1

5 6

3

л

7

 $\frac{11}{12}$ 

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijtst

# Network-based model for predicting the effect of fuel price on transit ridership and greenhouse gas emissions

Michael W. Levin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ehsan Jafari<sup>b</sup>, Rohan Shah<sup>c</sup>, Stephen D. Boyles<sup>b</sup>

8 <sup>a</sup> Department of Civil, Environmental, and Geo-Engineering, University of Minnesota, 500 Pillsbury Drive S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455-0116, USA

9 <sup>b</sup> Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, The University of Texas, Austin, USA 10

<sup>c</sup> Transportation Services Group, CDM Smith, Inc., USA

## ARTICLE INFO

14 15 Article history:

- 16 Received 22 February 2017
- 17 Received in revised form 29 June 2017
- 18 Accepted 10 July 2017
- 19 Available online xxxx
- 20 Keywords:
- 21 Elastic demand
- 22 Mode choice
- 23 Destination choice
- 24 Static traffic assignment
- 25 Transit elasticity Fuel prices
- 26 27

54

### ABSTRACT

As fuel prices increase, drivers may make travel choices to minimize not only travel time, 29 but also fuel consumption. Consideration of fuel consumption would affect route choice 30 and influence trip frequency and mode choice. For instance, travelers may elect to live clo-31 ser to their workplace, or use public transit to avoid fuel consumption and the associated 32 costs. To incorporate network characteristics into predictions of the effects of fuel prices, 33 we develop a multi-class combined elastic demand, mode choice, and user equilibrium 34 35 model using a generalized cost function of travel time and fuel consumption with a combined solution algorithm. The algorithm is implemented in a custom software package, and 36 a case study application on the Austin, Texas network is presented. We evaluate the fuel-37 price sensitivity of key variables such as drive-alone and transit class proportions, person-38 miles traveled, link-level traffic flow and per capita fuel consumption and emissions. These 39 effects are examined across a heterogeneous demand set, with multiple user-classes cate-40 gorized based on their value of travel time. The highest relative transit elasticities against 41 42 fuel price are observed among low value of time classes, as expected. Although total personal vehicle travel decreases, congestion increases on some roads due to the generalized 43 cost function. Reductions in system-wide fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions 44 are observed as well. The study uncovers the combined interactions among fuel prices, 45 46 multi-modal choice behavior, travel performance, and resultant environmental impacts, all of which dictate the urban travel market. It also equips agencies with motivation to tai-47 lor emissions reduction and transit-ridership stimulus policies around the most responsive 48 49 user classes. 50

© 2017 Tongji University and Tongji University Press. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

52 53

51

#### 1. Introduction 55

Fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions have become an increasing concern in recent years, and transportation 56 57 accounted for 28% of total U.S. emissions in 2011 as reported by the EPA. A promising solution for the future is fuel efficient or battery electric vehicles (BEVs). However, improving the fuel efficiency of vehicles does not necessarily make travel less 58 costly. Indeed, it can cause more vehicle-miles traveled and more fuel to be used. This is called the 'rebound effect' and has 59 been studied in different sources (Allan et al., 2009; Small and Van Dender, 2007). 60

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 512 475 8744. E-mail address: michaellevin@utexas.edu (M.W. Levin).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijtst.2017.07.003

2046-0430/© 2017 Tongji University and Tongji University Press. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Levin, M.W., et al. Network-based model for predicting the effect of fuel price on transit ridership and greenhouse gas emissions. International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ijtst.2017.07.003

# ARTICLE IN PRESS

2

M.W. Levin et al./International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

61 The behavior of users in selecting the type of vehicle, the mode for transportation, route, etc. has great impacts on fuel 62 consumption and pollution. As traffic congestion increases, increasing attention has been given to demand management 63 policies. Past studies show that fuel price policies, as a major factor affecting the travel behavior, are particularly promising 64 for demand management (Huang and Burris, 2015; Wang and Chen, 2012). Also, price mechanisms can generate funds for 65 public and private sectors and ensure that external costs of pollution are paid by those who cause them. As fuel prices rise, so 66 does the cost of personal vehicle trips, particularly for repeated travel such as home to work trips. Travel demand elasticities 67 are stronger for long-term travel decisions than short-term (Goodwin et al., 2004; Graham and Glaister, 2004), and are highly 68 sensitive to household income (Wadud et al., 2009). Intuitively, demand elasticities should also depend on the quality of trip 69 and mode alternatives, and depend on specific origins and destinations. However, previous work has relied on regression 70 models (Gillingham, 2014) that do not capture the effects of network structure and characteristics.

This paper develops a novel network-based model to improve predictions of how fuel price affects trip, mode, and route 71 72 choice. To that end, we present a multiclass four-step planning model incorporating the effects of fuel consumption and pricing. Traveler behavior is based on a generalized cost function with components of travel time, tolls, and fuel cost, with dif-73 74 ferent values-of-time for each traveler class. Although these planning steps have been traditionally separated into an iterative feedback process (Boyce et al., 1994)-the four-step planning model (McNally, 2007)-this paper presents a com-75 bined formulation in the spirit of Bar-Gera and Boyce (2003). Although monotonicity of the cost function cannot be proven 76 due to the multiclass formulation, empirical results suggest that an equilibrium is achieved through a diagonalization heuris-77 78 tic. (The monotonicity of the generalized cost function admits a convex programming formulation when there is a single 79 class).

Variation in system metrics with respect to changing fuel costs are analyzed on the downtown Austin city network. Our 80 model demonstrates that effects of fuel prices on mode choice, overall travel performance, and environmental-impact reduc-81 82 tion are highly non-uniform across demand and links. We show that disaggregate link-level traffic impacts resulting from 83 fuel prices and mode-choice variations cannot always be extrapolated from higher-level observations. In particular, increases 84 in fuel prices, although associated with decreases in overall demand, nevertheless caused increases in congestion on several 85 links due to changes in route and trip choices. Although overall changes in transit ridership, demand, and the environmental impact with respect to fuel price followed expected trends, network analyses nevertheless improve the accuracy of such 86 87 predictions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: a literature review is given in Section 2. The combined trip, mode, and route choice formulation is presented in Section 3. Section 4 shows the results of applying the proposed algorithm on an urban study area in Austin, Texas. Section 5 concludes the paper and discusses the implications on policy and practice.

## 91 2. Literature review

The importance of fuel consumption, pollution, and efficiency has inspired much research on the effect of fuel price on 92 93 different aspects of a transportation system, especially traffic demand. The impact of fuel policy on demand behavior can range from mode-destination choice to vehicle purchases. Many past studies have explored the change in different traffic 94 95 related variables such as: customers' vehicle choice (high-consumption vehicles vs. gas-efficient cars) (Jeihani and Sibdari, 2010); toll facilities (Huang and Burris, 2015); carpooling (Bento et al., 2013); and highway travel demand (Kwon and 96 97 Lee, 2014), Readers may refer to Graham and Glaister (2004) and Goodwin et al. (2004) for more detail on elasticities of traffic demand with respect to prices and incomes. Winebrake et al. (2015) most recently evaluated nation-wide fuel price elas-98 ticities in combination truck operations from 1970 to 2012. A recent study by Mishalani et al. (2014) reported that 99 100 population density, transit share, freeway lane-miles per capita, private vehicle occupancy, and average travel time have a statistically significant explanatory effect on passenger travel related carbon dioxide emissions. As regards alternative 101 fueled vehicles, Ewing and Sarigöllü (1998) reported that a large shift of demand to cleaner and zero-emission vehicles is 102 possible provided their cost and performance came within an acceptable range of conventional vehicles. 103

104 The impact of fuel price on transit ridership is one major focus of this paper. In order to maintain system viability and 105 meet service goals, it is necessary to anticipate changes to public transportation demand. The price elasticity of public transit has been studied by many researchers including Currie and Phung (1992), Litman (2004), and A.P.T. Association et al. (2008) 106 which summarizes a survey of U.S. transit agencies. Haire and Machemehl (1992) found a statistically significant correlation 107 between the fuel price and transit ridership. A study by Gallo (2011) on the effect of fuel policy surcharges on demand behav-108 ior in Italy found that people are willing to switch to more fuel-efficient vehicles in response to the surcharge policy rather 109 than using public transit. The author stressed that the findings hold mainly for Italy and might be different for other coun-110 111 tries. Hammadou and Papaix (2015) implemented an "urban mobility policy package" in Lille, France including a combination of carbon tax, congestion charging, parking charges, and public transportation service improvements, which resulted in 112 113 a change in the modal split.

Sensitivity to fuel price as it pertains to gasoline demand is also affected by household income (Wang and Chen, 2012; Dahl, 2012). Wadud et al. (2009) found that the lowest and highest income groups respond most strongly to higher fuel prices, while households falling into mid-range income groups react less strongly. A more attractive and flexible approach than income is value of time (VOT). Since the effect of fuel price on people's behavior can vary across population, it is necessary to divide people into different VOT classes. The VOTs can either be from a discrete set or modeled by a continuous

Please cite this article in press as: Levin, M.W., et al. Network-based model for predicting the effect of fuel price on transit ridership and greenhouse gas emissions. International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. iitst.2017.07.003 Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6749634

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6749634

Daneshyari.com