ARTICLE IN PRESS

Sustainable Cities and Society xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sustainable Cities and Society



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scs

Evaluating the performance of sustainable development in urban neighborhoods based on the feedback of multiple stakeholders

³ **Q1** Aslihan Karatas^{a,*}, Khaled El-Rayes^{b,1}

4 Q2 ^a Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL 61801, United States ^b Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL 61801, United States

T7 ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*Quantification of sustainable development
Group decision making
Analytic hierarchy process
Multi-attribute utility theory
Sustainable development in urban
neighborhoods
Decision support system

ABSTRACT

Sustainable development (SD) has increasingly become a major priority in urban neighborhoods to maintain social quality of life and support their economic development while preserving the environment. To expand the use of SD in urban neighborhoods, local governments often adopt various strategies such as land use planning. The priorities and effectiveness of these strategies vary significantly for different stakeholders such as neighborhood communities and local governments because of their different and often conflicting interests. Accordingly, there is a pressing need to integrate the performance evaluation of SD strategies from all urban planning stakeholders to ensure that the conducted evaluation is comprehensive and representative of all the affected stakeholders. Although there are several studies that focused on evaluating SD in urban areas, there is still a need for a comprehensive model that is capable of integrating the varying evaluations of different SD stakeholders. Accordingly, this paper presents the development of a comprehensive and an effective model for evaluating the performance of SD that is capable of integrating the varying and often conflicting evaluations of various stakeholders. The model is developed in four main stages: (1) formulation stage that created a comprehensive set of sustainable development (SD) criteria for urban neighborhoods; (2) group decision making stage that integrates the evaluations and judgments of multiple stakeholders; (3) implementation stage that automated the model computations; and (4) performance evaluation stage that analyzed the performance of the developed model using an application example.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

18 **1. Introduction**

Sustainable development has increasingly become a major pri-19 ority in recent years in urban planning. Sustainable development 20 in urban neighborhoods focuses on three main objectives: (1) 21 improving social quality-of-life for urban neighborhood residents, 22 (2) expanding economic development and promoting economic 23 growth in urban areas, and (3) increasing environmental protec-24 tion practices in the developed areas (WCED, 1987). These three 25 objectives have different priorities for various stakeholders in 26 urban planning such as local authorities, households, prospective 27

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2014.05.011 2210-6707/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V. investors, NGOs because of their different and often conflicting interests (Galster, 2001; McKnight, Kretzmann, Northwestern University. Center for Urban, Policy, & Neighborhood Innovations, 1990). Therefore, there is a pressing need for a model that is capable of integrating these varying priorities and supporting a group of urban planning decision-makers in their critical and challenging task to evaluate the performance of various SD strategies in order to create a common desirable SD for all affected stakeholders.

Several studies were conducted to evaluate existing SD conditions in urban areas and potential SD strategies that can be implemented to promote the sustainability of urban neighborhoods (Applied Population Laboratory, University of Wisconsin, & the City of Madison, 2012; Boston Foundation, City of Boston, & The Metropolitan Area Planning Council, 2009; Central Texas, 2009; City of Santa Monica, Dept. of Community and Cultural Services, & Human Services Division, 2003; Meter & Crossroads Resource Center, 1999; Sustainable San Mateo County, 2012). Other research studies focused on analyzing (a) the sustainability of construction projects (Reyes, San-José, Cuadrado, & Sancibrian, 2014), (b) the level of service and quality of neighborhood infrastructure systems

Please cite this article in press as: Karatas, A., & El-Rayes, K. Evaluating the performance of sustainable development in urban neighborhoods based on the feedback of multiple stakeholders. *Sustainable Cities and Society* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2014.05.011

Abbreviations: SD, sustainable development; SQOL, social-quality of life; ECON, economic development; ENV, environmental protection; SDI, sustainable development index; DM1, a member from local authorities; DM2, a member from neighborhood community; DM3, a member from NGOs.

^k Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 217 721 3621.

E-mail addresses: karatas2@illinois.edu (A. Karatas), elrayes@illinois.edu

⁽K. El-Rayes).

¹ Tel.: +1 217 265 0557; fax: +1 217 265 8039.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Karatas, K. El-Rayes / Sustainable Cities and Society xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Nomenclature	
Notations	
m_{x}	metric value of the continuous metric
m_x^-	worst level of performance for m_x
m_x^+	best level of performance for m_x
$U_x(m_x)$	utility function for 'x' different metrics from m_1 to
	m_{χ}
α	adjustment factor ensuring that $0 \le U_x(m_x) \le 1$
γ	parameter for defining the shape of the curve where
	$\gamma > 1$ makes the curve convex or S-shaped, $\gamma = 1$
	makes the curve linear with a constant slope, and
	γ < 1 makes the curve concave; m_{λ} is the metric for
	defining the inflection point on the <i>x</i> -axis if $\gamma > 1$
m_{λ}	metric value for defining the inflection point on <i>x</i> -
	axis of Fig. 1B if $\gamma > 1$
$U(m_{\lambda})$	utility value for defining the inflection point on <i>y</i> -
	axis at the point of m_{λ}
W_i	collective group weight for the <i>i</i> th metric, criterion,
	or objective
Κ	total number of decision-makers in the group
Ι	total number of elements in the metrics, criteria, and
	objectives set
w_i^k	<i>k</i> th group member's weight for the <i>i</i> th metric, crite-
	rion or objective in the set
$lpha_k$	influence of kth decision-maker on decision-making
	process
Ζ	total number of sustainable development objectives
W_z	weight for the <i>z</i> th objective
Y	total number of criteria such as public safety and
	education
W_{yz}	weight of a group of decision-makers for the yth
	criterion in the <i>z</i> th objective
Χ	total number of metrics for quantifying the perfor-
	mance of the yth criterion
W_{xyz}	weight of a group decision-makers for the <i>x</i> th metric
	of the yth criterion in the zth objective
U_{xyz}	utility value of the <i>x</i> th metric of the <i>y</i> th criterion in
	the <i>z</i> th objective

such as urban roads (Sayyadi & Awasthi, 2012; Sharma, Al-Hussein, 48 Safouhi, & Bouferguène, 2008), and (c) regional sustainable devel-49 opments (Chatzimouratidis & Pilavachi, 2009; Kurka, 2013). The 50 US Green Building Council (USGBC) also developed a neighborhood 51 development rating system called Leadership in Energy and Envi-52 ronmental Design for Neighborhood Development (LEED-ND) in 53 54 2009. LEED-ND is a rating system including credits to evaluate the performance of SD in neighborhoods. LEED-ND credits address the 55 importance of local conditions in determining best environmental 56 design and construction practices as well as social and health prac-57 tices (USGBC, 2009). Despite the significant contributions of the 58 aforementioned studies and existing standards, there is little or no 59 reported research that focused on evaluating and quantifying SD 60 performance in urban neighborhoods that is capable of integrating 61 varying evluations from multiple stakeholders. 62

63 2. Objective

To address the aforementioned research gaps, this paper presents the development of a comprehensive and an effective model for evaluating the performance of sustainable development in urban neighborhoods that is capable of integrating the varying and often conflicting evaluations of various stakeholders. The model is developed in four main stages: (1) SD criteria stage that identifies a comprehensive set of sustainable development (SD) criteria for urban neighborhoods; (2) group decision making stage that integrates the evaluations and judgments of multiple stakeholders; (3) implementation stage that automates the model computations; and (4) performance evaluation stage that analyzes the performance of the developed model using an application example. The following sections of the paper provide a concise description of these four development stages of the model.

3. Sustainable development criteria

This stage of model development focuses on creating a comprehensive set of criteria for assessing sustainable development in urban neighborhoods. This comprehensive set of criteria was developed in the following three main steps. First, a detailed list of criteria for assessing SD in urban neighborhoods were gathered from previous research and reported criteria that were used in sustainable development projects in five different states (Applied Population Laboratory et al., 2012; Central Texas, 2009; City of Santa Monica et al., 2003; Meter & Crossroads Resource Center, 1999; Sustainable San Mateo County, 2012). Second, the gathered list of criteria was analyzed to develop a comprehensive, practical, reliable and effective set of criteria that will be integrated in the developed model. This developed criteria list was identified to ensure that each selected criterion is: (a) simple, (b) measurable using quantitative values or qualitative expressions, (c) independent of other criteria, and (d) can be easily understood and evaluated by decision-makers (Barrera-Roldán & Saldı'var-Valdés, 2002; Keeney, Meyer, & Raiffa, 2003; Sun, Ni, & Borthwick, 2010; Wang, Jing, Zhang, & Zhao, 2009). Third, the developed set of SD criteria was organized in a hierarchy that represents: (1) the three main objectives of SD of social quality-of-life (SQOL), economic development (ECON), and environmental protection (ENV); (2) the identified set of SD criteria for each of the three SD objectives; and (3) the metrics that can be used to evaluate the performance of each SD criterion, as shown in Table 1.

4. Group decision-making

This stage of the model focuses on developing a model that is capable of integrating the evaluations of SD performances from multiple stakeholders. Accordingly, the model is designed to: (a) consider and aggregate the performance in various metrics with different measurement units (e.g., minutes, crime frequency); (b) enable the integration of feedback from multiple stakeholders; and (c) compute an index which allows multiple decision-makers to quantify the performance of SD in urban neighborhoods. To accomplish this, the present model utilizes multi-attribute utility theory (MAUT) and analytic hierarchy process (AHP). MAUT was used in the present model due to its simplicity, ability to consider multiple objectives, and providing easy to understand output information, and relevance to real world problems (Clemen & Reilly, 2001; Keeney & Raiffa, 1976; Prato, 2000; Tsoutsos, Drandaki, Frantzeskaki, Iosifidis, & Kiosses, 2009). The AHP was used in the model to enable reliable integration of varying priorities from multiple stakeholders (Dyer & Forman, 1992; Forman & Peniwati, 1998; Saaty, 1980). The computations in the model are performed using the following three steps: (1) quantifying performance in SD metrics; (2) identifying weights of SD metrics, criteria and objectives; (3) computing overall SD index.

4.1. Quantifying SD metrics

In order to quantify the performance in all the identified SD metrics, a set of utility functions were developed $U_1(m_1), U_2(m_2), \ldots$,

102

103

104

105

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

Please cite this article in press as: Karatas, A., & El-Rayes, K. Evaluating the performance of sustainable development in urban neighborhoods based on the feedback of multiple stakeholders. *Sustainable Cities and Society* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2014.05.011

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6776465

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6776465

Daneshyari.com