EL SEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Schizophrenia Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/schres



Prospective relationship between duration of untreated psychosis and 13-year clinical outcome: A first-episode psychosis study



Jennifer Yee-Man Tang ^{a,*}, Wing-Chung Chang ^a, Christy Lai-Ming Hui ^a, Gloria Hoi-Yan Wong ^a, Sherry Kit-Wa Chan ^a, Edwin Ho-Ming Lee ^a, Wai-Song Yeung ^b, Chi-Keung Wong ^b, Wai-Nang Tang ^b, Wah-Fat Chan ^b, Edwin Pui-Fai Pang ^c, Steve Tso ^d, Roger Man-Kin Ng ^e, Se-Fong Hung ^f, Eva Lai-Wah Dunn ^b, Pak-Chung Sham ^{a,g}, Eric Yu-Hai Chen ^{a,g}

- ^a Department of Psychiatry, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- ^b Department of Psychiatry, Pamela Youde Nethersole Eastern Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong
- ^c Department of Psychiatry, United Christian Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong
- ^d Department of Psychiatry, Castle Peak Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong
- ^e Department of Psychiatry, Kowloon Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong
- f Department of Psychiatry, Kwai Chung Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong
- g The State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 June 2013 Received in revised form 1 January 2014 Accepted 22 January 2014 Available online 11 February 2014

Keywords:
Psychotic disorders
Long-term outcome
Prospective study
Remission
Duration of untreated psychosis
Risk factors

ABSTRACT

Background: The adverse effects of a long duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) have been explored in numerous short-term studies. These studies support the development of early interventions that reduce treatment delay and promote recovery. However, the enduring impact of DUP is largely unknown, partly due to the paucity of prospective long-term studies. Although the DUP–outcome relationship is commonly assumed to be linear, the threshold effect has not been adequately examined.

Objective: To explore the relationship between DUP and long-term symptomatic remission.

Methods: This was a prospective study of a cohort of 153 first-episode psychosis patients in Hong Kong at the 13-year follow-up. The patients were categorized into short (\leq 30 days), medium (31–180 days) and long (>180 days) DUP groups.

Results: The long-term outcome was ascertained in 73% of the patients. Nearly half of the patients (47%) fulfilled the criteria for symptomatic remission. The short DUP group experienced a significantly higher remission rate over the course of the illness. The odds of long-term symptomatic remission was significantly reduced in the medium DUP (by 89%) and long DUP (by 85%) groups compared with the short DUP group. Further analysis showed that DUP had a specific impact on negative symptom remission.

Conclusion: The findings support the threshold theory that DUP longer than 30 days adversely impacts the long-term outcome. The present study is one of the few studies that confirmed the enduring impact of DUP on long-term outcomes based on well-defined criteria and adequate statistical adjustment.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) is one of the most widely studied risk factors associated with poor outcomes such as treatment response, positive symptoms, negative symptoms and functioning (Marshall et al., 2005; Perkins et al., 2005). The effect of DUP on the outcome was supported by evidence from numerous short-term studies, but the follow-up periods in these studies were 2 years or less. In agreement with the critical period hypothesis for patients with first-episode psychosis (Birchwood, 1999), these studies supported the development of early detection programmes that aim to shorten treatment delay and

improve long-term recovery. Despite the general acceptance of early interventions for first-episode psychosis patients, the enduring impact of DUP remains unclear, which is partly due to the lack of prospective studies with longer follow-up periods. Potentially, the adverse impact of DUP may be gradually weakened by other intervening factors over the course of the illness. Alternatively, this adverse impact would not manifest during the early stage because the majority of the patients with early psychosis respond well to medications (Chang et al., 2012). Our understanding of the impact of the association between DUP and long-term outcome is very limited. Our existing knowledge about the relationship between DUP and long-term symptomatic remission has been based mainly on a few prospective studies. Many of these studies were limited by the different pre-treatments, the poorly defined pre-treatment variables and the lack of key covariate adjustments, especially premorbid adjustment (Table 1). The relationship between DUP and

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Psychiatry, The University of Hong Kong, Pok Fu Lam Road, Hong Kong. Tel.: +852 2255 3064; fax: +852 2855 1345.

E-mail address: jennitym@gmail.com (J.Y.-M. Tang).

Table 1Summary of prospective long-term studies (≥10 years) examining the relationship between DUP and long-term outcome.

| Study (year) | The pre-treatment variable | Definition | Long-term outcome as predicted by a longer DUP predicted | Remarks |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Huber et al. (1980) | Treatment during their initial psychotic episodes | "Treated" or "non-treated" with electroconvulsive or psychopharmacological therapy during the initial psychotic episode | Uncharacteristic and characteristic residues | Results not adjusted for any covariates |
| Tsoi and Kua (1992) | Pre-admission duration of illness | Method to determine the time of the onset of illness was unclear | "Poor outcome" (based on treatment and severity of psychotic symptoms) | Results not adjusted for any covariates |
| Thara and Eaton (1996) | Duration of illness | Duration of illness before first contact with treatment facility; method to determine the time of the onset of illness was unclear | Poorer outcome (based on psychotic symptoms and course) | Unclear reference group. Results controlled for the effect of onset type but not premorbid adjustment. |
| Harrison et al. (1996) | Duration of untreated illness prior to contact with the services | Method to determine the time of the onset of illness was unclear | A range of poorer clinical and functional outcomes | Unknown magnitude of association. Results controlled for the effect of onset type but not premorbid adjustment. |
| Wiersma et al. (1998) | Delayed mental health treatment | The outbreak of psychosis to the initiation of mental health treatment. Subjects were categorized into "delayed" or "prompt" treatment group. Unclear cutoff value. | Shorter time for full remission and longer duration of first episode | Results controlled for the effect of premorbid functioning and onset type. |
| Wiersma et al. (2000) | Psychosis without treatment/DUP | The estimated onset of psychotic symptoms to the first contact with a mental health professional | Higher level of disability | Insignificant bivariate relationship with outcome. No multiple regression was performed. |
| Bottlender et al. (2003) | First psychotic symptoms to first admission | The onset of psychotic symptoms to the first psychiatric admission; assessed by clinical interview. | Lower GAS and higher severity on SANS, PANSS positive subscale and PANSS general psychopathology. | Adjusted for only onset type. Premorbid adjustment had insignificant bivariate relationship with outcome, and thus was not used as a covariate. Categorisation of DUP did not account for very short duration. |
| Kurihara et al. (2005) | DUP | Unclear | Did not predict PANSS severity and social adjustment | Results not controlled for the effect of premorbid adjustment |
| White et al. (2009) | DUP | The onset of first psychotic symptoms to index admission | Greater outcome symptom burden | Results controlled for the effect of premorbid adjustment |
| Hegelstad et al. (2012) | DUP | The onset of the first psychotic symptoms to the start of first adequate treatment | A higher proportion of patients from the early detection group had recovered relative to those from the usual-detection group | Comparison of results between subjects in the early detection group and usual-detection group. Results not controlled for the effect of premorbid adjustment |
| Hill et al. (2012) | DUP | The onset of the first psychotic symptom to the start of antipsychotic treatment | More severe positive and negative symptoms and a range of functional measures | Results controlled for the effect of premorbid adjustment |

DUP = duration of untreated psychosis; GAS = Global Assessment Scale; PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SANS = Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6825406

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6825406

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>