

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nuclear Engineering and Design



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nucengdes

Air Water Loop for investigaion of flow dynamics in a steam drum: Steady state two-phase natural circulation experiments and validation



R.K. Bagul^{a,b,*}, D.S. Pilkhwal^a, S.P. Limaye^a, P.K. Vijayan^{a,b}, J.B. Joshi^{b,c}

^a Reactor Engineering Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai 400085, India

^b Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai 400094, India

^c Institute of Chemical Technology, Matunga, Mumbai 400019, India

ABSTRACT

Advanced Heavy Water Reactor (AHWR) is a vertical pressure tube type boiling water reactor that relies on twophase natural circulation for heat removal from the nuclear core under normal operating as well as accidental conditions. In case of AHWR, the two-phase flow generated in the core is transported by vertical riser pipes to horizontal steam drums at the top. These horizontal steam drums provide sufficient surface area for the separation of steam water mixture purely based on gravity i.e. due to density difference between steam and water. To investigate this gravity separation phenomenon, an experimental facility known as Air-Water Loop has been designed. The facility has a scaled geometry of AHWR steam drum operating with Air-Water mixture as a working fluid. The required flow conditions for the experimental simulations are generated using air-water twophase natural circulation in this facility. Steady state natural circulation experiments were performed where measurements on recirculation flow rates, two-phase and single phase pressure drop in various sections of the loop have been carried out. The present paper aims to describe the design aspects of the facility, pre-test calculations, steady state two-phase natural circulation experiments and assessment of measured experimental data. The experimental measurements have been predicted using a numerical model that considers various void fraction and pressure drop correlations from the literature for estimation of measured parameters.

1. Introduction

Advanced Heavy Water Reactor (AHWR) is a vertical pressure tube type, boiling light water cooled and heavy water moderated nuclear reactor (Sinha and Kakodkar, 2006). The AHWR employs two-phase natural circulation as a mode of coolant recirculation through the reactor core for removal of heat generated in fuel rod bundle in normal as well as accidental operating conditions. Fig. 1 shows the schematic of Main Heat Transport System (MHTS) of AHWR. The water boils in the core (1) and is transported to steam drum (2) situated approximately 30 m above reactor core through vertical tail pipes (3) due to buoyancy. The steam drum is a horizontal pressure vessel with circular crosssection having 4 m diameter and 11 m length. The reactor has total 452 fuel channels (4), 452 tail pipes (3) and 452 feeders (5). It has total 4 steam drums and 113 tailpipes are connected to each steam drum. The tail pipes are connected to bottom of the drum symmetrically as shown in Fig. 2. Steam gets separated in drum (6) and leaves from 4 outlets (7) at the top and separated water returns to core via 4 numer of down comers (8) connected at the bottom of steam drum. To avoid mixing of two-phase flow entering in steam drum and the separated water,

vertical baffles (10) are placed around the down comer region. In order to maintain the mass inventory in MHTS, feed water is added using feed water sparger (9) in down comer region of steam drum compensating the mass of steam leaving the drum. The collapsed level for steam drum is maintained at 2.2 m at 100% full power operating conditions. Due to horizontally placed steam drum, large separation interface is available which reduces the superficial velocity of steam at separation interface. This assists for efficient gravity separation. The steam separates by gravity i.e. due to density difference between steam and water, without aid of any mechanical separators, and thus simplifies the operation of steam drum. Gravity separation also improves the economy as lesser parts involved in construction and subsequent maintenance requirements are reduced. However, the effectiveness of gravity separation depends on the amount of carryover (conveyance of liquid droplets in the steam flow to turbine). Excessive carryover leads to erosion induced corrosion of steam piping and turbine blades. As per technical guidance document on steam purity for turbine operation by The International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam (IAPWS), the amount of carryover in saturated steam from BWRs is to be limited to less than 0.1% (IAPWS TSD5-13, 2013). In the case of the AHWR, which is

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2017.12.024 Received 12 May 2017; Received in revised form 14 December 2017; Accepted 15 December 2017

0029-5493/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Reactor Engineering Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai 400085, India. *E-mail address:* rkbagul@barc.gov.in (R.K. Bagul).

Nomenclature			pressure (N/m ²)
		Re	Reynolds numbe
A_1	upstream cross-sectional flow area (m ²)	<i>Re_m</i>	modified Reynol
A_2	downstream cross-sectional flow area (m ²)	S	slip ratio
Ar	non-dimensional number in Eq. (9) and is given by Eq. (10)	V	velocity (m/s)
C_0	phase distribution parameter	Greek Symbols	
D	diameter (m)		
D_H	hydraulic diameter of vessel (m)	ρ	density (kg/m ³)
D_H^*	dimensionless hydraulic diameter of vessel given as	μ	dynamic viscosit
	$D_H/\sqrt{\sigma/g(\rho_l-\rho_\sigma)}$	α	void fraction
E_{fg}	entrainment of liquid into gas/vapor flow	σ	surface tension (
ei	error in prediction	σ_m	standard deviati
em	mean error in prediction	e	surface roughnes
e _{ma}	mean absolute error in prediction	ε	void fraction/Ho
f	friction factor	ξ_c	calculated paran
g	gravitational acceleration (m/s^2)	ξ_m	measured param
ĥ	height above the separation interface/pool surface	ψ	multiplier for tw
h*	dimensionless height above the pool surface given as $h/\sqrt{\sigma/g(\rho_0-\rho_0)}$	χ	flow quality give
J.	superficial velocity of gas (m/s)	Subscripts	S
J_g^*	dimensionless superficial velocity of gas given as	1 <i>ф</i>	single phase
_	$J_g/(\sigma g (\rho_l - \rho_g)/\rho_g^2)^{1/4}$	2.¢	two phase
J_l	superficial velocity of liquid (m/s)	1	liquid
L	length of pipe section	σ	gas
'n	mass flow rate (kg/s)	8 T	total
$N_{\mu g}$	dimensionless gas viscosity number given as	nool	air-water drum i
	$\mu_g/(ho_g\sigma\sqrt{\sigma/g(ho_l- ho_g)})^{1/2}$	P	prototype
u_m	uncertainty in measurement	M	model
U_{gl}	drift flux velocity (m/s)	111	mouer
K_L	local pressure drop (N/m ²)		

Nuclear Engineering and Design 328 (2018) 266–282

		Re	Reynolds number
		Rem	modified Reynolds number
		S	slip ratio
y l	Eq.	V	velocity (m/s)
		Greek Syn	nbols
		ρ	density (kg/m ³)
en	as	μ	dynamic viscosity (N.s/m ²)
		α	void fraction
		σ	surface tension (N/m)
		σ_m	standard deviation of measured values
		E	surface roughness (m)
		ε	void fraction/Hold up
		ξ_c	calculated parameter
		ξm	measured parameter
		ψ	multiplier for two phase local pressure drop
en	as	χ	flow quality given by $\dot{m}_g/(\dot{m}_l + \dot{m}_g)$
		Subscripts	
n	as		
		1φ	single phase
		2φ	two phase
		l	liquid
		g	gas
	as	T ,	total
		pool	air-water drum pool
		P	prototype
		Μ	model

pressure tube type BWR, the design goal is set to limit the carryover



Fig. 1. Schematic of MHTS of AHWR.

below 0.03% during any load change or normal operational transients.

Various experimental and analytical studies focused on investigation of carryover process are available in the published literature (Aiba and Yamada, 1959; Davis, 1940; Garner et al., 1954; Newitt et al., 1954; Sterman, 1958; Kolkolostov, 1952; Rozen et al., 1970). A comprehensive review on entrainment phenomenon in two-phase flow systems was carried out by Bagul et al. (2013). From the available literature it is found that most of the experimental work related to entrainment phenomenon is performed in geometry of vertical cylinder with air-water as well as steam-water. Empirical correlations available in literature are based on these experiments in simpler geometries. Validity of these empirical correlations for application in actual equipment needs to be established and experiments in relevant geometry are essential to test empirical correlations/analytical models for carryover prediction.

Another challenge for steam drum design is avoidance of carryunder i.e. bubbles getting entrained in downcomer flow, which will reduce the net buoyancy force and flow in the MHTS loop. Carryunder depends on bubble size distributions and flow patterns inside the drum. The bubble hold up in the steam drum pool also determines the swell level (location of separation interface) and thus the vapor space available above separation interface. The available vapor space above interface is an important parameter that affects the carryover phenomenon.

Previously very few experimental studies have been carried out to address the above thermal-hydraulic issues for AHWR steam drum. Studies carried out by Iyer et al. (2010) focused mainly on entrainment phenomenon in gravity separation. The experiment was carried out in vertical drums (diameter 300 mm and 437 mm, with heights of 1200 mm and 1450 mm) having inlet risers with drum diameter to riser diameter ratio of 3.75 and 6.0. Air-water mixture at atmospheric condition was used in those studies to measure entrainment. The water and air superficial velocities were maintained in a range covering the flow Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6759486

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6759486

Daneshyari.com