· ·

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Learning and Individual Differences xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

LEAIND-01008; No of Pages 15

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Learning and Individual Differences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/lindif



- Adolescents' use of reading comprehension strategies: Differences related to reading proficiency, grade level, and gender
- Carolyn A. Denton ^{a,*}, Christopher A. Wolters ^b, Mary York ^b, Elizabeth Swanson ^c,
 Paulina Kulesz ^b, David J. Francis ^b
- Q4 a University of Texas Health Science Center Houston, United States
- ^b University of Houston, United States
- 7 C University of Texas at Austin, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

- 10 Received 22 January 2014
- 11 Received in revised form 24 September 2014
- 12 Accepted 9 November 2014
- 13 Available online xxxx

14

29 **30** 32

46

47 48

49

50

ABSTRACT

Skilled readers engage in purposeful strategies to support understanding and monitor meaning. Questions remain regarding individual differences in the use of reading comprehension strategies by middle and high school 16 students. The goals of this study were to examine differences in adolescents' reading comprehension strategy use 17 related to reading proficiency, grade level, and gender. The study also investigated the factor structure and psy-18 chometric properties of a newly-developed scenario-based self-report survey. Participants were 1134 students in 19 grades 7–12. Results indicated that the instrument measures four theoretically-consistent constructs related to 20 the use of strategies for (a) the Evaluation and Integration of current text information with previous text information and world knowledge, (b) Note-taking, (c) Regulation, or modulation of reading approach to enhance understanding, and (d) Help-seeking. The scales were found to have adequate reliability and validity. Findings 23 indicated that adequate comprehenders reported higher use of Evaluation/Integration and Regulation strategies 24 than struggling comprehenders, while the use of Help-seeking and Note-taking strategies did not differ between 25 these groups. Similarly, students at higher grade levels reported greater use of Evaluation/Integration and 26 Regulation strategies than those at lower grade levels. Females reported higher use of all types of strategies 27 than did males. Implications for theory, research, and practice are discussed.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

67

Many adolescents struggle to comprehend text (Carnegie Council on Advancing Adolescent Literacy (Carnegie Council on Advancing Adolescent Literacy, 2010. On the most recent National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP; National Center for Education Statistics, 2013) only 36% of eighth grade students were classified as proficient readers, and 22% of eighth graders were unable to read and comprehend text at even a basic level. Outcomes were even weaker for some subgroups; for example, only 17% of African American eighth grade students were classified as proficient readers and 39% were unable to meet standards for even basic reading proficiency. These widespread problems with reading comprehension limit many students' ability to learn from text they read in science, social studies, and other content area classes and negatively impact college and career readiness (Carnegie Council on Advancing Adolescent Literacy, 2010).

Reading comprehension requires the orchestration of a complex array of processes. A reader must concurrently decode words, access word meanings, and construct meaning from sentences and larger

E-mail address: carolyn.a.denton@uth.tmc.edu (C.A. Denton).

sections of text, while integrating this new understanding with 51 prior text information and background knowledge to create an ever- 52 evolving mental model of the text, all while monitoring meaning and 53 repairing misunderstandings. For adolescents, developing the ability 54 to comprehend increasingly complex text depends on multiple factors, 55 including the development of basic reading and linguistic skills 56 (Gough & Tunmer, 1986; Hoover & Gough, 1990) and general vocabu- 57 lary and world knowledge (Barnes, Dennis, & Haefele-Kalvaitis, 1996; 58 Kozminsky & Kozminsky, 2001; McNamara & Kintsch, 1996), as 59 well as the ability to flexibly allocate and re-allocate attention 60 (Perfetti, Landi, & Oakhill, 2005) and to engage in deliberate activities 61 (i.e., strategies) to enhance understanding, monitor meaning, and 62 solve problems (Botsas & Padeliadu, 2003; Vellutino, 2003). While the 63 field has developed an understanding of the role of basic reading skills, 64 less is known about individual differences in adolescents' use of 65 processes essential to reading comprehension.

1. Theoretical foundation

This investigation was guided by van Dijk and Kintsch's (1983) 68 Construction–Integration model of reading comprehension, as de-69 scribed by Kintsch (1994, 2004). van Dijk and Kintsch's (1983) model 70

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2014.11.016 1041-6080/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Pediatrics, Children's Learning Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center Houston, 7000 Fannin ST, UCT 2443, Houston, TX 77030, United States. Tel.: +1 713 500 3891.

71

72

73 74

75 76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83 84

85

86

87

88

lems, and integrate it with what was already known." (p. 294). Kintsch suggested that learning from text requires that the reader integrate information across a text and integrate text information with the reader's prior knowledge. Through this process of integration, the reader constructs a rich, coherent mental representation of the situation described in the text (i.e., a situation model), a mental model of the text that is complete, integrated, and meaningful (van Dijk & Kintsch, 1983). The construction of a coherent mental model of the text situation is depen-

108

100

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

described three levels, or degrees, of text comprehension. Understanding text at the surface level includes comprehension of the words and phrases in the text, while comprehension of a textbase requires integration of these words and phrases to construct a literal understanding of the sentences or idea units in the text. Textbase-level understanding implies a focus on isolated parts of the text with little or no influence of the reader's prior knowledge. According to Kintsch (1994), readers who construct an accurate textbase have basic recall of text information and may be able to identify important ideas in the text; however, textbase understanding is insufficient to learn from a text. Kintsch (1994) suggested that, "Learning from a text implies that one is able to use the information provided by the text in other ways, not just for reproduction...One can infer new facts from the information in the text, use it in conjunction with previous knowledge to solve novel prob-

dent on making inferences and applying metacognitive processes such as monitoring and constant comparison of what is being read with what was previously known or believed.

a description of a rich and coherent situation model in narrative text:

Graesser, Wiemer-Hastings, and Wiemer-Hastings (2001) provided

For example, the situation model for a story would consist of a microworld with characters who perform actions in pursuit of goals, events that present obstacles to goals, conflicts between characters, emotional reactions, the spatial setting, the style and procedure of actions, objects, properties of objects, traits of characters, and mental states of characters (p. 249).

To comprehend and learn from expository text the reader must construct an evolving mental model of a phenomenon, updating the model as new information is integrated with prior knowledge, evaluating the ideas in the text when they differ from prior understandings, and revising prior misconceptions based on an ongoing process of inference generation, integration, and monitoring (Kendeou & van den Broek, 2007).

2. Self-regulated strategy use

Adolescents' use of general self-regulated learning strategies represents one type of deliberate activity that may influence reading comprehension. Summarizing across different theoretical models, Pintrich (2004) characterized self-regulated learning as an active, constructive process whereby students set goals for their learning, and then proactively work to achieve those goals. One core aspect of this process is students' use of strategies through which they monitor and manage aspects of their own learning (Pintrich, 2004; Wolters, Pintrich, & Karabenick, 2005). Research with adolescent populations has linked students' engagement in the strategic aspects of self-regulated learning with positive academic outcomes (Pintrich & De Groot, 1990). In particular, studies have shown that adolescents who report more frequent use of cognitive and metacognitive strategies tend to get better grades, including grades in courses that depend on students' literacy skills (Pintrich & De Groot, 1990). Moreover, interventions that include instruction designed to increase adolescents' understanding and use of self-regulated learning strategies are associated with improved academic performance (Azevedo, Guthrie, & Seibert, 2004; Cleary, Platten, & Nelson, 2008; Perels, Dignath, & Schmitz, 2009; Perels, Gurtler, & Schmitz, 2005; Reynolds & Perin, 2009; Wong, Butler, Ficzere, & Kuperis, 1996).

3. Reading comprehension strategies

One group of self-regulated learning strategies involves deliberate, 134 effortful activities employed by readers to support the comprehension 135 of text. Skilled readers deploy a range of strategies that vary in form 136 and function and change in response to the demands of text and the 137 needs of the reader (Pressley & Afflerbach, 1995). Researchers have 138 investigated the strategies used by proficient readers while reading 139 challenging text (e.g. Pressley et al., 1995; Wyatt et al., 1993). Based Q6 on a meta-analysis of such studies, Pressley and Afflerbach (1995) cre- 141 ated a comprehensive catalog of strategies that included setting reading 142 goals, varying reading style according to identified reading goals, going 143 forward and backward in the text to find information relevant to read- 144 ing goals, making predictions, paraphrasing, interpreting the text, and 145 constructing summaries. While the literature includes studies examin- 146 ing strategies used by proficient readers, questions remain regarding 147 the use of reading comprehension strategies by middle school and 148 high school students with varying levels of reading proficiency when 149 they engage in reading tasks for school. The goals of this study were to 150 examine differences in adolescent reading comprehension strategy 151 use related to reading proficiency, grade level, and gender and to investigate the factor structure and psychometric properties of an instrument 153 developed for this purpose.

4. Measurement of reading comprehension strategy use

Researchers have measured students' use of reading comprehension 156 strategies through a variety of approaches, each of which has advan- 157 tages and limitations. Some have conducted structured observations of 158 students engaged in reading and study tasks and rated students' observ- 159 able behaviors hypothesized to represent the use of various strategies 160 (e.g., Dermitzaki, Andreou, & Paraskeva, 2008) or evaluated physical 161 evidence of strategy use such as underlined text (e.g., Braten & Q7 Samuelstuen, 2007); however, these approaches allow researchers to 163 study only a small number of strategies since many are not observable 164 and leave no traces.

Several researchers have collected on-line data on strategy use dur- 166 ing reading by collecting oral protocols in which readers stop periodically to "think aloud," verbalizing their thought processes (Fox, 2009). 168 Think-alouds have the advantage of capturing on-line processing patterns rather than attempting to reconstruct reading processes after the 170 completion of the task; however, coding verbal protocols can be time- 171 intensive, and publications based on this methodology tend to have relatively small samples (e.g., Schellings, Aarnoutse, & van Leeuwe, 2006; 173 Trabasso & Suh, 1993). The approach has also been criticized because 174 of its potential intrusiveness into the reading process (Stratman & 175 Hamp-Lyons, 1994).

5. Strategy survey research with adolescents

Another approach to the investigation of strategy use involves the 178 administration of surveys on which students self-report the frequency 179 with which they use various learning or reading strategies. Self-report 180 surveys also have limitations, but their primary advantage is that they 181 allow researchers to investigate the use of a wide array of strategies 182 and investigate the latent constructs that represent the nature of strategy use in a given population. Since these instruments can be group- 184 administered, researchers are able to collect data from large numbers 185 of students. Several researchers have used self-report surveys to study 186 adolescents' use of general learning and study strategies (e.g., Cano, 187 2006; Cleary, 2006; Dowson & McInerney, 2004); however, there are 188 few examples of surveys designed to examine reading comprehension 189 strategy use in middle and high school students. We describe one 190 survey used with freshman college students and another developed 191 specifically for middle and high school students.

177

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6844908

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6844908

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>