ARTICLE IN PRESS

Hormones and Behavior xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Hormones and Behavior

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yhbeh



Review article

Prenatal paracetamol exposure and child neurodevelopment: A review

Ann Z. Bauer^{a,*}, David Kriebel^a, Martha R. Herbert^b, Carl-Gustaf Bornehag^{c,d}, Shanna H. Swan^c

- ^a Department of Public Health, University of Massachusetts, 1 University Avenue, Lowell, MA, 01854, USA
- b Department of Neurology, MGH, Harvard Medical School, A.A. Martinos Centre for Biomedical Imaging, MGH/MIT/Harvard 149 Thirteenth Street, Charlestown, MA 02129 USA
- ^c Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York City, NY 10029, USA
- ^d Department of Health Sciences, Karlstad University, Karlstad, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Paracetamol Acetaminophen APAP Neurodevelopment Autism Autism spectrum disorder ASD Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder ADHD Hyperactivity Behavior

ABSTRACT

Background: The non-prescription medication paracetamol (acetaminophen, APAP) is currently recommended as a safe pain and fever treatment during pregnancy. However, recent studies suggest a possible association between APAP use in pregnancy and offspring neurodevelopment.

Objectives: To conduct a review of publications reporting associations between prenatal APAP use and offspring neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Methods: Relevant sources were identified through a key word search of multiple databases (Medline, CINAHL, OVID and TOXNET) in September 2016. All English language observational studies of pregnancy APAP and three classes of neurodevelopmental outcomes (autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and intelligence quotient (IQ)) were included. One reviewer (AZB) independently screened all titles and abstracts, extracted and analyzed the data.

Results: 64 studies were retrieved and 55 were ineligible. Nine prospective cohort studies fulfilled all inclusion criteria. Data pooling was not appropriate due to heterogeneity in outcomes. All included studies suggested an association between prenatal APAP exposure and the neurodevelopmental outcomes; ADHD, ASD, or lower IQ. Longer duration of APAP use was associated with increased risk. Associations were strongest for hyperactivity and attention-related outcomes. Little modification of associations by indication for use was reported.

Conclusions: Together, these nine studies suggest an increased risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes following prenatal APAP exposure. Further studies are urgently needed with; precise indication of use and exposure assessment of use both in utero and in early life. Given the current findings, pregnant women should be cautioned against indiscriminate use of APAP. These results have substantial public health implications.

1. Introduction

The number of women taking medications during pregnancy has more than doubled over the past 30 years, and now nine out of ten women take at least one medication while pregnant (Mosley II et al., 2015). Pregnant women are generally excluded from clinical trials so the vast majority of maternal medications have not been adequately studied in human pregnancy and the risks to the fetus are often poorly understood (Adam et al., 2011). Emerging research suggests that medication use during pregnancy may increase the risk of long-term adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes including autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Landrigan, 2010; El Marroun et al., 2014).

Paracetamol (APAP, Acetaminophen), an analgesic and antipyretic generally available without prescription, is the most commonly used medication in pregnancy (Werler et al., 2005). APAP has been estimated to be used by up to 65% of US, and > 50% of European women during their pregnancies (Brandlistuen et al., 2013; Servey and Chang, 2014). Although APAP has a narrow therapeutic index and is the leading cause of acute liver injury (Guggenheimer and Moore, 2011), it is considered among the safest options during pregnancy (Thiele et al., 2013). This is in part because there has been no strong evidence associating APAP with structural birth defects (Servey and Chang, 2014). However, a growing body of research suggests APAP may alter fetal development in a number of ways. Research has shown APAP may have endocrine disruptive properties capable of altering reproductive function (Kristensen et al., 2016; Holm et al., 2015; Kristensen et al., 2011; Snijder et al., 2012; Fisher et al., 2016). APAP use during pregnancy has been associated with an increased risk of asthma (Lourido-Cebreiro et al., 2016), immune alterations (Prymula et al., 2009; Thiele et al.,

Contesponana author.

E-mail addresses: Ann Bauer@uml.edu (A.Z. Bauer), David Kriebel@uml.edu (D. Kriebel), carl-gustaf.bornehag@kau.se (C.-G. Bornehag), shanna.swan@mssm.edu (S.H. Swan).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2018.01.003

Received 11 October 2017; Received in revised form 9 December 2017; Accepted 3 January 2018 0018-506X/ © 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc.

^{*} Corresponding author.

(continued on next page)

 Table 1

 Cohort studies summary characteristics - pregnancy APAP and offspring neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Study author date	Population	Prevalence of APAP use	Exposure assessment	Outcome and assessment tools	Main Outcomes	Effect estimates (95% CI) - ever exposed	Effect estimates (95% CI) -prolonged exposure
Brandlistuen et al. (2013)	Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBA) 2919 3 year old sibling control pairs	46%	APAP -Maternal report -gestation weeks 17 and 30 & 6 months postpartum	Adverse Neurodevelopment at 3 yrs Maternal report using:	Measures in Sibling control analysis:	Less than 28 days:	More than 28 days:
	discordant on exposure		questionnaires w/ 10	1) Psychomotor Develonment -	1) Gross motor	1) $\beta = 0.10 \ (0.02-0.19)$	1) $\beta = 0.24 \ (0.12-0.51)$ RR ~ 1.67
	born 1999–2008		name med, days of use &	Norwegian Ages and	2) Communication		2) $\beta = 0.20 \ (0.01-0.39)$
			indication. Exposure divided into short-term	Stages	3) Externalizing		$RR \sim 1.57$ 3) $R = 0.28 (0.15-0.42)$
			(1–27 days use) and long	Internaling behaviors -	behaviors		$RR \sim 1.69$
			term (28 days or more)	Child Behavior Checklist	4) Internalizing behaviors		4) $\beta = 0.14 (0.01-0.28)$
				3) Temperament -	5) Hyperactivity		5) $\beta = 0.24 \ (0.11-0.38)$
				Emotionality, Activity			RR~1.67
				and Shyness Temperament	6) Motor/walking delay	6) $5 = 0.10 (0.02 - 0.18)$	6) $\beta = 0.26 \ (0.06 - 0.45)$
	,		,	Questionnaire (EAS)			,
Vlenterie et al.	Norwegian Mother and	41%	APAP -Maternal report	Adverse	Measures in propensity		More than 28 days:
(2016)	Child Cohort Study		-gestation weeks 17 and	neurodevelopment at	score matched cohort:		
	child pairs from MOBA		30 & 6 months postpartum	L.5 yrs Maternal report using:			
	version 6 born		questionnaires w/ 10	1) Psychomotor	1) Communication		1) OR = $1.38 (0.98-1.95)$
	1999–2008		exposure windows to	Development -	problems		
			name med, days of use &	Norwegian Ages and			
			indication. Exposure	Stages Questionnaire			
			divided into short-term	(ASQ)			
			(1-27 days use) and long	2) Externalizing and	2) Motor/walking delay		2) OR = 1.35 (1.07-1.70)
			term (28 days or more)	Internaling behaviors -			
				Child Behavior Checklist			
				(CBCL/ 11/ 2-3/ LD3) 3) Temperament -			
				Emotionality, Activity			
				and Shyness			
				Temperament			
			,	Questionnaire (EAS)			,
Liew et al. (2014)	Cohort (DNBC) 64 322	26%	APAP-Maternal report	ADHD/hyperkinetic	3 measures:		> 20 weeks:
	children & mothers		gestation weeks 12, 30.	1) Hospital records-	1) HKD diagnosis	1) HR 1,37 (1,19–1,59)	1) HR 1.84 (1.39–2.45)
	enrolled 1996-2002		6 months after birth.	hyperkinetic disorder	ò		
			Provided w/ list of 44	(HKD)			
			med, asked gestation	2) ADHD medications	2) Use of ADHD med	2) HR 1.29 (1.15–1.44)	2) HR 1.53 (1.21–1.94)
			weeks of use on week by	-2+ prescriptions	2010 2010 1010 000 00	10 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	10 - 71 - 7 - 7 - 1 mi co
			week basis.	3) Parent report ADHD like behavior- Strenoths	3) SDQ total difficulties	3) HK 1.13 (1.01–1.27)	3) HK 1.46 (1.16–1.85)
				and Difficulties			
				Questionnaire (SDQ)			

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6793894

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6793894

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>