Nuclear Engineering and Design 305 (2016) 639-652

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nuclear Engineering and Design

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nucengdes

Numerical simulation of long-period fluid temperature fluctuation at a mixing tee for the thermal fatigue problem



Nuclear Engineering

and Design

Yoichi Utanohara^{a,*}, Akira Nakamura^a, Koji Miyoshi^a, Naoto Kasahara^b

^a Institute of Nuclear Safety System, Inc., 64 Sata, Mihama-cho, Mikata-gun, Fukui 919-1205, Japan
^b University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

HIGHLIGHTS

- A large eddy simulation of a mixing tee was carried out.
- Fluid temperature fluctuation could be predicted qualitatively.
- Grid convergence was almost attained and the simulation continued until 100 s.
- A longer-period temperature fluctuation than the well-known St = 0.2 appeared.
- Prediction of long-period temperature fluctuations improves the thermal fatigue assessment.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 November 2015 Received in revised form 8 April 2016 Accepted 15 June 2016

JEL classification: K. Thermal Hydraulics

ABSTRACT

Thermal fatigue cracks may be initiated at mixing tees where high and low temperature fluids flow in and mix. According to a previous study, damage by thermal fatigue depends on the frequency of the fluid temperature fluctuation near the wall surface. Structures have the time constant of structural response that depends on physical properties of the structure and the gain of the frequency response tends to become maximum at the frequency lower than the typical frequency of fluid temperature fluctuation. Hence the effect of the lower frequency, that is, long-period temperature fluctuation is important for the thermal fatigue assessment. The typical frequency of fluid temperature fluctuation is about St = 0.2 (nearly 6 Hz), where St is Strouhal number and means non-dimensional frequency. In the experimental study by Miyoshi et al. (2014), a longer-period fluctuation than St = 0.2 was also observed. Results of a fluid-structure coupled analysis by Kamaya et al. (2011) showed this long-period temperature fluctuation causes severer damage to piping. In the present study, a large eddy simulation was carried out to investigate the predictive performance of the long-period fluid temperature fluctuation more quantitatively. Numerical simulation was conducted for the WATLON experiment which was the water experiment of a mixing tee performed at the Japan Atomic Energy Agency. Four computational grids were used to confirm grid convergence. In the short time (9 s) simulations, tendencies of time-averaged and fluctuated velocities could be followed. Time-averaged temperature distributions were also reproduced, although overestimation appeared near the wall. The fluid temperature fluctuation intensity near the wall surface could be predicted qualitatively, while the peak value was overestimated. From the engineering viewpoint, it was concluded that the numerical simulation provided results that were conservative and on the side of safety. From the grid convergence study, the coarsest grid for which grid convergence was almost attained was selected and the simulation was continued until 100 s. Frequency analysis of the fluid temperature fluctuation showed that the long-period fluctuation appeared as well as the well-known typical frequency (St = 0.2). This indicated that numerical simulation could reproduce the long-period temperature fluctuation at the mixing tee.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mixing tees are inevitable piping structures in fossil fuel and nuclear power plants. When high- and low-temperature flows are mixing in the mixing tee, high-cycle thermal fatigue may occur.



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 50 7105 0099; fax: +81 770 37 2009.

E-mail addresses: utanohara@inss.co.jp (Y. Utanohara), a-naka@inss.co.jp (A. Nakamura), miyoshi.koji@inss.co.jp (K. Miyoshi), kasahara@n.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp (N. Kasahara).

Nomenclature

e_{ext}^{21} extrapolated relative error, $[-]$ y^+ f vortex shedding frequency, $[1/s]$ GCl_{fne}^{21} fine-grid convergence index, $[-]$ $Greek$ GCl_{coarse}^{21} coarse-grid convergence index, $[-]$ θ θ h grid size, $[m]$ θ θ N number of samples for statistics, $[-]$ θ N_1, N_2, N_3 σ_{cr} number of cells of three sets of grids (fine, medium and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4, $[-]$ φ p apparent order of grid convergence, $[-]$ r' r' distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, $[mm]$ φ_{ext}^{21} r_{21}, r_{32} grid refinement factor, $[-]$ $Subscrr_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]r_{ext}r_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]subscrr_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]r_{ext}r_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]subscrr_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]subscrr_{31}non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature,[-]iAT_{cr}critical value of temperature difference, [^{\circ}C]ave\Delta T_{in}temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound-aries, [^{\circ}C]muflow velocity, [m/s]mUmean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]zUfcumulative usage factor, [-]xCartesian coordinates, span-wise directio$	$D e_{a_1}^{21}, e_{a_2}^{32}$	inner diameter of pipe, [m] approximate relative error, [–]	y z
fvortex shedding frequency, [1/s]GreekGCl21 GCl22 coarse coarse-grid convergence index, [-]Greek ϕ grid size, [m] ϕ Nnumber of samples for statistics, [-] ϕ Nnumber of cells of three sets of grids (fine, medium and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4, [-] σ_{cr} papparent order of grid convergence, [-] ϕ r'distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, [mm] ϕ_{ext} ϕ_{ext} r_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-] f_{ext} Tflow temperature, [°C]SubscrTflow temperature, [°C]ave ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, [°C] ave ΔT_{f} fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, 	$e_{\rm ext}^{21}$	extrapolated relative error, [–]	y^+
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{GCl}_{\operatorname{fine}}^{\operatorname{fine}} & \operatorname{fine-grid} \operatorname{convergence} \operatorname{index}, [-] & Greek \\ \operatorname{GCl}_{\operatorname{coarse}}^{\operatorname{coarse-grid}} & \operatorname{convergence} \operatorname{index}, [-] & \theta \\ & grid \operatorname{size}, [m] & \\ & & \operatorname{number} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{samples} \operatorname{for} \operatorname{statistics}, [-] & & \\ & & & \operatorname{number} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{samples} \operatorname{for} \operatorname{statistics}, [-] & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \operatorname{number} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{calls} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{three} \operatorname{sets} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{grids} (\operatorname{fine}, \operatorname{medium} \\ & & & \operatorname{and} \operatorname{coarse}) \operatorname{selected} \operatorname{for} \operatorname{grid} \operatorname{convergence} \operatorname{estimation} & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $	f	vortex shedding frequency, [1/s]	
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{GCL}_{\operatorname{Coarse}}_{\operatorname{coarse}-\operatorname{grid}} \operatorname{convergence} \operatorname{index}, [-] & \theta \\ h & \operatorname{grid} \operatorname{size}, [m] & \\ N & \operatorname{number} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{samples} \operatorname{for} \operatorname{statistics}, [-] & \\ \sigma_{\operatorname{alt}} \\ \sigma_{\operatorname{cr}} \\ number \operatorname{of} \operatorname{samples} \operatorname{for} \operatorname{statistics}, [-] & \\ number \operatorname{of} \operatorname{calls} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{three} \operatorname{sets} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{grids} (\operatorname{fine}, \operatorname{medium} \\ \operatorname{and} \operatorname{coarse}) \operatorname{selected} \operatorname{for} \operatorname{grid} \operatorname{convergence} \operatorname{estimation} \\ n & \operatorname{section} 3.4, [-] & \\ p & \operatorname{apparent} \operatorname{order} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{grid} \operatorname{convergence}, [-] & \\ r' & \operatorname{distance} \operatorname{from} \operatorname{the} \operatorname{pipe} \operatorname{wall} \operatorname{to} \operatorname{the} \operatorname{pipe} \operatorname{center}, [\operatorname{mm}] & \\ r_{21}, r_{32} & \operatorname{grid} \operatorname{refinement} \operatorname{factor}, [-] & \\ \operatorname{St} & \operatorname{Strouhal} \operatorname{number} (=\operatorname{fD}_{b}/\operatorname{U_m}), [-] & \\ \operatorname{St} & \operatorname{Strouhal} \operatorname{number} (=\operatorname{fD}_{b}/\operatorname{U_m}), [-] & \\ \operatorname{Subscr} \\ \operatorname{T}_{std} & \operatorname{non-dimensional} \operatorname{standard} \operatorname{deviation} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{temperature}, \\ [-] & \\ \Delta T_{cr} & \operatorname{critical} value \operatorname{of} \operatorname{temperature} \operatorname{difference}, [^{\circ}C] & \\ \Delta T_{f} & \operatorname{fluid} \operatorname{temperature} \operatorname{fluctuation} \operatorname{amplitude} \operatorname{after} \operatorname{mixing}, \\ [^{\circ}C] & \\ u & \operatorname{flow} \operatorname{velocity}, [\operatorname{m/s}] & \\ u_{\tau} & \operatorname{friction} \operatorname{velocity}, [\operatorname{m/s}] & \\ U & \operatorname{mean} \operatorname{cross-sectional} \operatorname{flow} \operatorname{velocity}, [\operatorname{m/s}] & \\ z & \\ Uf & \operatorname{cumulative} \operatorname{usage} \operatorname{factor}, [-] & \\ x & \operatorname{Cartesian} \operatorname{coordinates}, \operatorname{span-wise} \operatorname{direction}, [\operatorname{m}] & \\ \end{array} \right$	GCI ²¹ GCI ²¹	fine-grid convergence index, [–]	Greek l
ngrid size, [m]Nnumber of samples for statistics, [-] σ_{alt} N_1, N_2, N_3 number of cells of three sets of grids (fine, medium and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4, [-] φ papparent order of grid convergence, [-] φ r'distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, [mm] φ_{ext}^{21} r_{21}, r_{32} grid refinement factor, [-] φ StStrouhal number (=fD _b /U _m), [-]SubscrTflow temperature, [°C]1, 2, 3 T_{std} non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, [-] i ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, [°C]ave 	GCIcoarse	coarse-grid convergence index, [-]	θ
Nnumber of samples for statistics, $[-]$ σ_{alt} N_1, N_2, N_3 number of cells of three sets of grids (fine, medium and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4, $[-]$ φ papparent order of grid convergence, $[-]$ φ r'distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, $[mm]$ φ_{ext}^{21} r_{21}, r_{32} grid refinement factor, $[-]$ φ StStrouhal number $(=fD_b/U_m), [-]$ SubscrTflow temperature, $[^{\circ}C]$ 1, 2, 3 T_{std} non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, $[^{-}C]$ λT_{cr} ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, $[^{\circ}C]$ ave b ΔT_{in} temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound- aries, $[^{\circ}C]$ m u flow velocity, $[m/s]$ m u_{τ} friction velocity, $[m/s]$ std U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, $[m/s]$ z Uf cumulative usage factor, $[-]$ z	n	grid size, [m]	
N_1, N_2, N_3 σ_{cr} number of cells of three sets of grids (fine, medium and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4, [-] v p apparent order of grid convergence, [-] v r' distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, [mm] r_{21}, r_{32} φ_{ext}^{21} $grid refinement factor, [-]\varphi_{ext}^{21}r_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]vr_{21}, r_{32}grid refinement factor, [-]vr_{31}, r_{32}non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, vvvvvv\Delta T_{cr}critical value of temperature difference, [^{\circ}C]v\Delta T_{in}temperature difference between inlet and outlet boundaries, [^{\circ}C]vuflow velocity, [m/s]vu_{\tau}friction velocity, [m/s]vu_{\tau}friction velocity, [m/s]vu_{\tau}grid conditioned for vvvgrid conditioned for vv$	IN N N N	number of samples for statistics, [-]	$\sigma_{ m alt}$
Intribute of the sets of grids (fine, intertaining and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4, [-] v p apparent order of grid convergence, [-] φ r' distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, [mm] φ_{ext}^{21} r_{21}, r_{32} grid refinement factor, [-] φ StStrouhal number (=fD _b /U _m), [-]SubscrTflow temperature, [°C]1, 2, 3 T_{std} non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, [-] ΔT_{cr} ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, [°C] b ΔT_{in} temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, 	N_1, N_2, N_3	number of calls of three sets of grids (fine medium	$\sigma_{ m cr}$
in Section 3.4, [-] p apparent order of grid convergence, [-] r' distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, [mm] r_{21}, r_{32} grid refinement factor, [-] St Strouhal number (=fD _b /U _m), [-] T flow temperature, [°C] T_{std} non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, [-] ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, [°C] ΔT_{f} fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, [°C] ΔT_{in} temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound- aries, [°C] u flow velocity, [m/s] u_{τ} friction velocity, [m/s] U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s] z Uf cumulative usage factor, [-] x Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]		and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		in Section 3.4 []	v
papparent order or grit convergence, $[-]$ r'distance from the pipe wall to the pipe center, $[mm]$ r_{21}, r_{32} grid refinement factor, $[-]$ StStrouhal number $(=fD_b/U_m), [-]$ SubscrTflow temperature, $[^{\circ}C]$ ave T_{std} non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, $[^{\circ}C]$ ave ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, $[^{\circ}C]$ ave ΔT_f fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, $[^{\circ}C]$ ave ΔT_{in} temperature difference between inlet and outlet boundaries, $[^{\circ}C]$ muflow velocity, $[m/s]$ m U_{τ} friction velocity, $[m/s]$ stdUmean cross-sectional flow velocity, $[m/s]$ zUfcumulative usage factor, $[-]$ xXCartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, $[m]$	n	apparent order of grid convergence []	φ
$\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi_{\text{ext}} & \varphi_{\text{ext}} \\ r_{21}, r_{32} & \text{grid refinement factor, [-]} \\ \text{St} & \text{Strouhal number } (=fD_b/U_m), [-] & \text{Subscr} \\ T & \text{flow temperature, [}^{\circ}C] & 1, 2, 3 \\ T_{\text{std}}^* & \text{non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, } \\ [-] & & & \\ \Delta T_{\text{cr}} & \text{critical value of temperature difference, [}^{\circ}C] & & & \\ \Delta T_{\text{f}} & \text{fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, } \\ [^{\circ}C] & & & \\ \Delta T_{\text{in}} & \text{temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound-} \\ aries, [^{\circ}C] & & & \\ u & & & \text{flow velocity, [m/s]} & & & \\ u_{\tau} & & & & \text{friction velocity, [m/s]} & & & \\ U & & & & & \text{mean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]} & z \\ Uf & & & & & \text{cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]} \end{array}$	P r'	distance from the nine wall to the nine center [mm]	21
T_{21}, T_{32} gina reminerine methods, $[-1]$ SubscrStStrouhal number $(=fD_b/U_m), [-]$ SubscrTflow temperature, $[^{\circ}C]$ 1, 2, 3 T_{std}^* non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature,1, 2, 3 $[-]$ ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, $[^{\circ}C]$ ave ΔT_{fr} fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing,cr $[^{\circ}C]$ ΔT_{in} temperature difference between inlet and outlet boundaries, $[^{\circ}C]$ m u flow velocity, $[m/s]$ m u_{τ} friction velocity, $[m/s]$ std U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, $[m/s]$ z Uf cumulative usage factor, $[-]$ z	r_{21} r_{22}	grid refinement factor [_]	φ_{ext}
Tflow temperature, $[^{\circ}C]$ SubscrTflow temperature, $[^{\circ}C]$ 1, 2, 3 T_{std}^{*} non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, $[-]$ ave ΔT_{cr} critical value of temperature difference, $[^{\circ}C]$ b ΔT_{f} fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, $[^{\circ}C]$ cr ΔT_{in} temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound- aries, $[^{\circ}C]$ m u flow velocity, $[m/s]$ m u_{τ} friction velocity, $[m/s]$ std U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, $[m/s]$ z Uf cumulative usage factor, $[-]$ z x Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, $[m]$	St	Stroubal number (= $fD_{\rm b}/U_{\rm m}$), [–]	C I
T_{std}^* non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature, $[-]$ 1, 2, 3 ΔT_{cr} $[-]$ ave b ΔT_f fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, $[^{\circ}C]$ cr ΔT_{in} temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound- aries, $[^{\circ}C]$ m u flow velocity, $[m/s]$ m u_{τ} friction velocity, $[m/s]$ std U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, $[m/s]$ z Uf cumulative usage factor, $[-]$ z	T	flow temperature. [°C]	Subscri
$\begin{bmatrix} - \end{bmatrix} & \text{ave} \\ \Delta T_{cr} & \text{critical value of temperature difference, } \begin{bmatrix} \circ C \end{bmatrix} & \text{ave} \\ b \\ \Delta T_f & \text{fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing,} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \circ C \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \\ in \\ aries, \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \circ C \end{bmatrix} & \text{m} \\ in \\ in \\ in \\ in \\ u_{\tau} & \text{friction velocity, } [m/s] & \text{m} \\ U & \text{mean cross-sectional flow velocity, } [m/s] & \text{std} \\ U & \text{cumulative usage factor, } [-] \\ x & \text{Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, } [m] \\ \end{bmatrix}$	T [*] std	non-dimensional standard deviation of temperature.	1, 2, 3
$\begin{array}{c} \Delta T_{\rm cr} & {\rm critical value of temperature difference, [°C]} & {\rm dve} \\ \Delta T_f & {\rm fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing,} & {\rm cr} \\ [°C] & {\rm cr} \\ \Delta T_{\rm in} & {\rm temperature difference between inlet and outlet boundaries, [°C]} & {\rm mms} \\ u & {\rm flow velocity, [m/s]} & {\rm mms} \\ u_{\tau} & {\rm friction velocity, [m/s]} & {\rm std} \\ U & {\rm mean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]} & {\rm z} \\ Uf & {\rm cumulative usage factor, [-]} \\ x & {\rm Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]} \end{array}$	stu	[-]	
$ \Delta T_{f}^{c} \text{fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing,} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$	$\Delta T_{\rm cr}$	critical value of temperature difference, [°C]	ave
$\begin{bmatrix} \circ C \end{bmatrix}$ i	ΔT_f	fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing,	D
$ \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta T_{\rm in} & {\rm temperature\ difference\ between\ inlet\ and\ outlet\ bound-} & {\rm in\ aries,\ [°C]} & {\rm m\ m\ m\ s} \\ u & {\rm flow\ velocity,\ [m/s]} & {\rm m\ m\ s} \\ u_{\tau} & {\rm friction\ velocity,\ [m/s]} & {\rm std\ s} \\ U & {\rm mean\ cross-sectional\ flow\ velocity,\ [m/s]} & {\rm std\ s} \\ Uf & {\rm cumulative\ usage\ factor,\ [-]} & {\rm z\ cartesian\ coordinates,\ span-wise\ direction,\ [m]} \end{array} $,	[°C]	i i
aries, [°C]m u flow velocity, [m/s]m u_{τ} friction velocity, [m/s]std U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]std Uf cumulative usage factor, [-]z x Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]	$\Delta T_{\rm in}$	temperature difference between inlet and outlet bound-	i in
u flow velocity, $[m/s]$ fm u_{τ} friction velocity, $[m/s]$ rms U mean cross-sectional flow velocity, $[m/s]$ std U cumulative usage factor, $[-]$ z u Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, $[m]$		aries, [°C]	m
u_{τ} friction velocity, [m/s]stdUmean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]stdUfcumulative usage factor, [-]zxCartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]	и	flow velocity, [m/s]	rms
Umean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]sturUfcumulative usage factor, [-]zxCartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]	u_{τ}	friction velocity, [m/s]	std
Ufcumulative usage factor, [-]2xCartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]	U	mean cross-sectional flow velocity, [m/s]	7
<i>x</i> Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]	Uf	cumulative usage factor, [–]	L
	x	Cartesian coordinates, span-wise direction, [m]	

The mechanism of thermal fatigue is as follows: mixing of high- and low-temperature fluids causes temperature fluctuation in a pipe material, but the deformation of the pipe material is constrained and then stress fluctuates in the pipe material. Pipe cracking may be caused by this stress fluctuation if it is bigger than the fatigue limit. Thermal fatigue is one of the major degradation mechanisms that must be considered in nuclear power plant management. To prevent thermal fatigue, sophisticated evaluation methods of temperature and stress distributions in the pipe wall are needed. Hence, it is necessary to have a better understanding of the mixing behavior and the temperature fluctuation mechanism.

The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers has issued a guideline for piping systems (hereafter, JSME guideline) (Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2003) to prevent thermal fatigue. The targeted piping geometries are the mixing tee and branch pipe with a closed end, where thermal fatigue events were often reported. The ISME guideline provides evaluation flowcharts of thermal fatigue for both piping geometries. The JSME guideline for the mixing tee was based on extensive tests which cover various flow conditions (the inlet velocities and diameter ratios). The evaluation flowchart for the mixing tee consists of a four-step assessment procedure as shown in Fig. 1. If one of the four steps is satisfied, the evaluation is finished. When the evaluation does not satisfy any steps, the "detailed evaluation" option remains in the evaluation flowchart. The JSME guideline allows substitution of another appropriate procedure as the "detailed evaluation" for the fourstep assessment procedure.

Hence, the authors have tried to develop a method for "detailed evaluation" using numerical simulations (Nakamura et al., 2009, 2010, 2012, 2015; Kamaya et al., 2011). The numerical simulation method is intended to cover computational fluid dynamics (CFD) for local fluid temperature fluctuation, heat transfer from fluids to the pipe and heat conduction into the pipe structure, and then

- Cartesian coordinates, vertical direction, [m]
- Cartesian coordinates, stream-wise direction, [m]
- dimensionless sublayer-scaled distance, [-]

letters

- circumferential angle subtended at the center of the pipe, [°]
- thermal stress amplitude, [N/mm²]
- fatigue limit considering the effect of mean stress. [N/ mm²]
- kinematic viscosity, [m²/s]
- key variable of the GCI estimation (=T^{*}_{std} in this study), [K]
- extrapolated value when h = 0, [K]

ipts

- three sets of grids (fine, medium and coarse) selected for grid convergence estimation in Section 3.4
- time-averaged value branch pipe
- critical
- value of *i*-th sample
- inlet
- main pipe
- root mean square
- standard deviation
- stream-wise component

structural analysis for thermal stress distribution. The aim of the numerical simulation method is to predict the local distribution of the fatigue damage at the pipe inner surface. In addition,



Fig. 1. The evaluation flowchart of thermal fatigue for a mixing tee prescribed by the JSME Guideline (JSME, 2003). Here ΔT_{in} is fluid temperature difference before mixing, ΔT_{cr} is critical temperature difference, ΔT_f is fluid temperature fluctuation amplitude after mixing, $\sigma_{\rm alt}$ is thermal stress amplitude, $\sigma_{\rm cr}$ is fatigue limit considering the effect of mean stress, and Uf is cumulative usage factor.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6760018

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6760018

Daneshyari.com