu$  and effective transverse reinforcement ratio  $\rho_{eff}$ . The relationship between the ultimate rotation  $\theta_u$ , plastic rotation angle  $\theta_p$  and the retrofitted column parameters is studied. The proposed model for  $\theta_u$  and  $\theta_p$ , can be used for FRP retrofitted concrete columns with circular, square and rectangular sections within the range  $\rho_{eff} \leq 0.0145$  (as constrained by available data). The equation for ultimate rotation  $\theta_u$ , resulted in the smallest average absolute error (AAE) compared to other models from the available literature. In the final part of the paper, the model parameter  $\alpha$  (Fig. 1), required for ASCE 41 [6] seismic evaluation, is determined for flexure-dominant FRP-retrofitted concrete columns to give guidance for retrofit design. The approach presented here can be extended to other FRP-retrofitted concrete systems.

## 2. Database

A database [9–28], was established to investigate the influence of FRP wrapping on reinforced concrete columns with flexural deficiencies. In most studies, unretrofitted reinforced concrete columns are presented as the ‘control specimen’ for the FRP-retrofitted columns. In total, 33 conventional reinforced concrete (control) columns and 77 FRP-retrofitted concrete columns are included in the database. The suitability of experimental results for FRP-retrofitted concrete columns

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6736108>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6736108>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)