



Decentralized simple adaptive control for large space structures



Quan Hu ^{a, *}, Li Su ^b, Yinguo Cao ^a, Jun Zhang ^c

^a Beijing Institute of Technology, 100081, Beijing, People's Republic of China

^b Capital Normal University, 100048, Beijing, People's Republic of China

^c Beijing Institute of Control Engineering, 100094, Beijing, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 November 2017

Received in revised form 8 April 2018

Accepted 25 April 2018

Handling Editor: D. J. Wagg

Keywords:

Decentralized control

Simple adaptive control

Vibration suppression

Large space structure

ABSTRACT

A decentralized simple adaptive control is proposed for the vibration suppression of large space structures (LSS) with distributed actuators and sensors. The LSS is viewed as a set of connected substructures so that the dynamic substructuring method can be applied to establish the equations of motion for the LSS. Then, extraction and contraction of the subsystems are performed to form the perturbed equations of motion for each substructure. An output feedback control law only requiring the information of the local sensors is designed based on the simple adaptive control method. The stability of the overall system is rigorously proven.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Large space structures (LSS), such as solar panels, trusses, and antennae, have been widely used in the space industry. In recent years, there are continuing interests in large space stations and space power satellites [1], whose sizes could be on the order of hundreds of meters or even kilometers. To suppress the vibrations induced by attitude maneuvers or thermal excitations, active control schemes that utilize distributed actuators and sensors have been developed, such as distributing thrusters on the structure [2,3], embedding small angular momentum exchange devices for active control torques [4–8]. Piezoelectric materials have also been bonded on the structure for vibration suppression [9–11]. Meanwhile, various novel control strategies [12–16] of the large flexible spacecraft have always been a hot topic and rise a great attention. However, most of the present studies [2–16] are based on the global dynamics of the system, which means the LSS is viewed as a single structure in the formulation. When adopting numerous distributed actuators and sensors for the LSS, solving for the control commands for all the actuators simultaneously would lead to high computational burden. Moreover, since the LSS is normally constructed on orbit, the dimension of the control and the measurements would be changed when certain components or substructures were assembled. The control laws based on the global model have to be adjusted or even redesigned for the new system. Therefore, it is anticipated the control can be in a decentralized form, that is to say, the control commands for a group of adjacent actuators are computed based only on the local measurements on a substructure. In this way, the

* Corresponding author. School of Aerospace Engineering, No. 5 South Zhongguancun Street, Haidian District, Beijing, 100081, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: huquan2690@bit.edu.cn (Q. Hu).

Nomenclature

0	null matrix
\bar{A}_i	system matrix in first-order form
\bar{B}, \bar{B}_i, B_i	input matrix
\bar{C}, \bar{C}_i, C_i	output matrix
D_i	damping matrix of the i th substructure
e_i	error vector
E	signed Boolean matrix
f_i	external forces for the i th substructure
g_i	constraint forces for the i th substructure
I	identity matrix
k_i	related with the bound of Δ_i
\hat{k}_i	estimation of k_i
K_i	stiffness matrices of the i th substructure
K_p, K_I	the proportional and integral gains
M_i	inertia matrix of the i th substructure
n	the number of substructures
N	the null space of E
p	a positive integer
S, S_e	system, extension of the system
$\bar{T}, \bar{L}, \bar{G}$	full-rank transformation matrices
\bar{u}, u_i	control input
U_i	generalized coordinates of a substructure
x_i	interface DOFs of a substructure
X_i	system states in first-order form
y, Y_i	output of the system
β, β_i	a positive constant scalar
Δ_i	interactions for the i th substructure
ε_i	positive scalar
σ_i, ρ_i	design parameters in the adaptations of perturbation bounds
ς_i, ξ_i	residual errors
τ_i	internal DOFs of a substructure

Subscripts and superscripts

f	flexible
i	substructure number
e	extension of the system
$+$	Moore–Penrose inversion
m	reference model
p	perfect tracking

computational cost of the control would be reduced, whereas the structure of the control logic is not influenced by the change of the dimension of the control input and the measurements.

In fact, when establishing the equations of motion of such systems, dynamic substructuring (DS) has been widely used as an effective solution [17–20]. DS analyzes the system component-wise so it is applicable to LSSs that are too large or complex to be analyzed as a whole structure. In addition, the components of an LSS might be designed by different groups and separately sent into orbit, but the DS can combine the substructures together so that the modeling and analysis process of the entire system can be realized and simplified. Theoretically, the controller can also be designed component-wise based on the DS model, whereas the stability of the entire system should be guaranteed. This decentralized control strategy would inherit all the merits of the DS for the modeling.

Based on the above idea, several works have been published in recent years. Kobayashi et al. [21] investigated the LSS composed of several subsystems interconnected by flexible links modeled as springs and dampers, while a dynamic

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6752981>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6752981>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)