Accepted Manuscript

Comparative study of the efficacy and safety between blonanserin and risperidone for the treatment of schizophrenia in Chinese patients: A double-blind, parallel-group multicenter randomized trial

Huafang Li, Chen Yao, Jianguo Shi, Pude Yang, Shuguang Qi, Lili Wang, Honggeng Zhang, Jie Li, Chuanyao Wang, Chuansheng Wang, Cui Liu, Lehua Li, Qiang Wang, Keqing Li, Xiaoyan Luo, Niufan Gu, M.D

PII: S0022-3956(15)00208-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.07.015

Reference: PIAT 2686

To appear in: Journal of Psychiatric Research

Received Date: 27 March 2015

Revised Date: 14 July 2015

Accepted Date: 15 July 2015

Please cite this article as: Li H, Yao C, Shi J, Yang P, Qi S, Wang L, Zhang H, Li J, Wang C, Wang C, Liu C, Li L, Wang Q, Li K, Luo X, Gu N, Comparative study of the efficacy and safety between blonanserin and risperidone for the treatment of schizophrenia in Chinese patients: A double-blind, parallel-group multicenter randomized trial, *Journal of Psychiatric Research* (2015), doi: 10.1016/ j.jpsychires.2015.07.015.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.




Comparison of mean changes in PANSS total scores with Blonanserin versus Risperidone

		Groups	Baseline Mean(SD)	LOCF-ANCOVA LS-Mean(95%CI)	MMRM LS-Mean(95%CI)
	ITT	Blonanserin (N=128)	93.46 (11.95)	-29.83 (-32.85, -26.81)	-34.70 (-37.32, -32.07)
		Risperidone (N=133)	92.30 (12.23)	-33.53 (-36.46, -30.59)	-36.97 (-39.46, -34.48)
		Difference		3.69 (-0.36, 7.75)	2.28 (-1.29, 5.85)
	PPS	Blonanserin (N=113)	93.86 (11.91)	-32.82 (-35.57, -30.07)	-34.84 (-37.47, -32.20)
		Risperidone (N=121)	92.80 (12.30)	-35.76 (-38.40, -33.12)	-37.09 (-39.59, -34.60)
		Difference		2.94 (-0.76 ,6.65)	2.25 (-1.33, 5.84)

ITT, intention-to-treat analysis set; PPS, per-protocol set; SD, standard deviation.

The PANSS 5-factor model scores decreased significantly from baseline to the end of treatment in the ITT population in both groups.



Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6800314

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6800314

Daneshyari.com