Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology 45 (2015) 43-51

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tust

An analytical study of tunnel-pile interaction

Alec M. Marshall*, Twana Haji

Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 December 2013 Received in revised form 8 August 2014 Accepted 1 September 2014

Keywords: Tunnel Pile Soil-structure interaction Cavity expansion

ABSTRACT

Tunnelling under the foundations of structures is becoming more common because of the lack of available space for infrastructure, both above and below ground. The interaction between newly constructed tunnels and existing piled foundations is an important issue. This paper presents results obtained using a computationally efficient analytical approach which aims to estimate the effect that constructing a new tunnel will have on an existing pile. The method uses a spherical cavity expansion analysis to evaluate the end-bearing capacity of the pile, and cylindrical cavity contraction to estimate the decrease in the confining pressure and resulting reduction in pile capacity caused by tunnel volume loss. The paper extends previously published work using this method by considering the effect of tunnel location on the tunnel–pile interactions, examining different possible assumptions of soil stiffness used in the analysis, and by considering the effect that tunnel cavity contraction has on the friction along the pile shaft. © 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

The need for effective Civil infrastructure in cities is paramount. As populations grow and the demand on infrastructure systems increases, the need to further develop already congested underground space in many urban areas will become unavoidable. This will result in new underground construction activities taking place ever closer to existing structures and buried infrastructure. The resulting interaction between construction activities and the affected Civil assets must be considered in the design process.

Tunnels are arguably the most popular medium to large-scale underground structures in crowded urban areas. They are used to minimise the volume of traffic on the surface and can also have environmental benefits (e.g. traffic noise reduction). Tunnelling inevitably causes some ground movements which can have detrimental effects on buried and above-ground infrastructure and buildings. There has been considerable research conducted on the subject of evaluating the shape of tunnelling induced ground movements (Peck, 1969; O'Reilly and New, 1982; Mair et al., 1993; Marshall et al., 2012) and in determining the effects these movements have on man-made assets (Attewell et al., 1986; Klar et al., 2005; Vorster et al., 2005; Klar et al., 2008; Marshall et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012). In general, the potential for harmful interaction between tunnel construction and Civil assets is greatest for shallow tunnels, which suggests that a deeper tunnel is preferable. The cost of tunnelling varies considerably depending on local site conditions, however in general it increases with depth due to the additional cost of construction of associated excavations (e.g. shafts for tunnel boring machine launch, ventilation, and escalators/lifts). A careful decision must therefore be made at the design stage with respect to the optimum depth for new tunnel construction.

CrossMark

Piled foundations are particularly sensitive to the effects of tunnelling. Piles risk a reduction of their end-bearing capacity and shaft friction resistance due to the displacements and ground stress redistributions that occur as a result of tunnelling. A variety of research has been conducted on the subject, ranging from field studies (Kaalberg et al., 2005; Pang et al., 2006; Selemetas et al., 2006), experimental work (Bezuijen and Van der Schrier, 1994; Loganathan et al., 2000; Jacobsz et al., 2004; Marshall and Mair, 2011), and numerical or analytical modelling (Chen et al., 1999; Kitiyodom et al., 2005; Lee and Ng, 2005; Cheng et al., 2007). Analytical methods provide an efficient way for studying soil–structure interaction problems such as the effect of tunnelling on piles.

This paper studies the effect of a newly constructed tunnel on an existing pile using the analytical approach introduced by Marshall (2012, 2013). Some critical points from the original method are examined and some new ideas are presented which are intended to achieve a more sensible and thorough analysis approach. The paper includes data obtained using the original method of Marshall (2012) to elucidate the important effect that depth and the relative horizontal and vertical distance between the pile and tunnel have on results. Next, the selection and influence of soil stiffness used in the tunnel–pile interaction analysis are illustrated, and a new method for accounting for the effect of

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: alec.marshall@nottingham.ac.uk (A.M. Marshall).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2014.09.001

0886-7798/© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Nomencl	ature
---------	-------

а	current radius of a cavity	Q_0	total load capacity of pile before tunnel volume loss
a_0	original cavity radius	Q_{V_i}	total load capacity of pile after tunnel volume loss
С	cohesion	r _p	pile radius
С	current radius of the plastic zone around a pile or a tun-	$\dot{r_t}$	tunnel radius
	nel	R _a	pile end-bearing capacity reduction factor
Co	original distance from pile tip to elastic-plastic interface	Ro	pile capacity reduction factor
C1	parameter used to calculate G_0	Ros	pile capacity reduction factor including effect on pile
D _n	nile diameter	1.0,3	shaft
d.	distance from tunnel axis to nile tin	S	parameter used to calculate G
d_{lp}	distance from tunnel lining to pile tip	S.	ratio of radial effective stress near nile tip at failure to a_i
u _{lp} F	Voung's modulus	V_{t}	volume loss due to tunnelling in $\%$
C	soil shor modulus	7	depth to any point below the ground surface
C	small strain shear stiffness	2	depth to ally point below the ground surface
G_0	Silidii Suidiii Siledi Suilliess	Zp Z	depth to pile tip
G _{0, mod}	Information and stimless due to the effect of pile fistal-	z_t	depth of tunnel axis
C	Idlioii sheer stiffness calculated at turnel donth	α_c	parameter used in calculation of q_b
$G_{0,tun}$	shear stillness calculated at tunnel depth	p_s	
I _d	relative density	0 0	SOIL
I_R	relative dilatancy index	β_{min}, β_{max}	minimum and maximum values of β_s
K ₀	the coefficient of at-rest lateral earth pressure	δ_{s}	soil-shaft friction angle
k	cavity expansion parameter: spherical <i>k</i> = 2; cylindrical	θ	parameter used in calculation of α_c
	k = 1	ϕ_{\perp}	soil friction angle
L	embedded pile length	ϕ'_{cv}	critical state friction angle
п	parameter used to calculate G_0	γ	soil unit weight
N_q	bearing capacity factor	μ_s	a parameter to calculate β_s
p'	mean effective stress or confining pressure	v	Poisson's ratio
p'_0	initial isotropic stress at tunnel or pile tip	σ'	normal effective stress
$p'_{0 mod}$	modified pressure	σ'_r	radial stress
$p'_{0,nile}$	confining pressure at pile tip	$\sigma_r^{\prime e}$	radial stress in elastic zone
$p'_{0,tun}$	confining pressure at tunnel depth	$\sigma_r^{'p}$	radial stress in plastic zone
p'_{mid}	confining pressure half-way between pile tip and tunnel	σ'_{v}	vertical stress
- mu	lining	$\sigma_{\theta}^{'}$	circumferential stress
p'_{V}	confining pressure after tunnel volume loss	$\sigma_{a}^{\prime e}$	circumferential stress in elastic zone
p_a	atmospheric pressure (100 kPa)	$\sigma_{a}^{'p}$	circumferential stress in plastic zone
$p'_{i:}$	limiting stress for spherical cavity expansion	τs	shaft shear stress
P	cavity pressure	$\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_s}$	average shear stress on pile shaft
Pa	current cavity pressure when cavity radius $= a$	$\overline{\tau_{c0}}$	average shear stress on pile shaft before tunnel volume
- u Пь	end-bearing capacity of pile	-3,0	loss
чи Пь о	end-bearing capacity of pile before tunnel volume loss	$\overline{T_{eV}}$	average shear stress on pile shaft after tunnel volume
чи,0 П	reduced end-bearing capacity of pile after tunnel vol-	- 5, v [loss
чD,V1	time loss	1//	soil dilation angle
0	total load capacity of the nile	¥	
×	total load capacity of the phe		

pile installation on soil stiffness is presented that gives a more sensible approach than the original method from Marshall (2012). A method for estimating the effect of tunnel cavity contraction on pile shaft friction is also proposed. Finally, data obtained using the original analysis method are compared against new results, and a recommendation is provided, based on analysis of available geotechnical centrifuge experiment data, on how to obtain a conservative evaluation of tunnel-pile separation or safe tunnel volume loss in order to avoid large pile displacements.

2. Cavity expansion methods

Fig. 1 shows the problem that is considered in this paper and the main geometric parameters considered. A tunnel of radius r_t is constructed beneath the tip of an existing pile with radius r_p . Distance *d* is measured along the path connecting the pile tip to the tunnel axis. The shortest distance from the centreline of the pile to the axis of the tunnel is given by d_{tp} ; the distance from pile to the tunnel lining is given by d_{tp} . The paper focuses on driven or jacked piles which cause a significant impact on ground stresses around the pile tip. The analysis could, however, be applied to



Fig. 1. View of the analysis problem.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6784162

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6784162

Daneshyari.com