Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Thin-Walled Structures

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tws

Full length article

Composite action of hexagonal concrete-filled steel tubular stub columns under axial loading



THIN-WALLED STRUCTURES

Fa-xing Ding^a, Zhe Li^a, Shanshan Cheng^{b,*}, Zhi-wu Yu^c

^a School of Civil Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410075, PR China

^b Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

^c National Engineering Laboratory for High Speed Railway Construction, Changsha 410075, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 April 2016 Accepted 7 July 2016 Available online 25 August 2016

Keywords: Hexagon Concrete-filled steel tubular stub columns Ultimate bearing capacity Strain ratio Confinement effect

ABSTRACT

Four groups of axial compression tests on hexagonal CFT stub columns have been carried out aiming to investigate the effects of the concrete strength and steel ratio on the behaviour of hexagonal CFT stub columns. Studies on parametric analysis and composite action between core concrete and steel tube have been carried out using FE modelling which had been benchmarked using the test data. Based on the essential data obtained in this paper, the ratio of axial stress-yield strength of steel tube was determined at the ultimate state. The stress contour of core concrete was simplified to an unconfined area without constraint and a confined area with uniform constraint imposed by hexagonal steel tube. Eventually, a practical design equation of the ultimate bearing capacity of hexagonal CFT stub columns was proposed based on the superposition principle. An excellent agreement between the proposed equation and the experimental results was observed, with an average ratio of predicted to measured capacity of 1.08 and a standard deviation of 0.05.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A concrete-filled steel tube (CFT) column is a composite member formed by a steel tube filled with concrete. The concrete core adds stiffness to the steel tube and prevents the occurrence of inward local buckling, while the steel tube confines the concrete core and acts as longitudinal and lateral reinforcement. Due to the benefit of composite action of the two materials, the CFT columns provide excellent structural properties such as high strength, high ductility and large energy absorption capacity. Since 1970s, CFT has been widely used in high-rise, long-span structures [1,2], not only due to the favourable structural properties, but also the rapid construction without removing any formwork.

Extensive research on effects of cross-sectional profiles of CFT columns has been conducted in literature. Experimental and numerical studies of circular [3–11], elliptical [12–14], octagonal [15], and square [16–20] CFT columns have been carried out in studying the axial load bearing capacity of CFT columns. A unified formula for CFT columns circular and polygonal cross-sectional profiles subjected to axial compression has been obtained by Yu et al. [21]. However, available studies on hexagonal CFT stub columns are

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: shanshan.cheng@sheffield.ac.uk (S. Cheng). rather few in literature, although hexagonal cross-sections are commonly demanded in architectural design. Ketema and Taye [23] studied the moment-axial load interaction for hexagonal and octagonal CFT columns subjected to uniaxial bending, and a unified approach has been presented for designing purpose. Circular, hexagonal, rectangular and square cross-sections have been tested by Evirgen et al. [24] recently with the focus on the effects of width-thickness ratio (b/t), the compressive strength of concrete and geometrical shape of cross section parameters on ultimate loads, axial stress, ductility and buckling behaviour.

The effects of the concrete strength and steel ratios on the mechanical behaviour of hexagonal CFT stub columns were investigated in this paper. Eight axial compression specimens of hexagonal CFT stub columns have been conducted at the Central South University in China. The nonlinear finite element analysis of hexagonal CFT stub columns throughout loading history has been carried out using ABAQUS. Based on the essential experimental and numerical data, practical formulas for the ultimate bearing capacity of hexagonal CFT stub columns have been proposed by using superposition principle at the ultimate state, which follows the same research idea as Ding et al. [22]. Details of the studies have been explained in the following sections of this paper.



Nomenclature		$N_{ m u, \ Exp}$	Ultimate bearing capacity of hexagonal CFT stub col- umps from experimental results		
Ac	Cross-sectional area of core concrete	N _{u. FE}	Ultimate bearing capacity of hexagonal CFT stub col-		
A _{c1}	Non-constrained area of core concrete	-,	umns from FE results		
A _{c2}	Constrained area of core concrete	р	Lateral pressure coefficient		
As	Area of steel tube	t	Wall thickness of steel tube		
Asc	Total area of cross-section	σ	Axial stress of concrete		
B	Edge length of the hexagonal section	$\sigma_{\rm i}$	Equivalent stress of steel tube		
b	Edge length of core concrete	$\sigma_{\rm L,c}$	Axial compressive stress of core concrete		
DI	Ductility index	$\sigma_{\rm L,s}$	Axial compressive stress of steel tube		
Es	Elastic modulus of steel tube	$\sigma_{\rm r,c}$	Radial concrete stress of the confined area		
fb0	Initial equibiaxial compressive yield stress of concrete	$\sigma_{ extsf{ heta}, extsf{s}}$	Tensile transverse stress of steel tube		
$f_{\rm c}$	Uniaxial compressive strength of concrete	$\varepsilon_{0.75}$	Axial strain when the load attains of 75% the ultimate		
f_{c0}	Initial uniaxial compressive yield stress of concrete		load in the pre-peak stage		
f_{cu}	Compressive cubic strength of concrete	$\mathcal{E}_{0.85}$	Strain when experimental bearing capacity is de-		
f_{sc}	Ultimate strength of CFT column		creased to 85% of ultimate value		
$f_{\rm u}$	Ultimate strength of steel tube	ε	Axial strain of concrete		
$f_{\rm y}$	Yield strength of steel tube	$\varepsilon_{\rm c}$	Strain corresponding with the peak compressive stress		
ĸ	Ratio of initial tangent modulus to secant modulus at		of concrete		
	peak stress	$\varepsilon_{ m L}$	Axial strain of columns		
L	Height of specimens	$\varepsilon_{\rm i}$	Equivalent strain of steel tube		
Ν	Axial load	ε_{y}	Yield strain of steel tube		
Nu	Axial ultimate bearing capacity	$\varepsilon_{\rm st}$	Hardening strain of steel tube		
N _{u,c}	Ultimate bearing capacity of hexagonal CFT stub col-	$\varepsilon_{\rm u}$	Ultimate strain of steel tube		
	umns from calculated results	v_{sc}	Strain ratio of steel tube		
N _{u, Eq.14}	Ultimate bearing capacity of hexagonal CFT stub col-	θ	Dilation angle of concrete		
	umns from Eq. (14).	ho	Steel ratio of columns		

2. Experimental investigation

2.1. Test set-up

In total 8 specimens of hexagonal CFT were designed in this study. The nominal dimension of each specimen is $200 (B) \text{ mm} \times 4$ (6) (*t*) mm × 1200 (*L*) mm, where *B* is the outer edge length of the hexagonal section, *t* is the wall thickness of the steel tube and *L* is the height of the specimen. Detailed cross-sectional dimensions and material properties are shown in Fig. 1 and in Table 1 respectively. Two identical specimens (namely A and B) were made for each group and there were eight specimens in total.

The hexagonal steel tubes were moulded by bending Q235 steel plates into grooves and then welding the two edges at the corner. The position at which the butt welds were made was

Table 1

Properties of tested specimens.

Specimens number	$B \times t$	L	f _{cu}	f _y	N _{u, Exp}	Steel ratio ($ ho$)	DI
	$mm \times mm$	mm	MPa	MPa	kN	_	-
HST1-A HST1-B HST2-A HST2-B HST3-A HST3-B HST4-A HST4-B	$\begin{array}{c} 196 \times 3.73 \\ 198 \times 3.71 \\ 196 \times 5.78 \\ 198 \times 5.96 \\ 197 \times 3.72 \\ 198 \times 3.76 \\ 199 \times 5.89 \\ 196 \times 5.81 \end{array}$	1200	39.3 57.4	311 321 311 321	4947 4618 6001 6041 6827 6803 7079 7289	0.044 0.043 0.067 0.068 0.043 0.043 0.067 0.067	3.781 3.288 7.174 7.569 3.241 3.294 5.817 6.387



Fig. 1. Experimental instrumentation for all specimens.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6778989

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6778989

Daneshyari.com