



ICESD 2014: February 19-21, Singapore

Experimental Investigation of Mustard Biodiesel Blend Properties, Performance, Exhaust Emission and Noise in an Unmodified Diesel Engine

A. Sanjid*, H.H. Masjuki, M.A. Kalam, M.J. Abedin and S. M. Ashrafur Rahman

Centre for Energy Sciences, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Abstract

Mustard biodiesel was produced from waste mustard oil and physicochemical properties were investigated. MB showed superior calorific value (40.404 MJ/kg), oxidation stability (15.92 h), cloud point (5°C) and pour point (-18°C) than any other conventional biodiesels. During engine performance test MB10 and MB20 showed 8-13% higher BSFC and 5-6% lower BTE compared to B0. By contrast, MB blends produced 7-8% less BP and 6-8% less engine torque compared to B0. Engine emission and noise test showed 9-12% higher NO, 24-42% lower HC, 19-40% lower CO and 2-7% lower noise emission for MB blends compared to B0. Besides, comparable engine performance and emission characteristics were found for MB10 and MB20 compared to PB10 and PB20 respectively.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

Selection and peer review under responsibility of Asia-Pacific Chemical, Biological & Environmental Engineering Society

Keywords: Mustard biodiesel; Characterization; Engine performance; Emission analysis; Noise

1. Introduction

Industrial economy of a country is very much dependent on non-renewable fossil resources like coal, petroleum and natural gas with applications in electric generators, power plants, heavy trucks, locomotives and mining equipment. This ever increasing drift of energy consumption is not sustainable due to unequal geographical distribution of fossil fuels as well as environmental, geopolitical and economic concern [1].

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +60379674448; fax: +603 79675317.

E-mail address: sanjidum@gmail.com

Besides, use of fossil fuel triggers a huge amount of greenhouse gas and noise hence polluting the environment. This twin crisis of fossil fuel depletion and environmental degradation have motivated researchers to explore and evaluate the performance of alternative fuels such as biodiesel, bio hydrogen, bioethanol etc. in internal combustion engine [2].

Mustard plant belongs to the *Brassicaceae* plant family and this plant family is a very rich source of many important biodiesel feedstock such as *Brassica alba* L., *Brassica napus* L., *Camelina sativa* L., *Brassica carinata* L. etc. Among them rapeseed has gained widespread acceptance as a common biodiesel feedstock. Production cost of mustard oil is lower than rapeseed or canola though it is relatively a new feedstock for biodiesel production [3]. Mustard plant can be grown in drier areas and needs lesser pesticides and other agricultural inputs than rapeseed. Excessive amount of erucic acid (more than 50%) generally makes it non edible and mostly used as condiment and pickles. In some literatures, it was found that low quality mustard seed oil which is unsuitable for food use is adopted for biodiesel production [4]. After oil extraction mustard seed cannot be fed to livestock due to its hot mustard flavor. Hence, mustard oil is suitable for biodiesel production and unlike canola using mustard as biodiesel feedstock would not interfere with the food chain. The aims of this experimental endeavor were to produce, characterize and analyze engine performance and emission of mustard biodiesel (MB) pressed from low quality inedible mustard seed. Engine performance, emission and noise test were carried out for 10% and 20% MB blends and compared with palm biodiesel (PB) blends and diesel fuel (D100).

2. Property test

The test fuels chosen to analyze engine performance were (i) 10% MB with 90% B0 (MB10), (ii) 20% MB with 80% B0 (MB20) (iii) 10% PB with 90% B0 (PB10), (iv) 20% PB with 80% B0 (PB20) and (v) 100% neat diesel fuel (D100). These blended percentages are volume based proportions. Blending was performed by a blending machine at 4000 rpm for 10-15 min.

Table 1 shows the summary of the equipment and methods used to determine fuel properties and Table 2 shows measured fuel properties of all tested fuels.

Table 1. List of equipment used for testing fuel properties

Property	Equipment	Model	Manufacturer	Standard method	Accuracy
Kinematic viscosity and density	Stabinger Viscometer	SVM 3000	Anton Paar	ASTM D7042	$\pm 0.1 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$
Flash point	Pensky–martens flash point tester	NPM 440	Normalab, France	ASTM D93	$\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$
Cloud and pour point	Cloud and pour point tester	NTE 450	Normalab, France	ASTM D2500	$\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$
Oxidation stability	Rancimat testing machine	873Rancimat	Metrohm, Switzerland	EN 14112	$\pm 0.01 \text{ h}$
Calorific value	Semi auto bomb calorimeter	6100EF	Perr, USA	ASTM D240	$\pm 0.001 \text{ MJ/kg}$

Table 2. Measured fuel properties of all tested fuels

Properties	D100	MB100	PB100	MB20	PB20	MB10	PB10	ASTM D 6751-02
Density (kg/m^3)	821	864.8	859.2	830.7	828.6	826.3	824.5	-

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/676192>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/676192>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)