Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Wind Engineering & Industrial Aerodynamics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jweia



# Analytical and empirical models of tornado vortices: A comparative study



## Yong Chul Kim<sup>\*</sup>, Masahiro Matsui

Tokyo Polytechnic University, 1583 Iiyama Atsugi, Kanagawa, 243-0297, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Tornadic wind Numerical expression Radial Tangential Vertical Steady-state Axisymmetric

### ABSTRACT

Tornadoes are generally defined as rotating columns of air characterized by small size, axisymmetry and short duration. Thus, the nature of tornadic wind load is basically different from that of synoptic wind. As direct measurements of velocities are very difficult and limited, lots of empirical and theoretical expressions of tornadic wind have been proposed. In the present study, characteristics of several numerical expressions were investigated, and it was found that the characteristics of velocity fields vary widely from model to model, making it difficult to choose one that is generally applicable.

## 1. Introduction

During the last ten decades, there has been great progress in the establishment of design wind loads and wind-resistant designs of buildings and structures for synoptic wind mainly caused by monsoon and/or large-scale storms. However, there are strict safety requirements for the protection of nuclear power plants and electric transmission towers due to the serious consequences of an accident. Thus, the need to establish design wind loads and wind-resistant designs for non-synoptic wind, especially for tornadoes, has attracted a lot of interest for engineers and researchers in the wind engineering field. A tornado is generally defined as a rotating column of air that is pendant from a cumuliform cloud in contact with the earth's surface, and is often visible as a funnel cloud and/ or circulating debris/dust near ground level (http://glossary.ametsoc. org/wiki/Tornado). Tornadoes can occur anywhere around the world, including Japan, Bangladesh, Australia, Britain, among others. In the U.S., Tornado Alley was a synonym for the most tornado-prone region. However, these days it is known that there is a lot of tornado activity in Southeast region, called Dixie Alley, as reported in Dixon et al. (2011). In Japan, 40-50 tornadoes occur annually, most of them near the coastline (Tamura et al., 2015). Tornadoes are known to be the most violent and damaging meteorological phenomena on the earth's surface to human life and property. Their vortices usually rotate cyclonically and some of them contain secondary vortices. A severe tornado brings extremely strong winds accompanied by sudden pressure change causing death and serious damage to or collapse of structures along its path.

As tornadoes are characterized by small size, axisymmetry, high

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* gentle95@gmail.com (Y.C. Kim).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2017.10.009

Received 7 May 2017; Received in revised form 9 October 2017; Accepted 10 October 2017

0167-6105/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

velocity, high vorticity and short duration, the nature of tornadic wind load is basically different from that of large-scale storms. The main ways in which tornadoes are different (Wen and Ang, 1975) are i) the probability of a certain place being damaged is small: the mean recurrence interval for a tornado striking a point is 1000years (Thom, 1963), ii) the durations of wind action is short because of their rapid change in both magnitude and direction, and iii) effects of updraft and pressure change could become important. Thus, the conventional analysis and wind-resistant design is clearly not applicable to tornadic winds. Since tornadoes move fast and their courses are unpredictable, the study of tornadoes by measuring wind velocities and pressure changes has been always difficult and limited. Furthermore, a debris wall within tornadoes makes field observations difficult (Baker and Sterling, 2017). Although tornadoes have very different characteristics during their lifetimes, the following are generally recognized by field observations (Baker and Sterling, 2017). i) Tornadoes range from a very simple single cell with one radial inflow and vertical updraft to multiple cell structures with updrafts and downdrafts at different radii, some extending down to the ground and some not. ii) Tornadoes are driven by atmospheric temperature and density differences, thus creating buoyant forces. iii) The nature of tornadoes varies with time, so they have different characteristics during their lifetimes. iv) There is a boundary layer region near the ground that is dominated by local ground roughness. Recently, various methods such as laboratory experiments, analytical modeling, computational simulations, mobile radar and in-situ measurements have been implemented to gain insight into the problem and to provide comprehensive understanding.

Nomenclature			model [m/s]
		а	velocity gradient in Burgers-Rott and Sullivan model [1/s]
$A_m$ and $B_m$		b	function representing the velocity fluctuations outside the
	constant used in Fujita model		core in Kuo-Wen model
$F_r$	body force in <i>r</i> direction	k and $k_0$	constant used in Fujita model
$F_z$	body force in <i>z</i> direction	n	ratio of inner and outer core radii in Fujita model (core
$F_{\theta}$	body force in $\theta$ direction		ratio, $r_n/r_o$ )
$H_i$	inflow layer used in Fujita model [m]	r	radial coordinate, radial distance from the tornado
K	ratio of two random radial distances $(r_1/r_2)$		center [m]
$K_B$	constant used in Baker model	$r_c$	radius of maximum tangential velocity [m]
$K_{BR1}$ and	K <sub>BR2</sub>	$r_{co}$	the radius of the maximum tangential velocity above the
	constant used in Burgers-Rott model		boundary layer in Kuo-Wen model [m]
$K_{S1}$ and $K_{S1}$	K <sub>s2</sub>	$r_m$	radius at maximum radial velocity in Baker model [m]
	constant used in Sullivan model	$r_n$	inner core radius in Fujita model [m]
Р	pressure at radial distance r [Pa]	$r_o$	outer core radius in Fujita model [m]
$P_i$	pressure within the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen model [Pa]	$r_1$	random radial distance [m]
$P_o$	pressure above the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen model [Pa]	$r_2$	random radial distance [m]
$P_{\infty}$	pressure at infinity [Pa]	t	time [s]
$P_0$	pressure at the tornado center [Pa]	z	vertical coordinate, vertical height [m]
S	swirl ratio in Baker model	$z_m$	height at maximum radial velocity in Baker model [m]
U	radial velocity [m/s]	$\Gamma_{\infty}$	vortex circulation at infinity [m <sup>2</sup> /s]
$U_i$	radial velocity within the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen	α	crossing angle between the direction of flow and tornado
	model [m/s]		circle at crossing point in Fujita model
$U_{\rm max}$	maximum radial velocity in Baker model [m/s]	$\alpha_o$	crossing angle $\alpha$ at $r = r_o$
Uo	radial velocity above the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen model	β	power-law index governing the sharpness of the tangential
	[m/s]		velocity in Vatistas model
V	tangential velocity [m/s]	$\theta$	tangential coordinate
$V_i$	tangential velocity within the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen	ε	decay index expressing the degree of decrease in the outer
	model [m/s]		region in modified Rankine model
V <sub>max</sub>	maximum tangential velocity [m/s]	ζ	vertical vorticity [1/s]
$V_o$	tangential velocity above the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen	η	decay parameter in Wood-White model
	model [m/s]	$\eta_{KW}$	ratio of vertical height and boundary layer thickness in
$V_{o,\max}$	maximum tangential velocity above the boundary layer in		Kuo-Wen model ( $z/\delta$ )
	Kuo-Wen model [m/s]	δ	boundary layer thickness in Kuo-Wen model [m]
$V_1$	tangential velocity at $r_1$ [m/s]	$\delta_{\infty}$	boundary layer thickness at infinity in Kuo-Wen model [m]
$V_2$	tangential velocity at $r_2$ [m/s]	κ	growth parameter in Wood-White model
W	vertical velocity [m/s]	λ	size parameter in Wood-White model
$W_i$	vertical velocity within the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen	ν	kinematic viscosity [m <sup>2</sup> /s]
	model [m/s]	$\nu_e$	eddy viscosity [m <sup>2</sup> /s]
$W_o$	vertical velocity above the boundary layer in Kuo-Wen	ρ	air density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]

Tangential velocities in tornadoes are often approximated by continuous functions that are zero at the tornado center, increase to a maximum at some radius, and then decrease asymptotically to zero infinitely far from the center. A lot of theoretical and empirical expressions for tangential velocity have been proposed by many researchers with various backgrounds. Among them, the idealized and inviscid Rankine model has been widely implemented as a first approximation. But several shortcomings of the Rankine model have led to better approximations. The parameters in numerical expressions should contain useful information about the physical structure of tornadoes that can be used to determine tornado structures when there are incomplete data. The importance of the choice of tornadic model can be understood from the existing studies. Kobayashi et al. (2015) reported that a modified Rankine model gives the best fit of tangential velocity to the field measurement data, while the Burgers model gives the largest tip displacement of transmission tower when compared with Rankine and Fujita model (Ishizaki et al., 2016). Even though the modified Rankine model gives good agreement with field measurement data, structural engineers may choose the Burgers model for safe design. As exactly the same numerical models were not used in those two studies, it is difficult to make a general statement, but discussing and addressing the importance of choosing an appropriate numerical model is of great interest.

A lot of empirical and/or theoretical numerical expressions have been derived for tornadic wind, but no detailed comparative studies have been conducted so far. The objective of this paper is to investigate the characteristics of several numerical expressions, either empirical or theoretical and one-dimensional or three-dimensional, which are frequently employed in the wind-resistant design of structures against tornadoes.

### 2. Equations of motion in cylindrical coordinates

When the radial, tangential, and vertical velocity components are denoted by *U*, *V* and *W*, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1, the momentum (Navier-Stokes) equations and mass conservation (continuity) equation for an incompressible fluid with constant eddy viscosity ( $v_e$ ) in cylindrical coordinates (r,  $\theta$ , z) are

Radial (r-direction) momentum equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + W \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} - \frac{V^2}{r} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \nu_e \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2} - \frac{U}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right\} + \frac{1}{\rho} F_r \end{aligned}$$
(1)

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6757236

Download Persian Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/article/6757236

Daneshyari.com